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#### Abstract

In Malawi, approximately 20 road traffic accidents occur every day and about 1000 people are killed every year. Mzuzu-Jenda M1 road is one of the accidents prone stretches. Although the road serves as a back-borne route for inputs and exports to and from neighbouring countries, it has had several fatal accidents. This study was conducted to analyse factors leading to accidents in selected hot spots. Specifically, the study looked at the geometric elements of the road, human behaviour leading to accidents, relationship between road geometric elements and human behaviour and its impact on road accidents and modelling alternative road design alignment on accident-prone sections. The methodology included identifying accident-prone areas, conducting topographic surveys to extract geometric elements of road, conducting in-depth interviews with drivers and passengers, and monitoring the speed of the vehicles on those accident-prone areas. Results show Mapanjira, Chilerawalanda, Kasitu, Champhira and Ruviri are the most accident-prone areas. In these areas, geometric elements of super-elevation, sight stopping distance, horizontal clearance, the radius of the curves, lane and shoulder width are less than what is recommended by road design standards of the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials and Southern African Transportation and Communications. The geometric elements have made these spots hazardous for drivers even driving at the recommended speed limit. Further, drivers drive at an average high speed of $78 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ representing a $30 \%$ increase beyond the recommended speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. The high speed combined with flaws in the geometric elements of the road makes it more likely for drivers to get involved in traffic accidents. The study recommends to properly construct the road sections following the road design standards in the design manuals and widen the shoulder to provide enough horizontal clearance and cushion the sharpens of the curves.
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## CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

Road accidents globally claim the lives of about 3,000 people every day (Fshatsyan et al. 2018). In Malawi, approximately about 20 road traffic accidents occur every day, two of which are fatal and two injuries, and about 1000 people are killed every year (WHO, 2018). In 2019, a total of 9326 accidents were registered as compared with 4539 cases in 2018 (GovM, 2019). In the first three months of 2021, road accidents have killed 323 people compared with 248 people who died in the same period in 2020, showing a $37 \%$ increase (Kalungwe, 2021). Road traffic accidents occur due to a combination of factors related to elements of the transportation system, road geometrics and road users, with accidents outcomes ranging from property damage to death (Li, 2017). Geometric design elements, such as vertical curves, horizontal curves, lane width and superelevation, and human factors play an important role in defining traffic operational efficiency (Mohammed, 2013).

Road design standards or guidelines specify an appropriate minimum, maximum and desirable values on the visible road geometric elements to which failure to adhere by constructors and road users lead to accidents (Ocinneide, 1997). Many studies have been done on finding the relationship between road geometrics and road safety. Studies by Sayed et al. (2004) and Tawar et al. (2017) in Canada and India respectively, looked at frequency of accidents at some locations without detailing the reasons for such causes. Models have been developed to predict accidents rate and geometric inconsistencies. However, little has been done on linking road geometrics and human behaviours in causing accidents on the accident hot spots. Accident hot spots are sites on the section of roads and highways with higher accident frequency than expected at some threshold level of significance. Hot spots are identified using simple methods such as Accident Frequency (AF), Accident Rate (AR), Accident Density (AD) and Accident Severity Index (ASI) (Chaudhary, 2015). The prime goal of highway safety engineering is to limit the number as well as the severity of traffic accidents by identifying, implementing and evaluating measures to improve highway safety. As the roadway improvements are supposed to be applied to hazardous locations or accident hot spots where they have the most momentous impact, identification of hot spots is a vital step in safety management (Chaudhary, 2015).

The main road in Malawi referred to as "M1" is a conventional road that runs South-North of the country. M1 road plays a vital role in the transportation of goods and services throughout
the country. Until the 1920s, Malawi had no roads that were navigable by motorised vehicles. Under British colonial rule, especially during the first few decades, roads remained little more than tracks. The southern part of the country was the first to benefit from road infrastructure development and it was not until the late 1930s that few all-weather roads in the north of Malawi (Atkins, 2016).

The M1 road passes through almost all types of terrain: hilly, valley, plain, swampy, and river. The stretch in the southern and central region is almost plain and this makes travelling very easy and fast while in the northern region most of the places are mountainous and travelling is relatively slow and very difficult. Improvement has been done by adapting conventional designs and making it a bitumen road. The section of the road in the north forms the backbone of transportation of goods and people as most of the imported goods through Dar-es-Salaam, Tanzania comes through this section of M1 road making the road busy and risky.

The stretch under study, from Mzuzu to Jenda, was completed in the 1980s connecting it with the central region (Malawi Roads Authority, 2007). Since its construction, this road section of the M1 has experienced many fatal. According to Northern Region Police personal interview with its spokesperson, the trend of deadly road traffic accidents has always been on a higher level with 2017 registering 23 fatal accidents, 2018 registering 19 fatal accidents, 2019 registering 28 fatal accidents and 2020 registering 20 fatal accidents (GovM, 2020).

### 1.2 Problem Statement

The cost of fatalities, injuries and death due to road traffic accidents continue to have a tremendous impact on societal wellbeing and social-economic development (Lawrence, 2015). Preventing road traffic accidents requires good methods developed in identifying, analysing and treating accident-prone areas (Cela et al. 2011). Along the M1 road, bush clearing as a method of road safety has been employed to improve road clearance and visibility but accidents are still concentrated on some sections of the road. Major factors causing road accidents including geometric factors (Ocinneide, 1994; Jason et al. 2014; Pranay et al. 2015; Abbas, 2018; Mandefro,2019; Mulugeta,2018; Nyoni,2017; Jayvant et al. 2015) and human factors (Charles et al. 2017; Li, 2010; Oluleye, 2009; Banza et al. 2017; Manong'a, 2015) have been studied in isolation. However, Islam et al. (2017) reported that there is an interplay between geometric and human factors leading to road accidents which need further analysis so that accidents are reduced. Hence, this study was set to analyse the
nexus of geometric and human factors leading to road accidents on M1 road in Malawi focusing on the Mzuzu-Jenda M1 stretch.

### 1.3 Objectives

The study aimed at analysing the nexus of road geometrics and human factor on road accidents on Mzuzu-Jenda M1 road stretch.

### 1.3.1 Specific objectives

1) To assess geometric elements of the accident-prone areas
2) To analyse human behaviour leading to road accidents on Mzuzu-Jenda M1 road stretch
3) To evaluate the relationship between road geometric elements and human behaviour impact on road accidents
4) To determine the deviations of existing road alignment from standard geometric alignment on accident-prone sections

### 1.3.2 Research questions

1) What are the geometrics elements present in accident-prone areas?
2) What human behaviours lead to road accidents?
3) What is the impact of geometric elements on human behaviour that lead to accidents?
4) How far is the existing road alignment deviating from the standard geometric parameters on accident-prone areas?

### 1.4 Significance of the study

The study will assist in assisting in the implementation of the Malawi National Transportation Master Plan which is to improve the safety of transport infrastructure and services. It will also assist engineers in the designing of road geometrics and reduce property damage and loss of life. The research will provide skills and knowledge to the academic world on how to apply different civil engineering techniques in identifying and addressing real-world challenges.

### 1.5 Ethical Consideration

Ethics is an integral part of the research and its importance varies depending on the methods of inquiry. This study sought ethical clearance from the National Council of Science and Technology (NCST). The NCST approved fieldwork with Protocol Reference number P.07/20/497. Before the interview, the researcher introduced the research context and aims to the participant. The rights of the participants were thoroughly outlined so that the participation was voluntary. Each participant signed a consent form accepting the interview and provision of contact details such as phone or emails.

## CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Road geometric elements

Road geometrics define the alignment of the road and constitutes cross-sections and longitudinal elements of the road. The relationship between these elements and traffic accidents are classified into two groups: cross-section effects and alignment elements (Mohammed, 2019). Key cross-section elements include lane width and shoulder width while the primary alignment elements include horizontal curve (crest and sag curves), sight distance and gradients (Elvik, 2009; Abebe, 2019).

### 2.1.1 Cross-section elements

The width of the radius of the various cross-section elements affects the driver's capability to perform evasive manoeuvres. It also determines the lateral clearances both between vehicles and other road users (Pigman, et al. 2009). Figure 1 below shows the cross-section elements; lane and shoulder width of a two lane-road.


Figure 1. Standard road cross-section showing key elements of the road (Source: AASHTO, 2011)

### 2.1.2 Lane width

Wider lanes are traditionally associated with higher operating speeds and increased safety (Mohammed, 2019). This is correct to suggest that wider lanes provide enough rooms for the drivers to correct mistakes as they drive. Lane width of 3.4 m as a minimum and 3.7 m as maximum have been observed to reduce accidents rates (Zeger et al. 1993). The width of the lane is directly proportional to the cost of construction. Thus, the bigger the lane width the higher the cost of construction.

### 2.1.3 Shoulder width

A shoulder is the portion of the roadway contiguous with the travelled way that accommodates stopped vehicles, emergency use, and lateral support of subbase, base, and surface courses (AASHTO, 2011). The lack of shoulders on the roads makes the road too dangerous as breakdown vehicles are forced to stop at the centre of the road lane. SATTC provides 1.0 m width as the minimum and 1.5 m as maximum. Headman (1990) indicates that accidents decrease with an increase of shoulder-width from 0 m to 2 m .

### 2.1.4 Horizontal curves

The key design parameters of horizontal curves include the radius of curvature, length of a curve, superelevation and transition design (Toole, 2009). A horizontal curve provides a transition between two tangents length of roadway (Deutsch, 2014). Choosing a larger degree of the curve (shorter radius) will make the tangents longer and the curve shorter and sharper (Ghilan et al. 2002). Horizontal curves are identified as the foremost significant geometric factors that affect fatal accidents and injuries on roads (Zhu et.al. 2010). Radius or degree of curvature consistently tops the list of geometry variables that significantly affect operating speeds and crash experience on horizontal curves (Aram, 2010). Accident risks increase with the increase of the degree of curvature (Mathews et al. 1998). The horizontal curve length is given by Equation (1);

$$
L=2 \Pi R\left(\frac{\Delta}{260}\right) .
$$

Where: $\mathbf{L}$ is the length of the curve, $\Delta$ is the central angle and $\mathbf{r}$ is the curve radius.
Literature has indicated that the safety of a horizontal curve, its accident frequency and severity, is partly determined by its internal features (degree of curve, superelevation, lane width, spiral, etc.) and partly by its external features (density of curves upstream, length of the connecting tangent sections, sight distance, etc.) that influence driver expectation and speed in approaching the curve (Hauer, 1999). However, Hauer (1999) did not look into the impact of the entry and exit as factors that also affect the roads accidents on the curve. Superelevation of a road as defined by Abebe (2019) is road traversing incline toward the inside of a horizontal curve to counteract the centrifugal force and increase the safety performance of highway sections also because the riding comfort. Van, (2020) found out the number of accidents on wet pavements to be $6 \%$ higher in curves with superelevation of less than $2 \%$. The typical horizontal curve is depicted in Figure 2 as per Indian Road Congress (IRC) guidelines (IRC:38,1988).


Where
A Total deflection angle
IP Intersection point tangents
RC Radius of circular curve
LS Length of Spiral curve
LC Length of circular curve
L Total length
ST Short length
LT Long length
TS Total tangent distance
ES External distance

Figure 2. Typical road horizontal curve (Garnaik, 2014)

### 2.1.5 Sight distance

Sight distance is that distance along a roadway throughout which an object of specified height is continuously visible to the driving force (Mavromatis, 2013). Stopping sight distance is that the sum of two distances: (1) the space traversed by the vehicle from the moment the driving force sights an object necessitating a stop to the instant the brakes are applied; and (2) the space needed to prevent the vehicle from the moment brake application begins. The two distances are also referred to as brake reaction distance and braking distance, respectively (AASHTO, 2015) The most important component of sight distance on the road is the sight stopping distance (SSD) which affect the feasibility of the road and hence determine how much time the driver can avoid any collision. The sight stopping distance is given by the equation (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2008);

$$
S S D=1.4 P v+\left(\frac{V^{2}}{30(f \pm g)}\right)
$$

Equation (2)

Where: $\mathbf{V}$ is the initial speed ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$ ), $\mathbf{P}$ is the perception-reaction time in seconds, $\mathbf{f}$ is the coefficient of friction and $\mathbf{g}$ is the percent of grade divided by $\mathbf{1 0 0}$

This distance is dependent on several variables including (i) height of the driver's eye above the road surface, (ii) the specified object height above the road surface, and (iii) the height and lateral position of sight obstructions like as cut slopes, guardrail, and retaining walls within the driver's line of sight. Sight distance of sufficient length must be provided to allow drivers to avoid striking unexpected objects in the travelled way (Colorado Department of Transportation, 2009). If safety is to be built into highways, the designer must provide a sight distance of sufficient length during which drivers can control the speed of their vehicles to avoid hitting an unexpected obstacle on the travelled way. The minimum sight distance available on a highway should be sufficiently long to enable a vehicle travelling at or near the likely top speed to stop before reaching an object in its path. While greater length is desirable, sight distance at every point along the highway should be at least that required for a belowaverage operator or vehicle to stop (AASHTO, 2001). Figure 3 is an illustration of sight distance on a horizontal curve.


Figure 3. Recommended sight distance on a horizontal curve of the road (Source: MUTCD Design Manual M 22-01.13)

### 2.1.6 Superelevation

When a vehicle moves in a circular path, it undergoes a centripetal acceleration that acts toward the centre of curvature. This acceleration is sustained by a component of the vehicle's weight related to the roadway superelevation or the side friction developed between the vehicles tires and pavement surface or by a combination of the two (AASHTO, 2011) as illustrated in Figure 4.


Figure 4. An illustration of a vehicle passing through a super elevated road (extracted from AASHTO, 2011, pg.134).

### 2.1.7 Horizontal clearance

The roadside clear zone is the distance from the sting of the travel lane which should be freed from any non-traversable hazard like steep slopes or fixed objects. The clear zone distances are targeted towards allowing approximately 80 to 85 percent of all run-off-the-road vehicles to recover or come to a safe stop (Yassin, 2018). The horizontal clearance length provides enough visibility on the horizontal curve that enables the driver to see other objects ahead at the required sight stopping distance. Equation (4) indicates the calculation of horizontal clearance on a road section.

$$
C=R-(R+b) * \operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{31.83 * A S D}{R+b}\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \text { Equation (4) }
$$

Where: $\mathbf{C}$ is minimum horizontal offset from the sight obstruction to the inner roadway edge $(\mathbf{m}), \mathbf{R}$ is radius of the inner roadway edge $(\mathbf{m}), \mathbf{b}$ is offset between the driver and the inner roadway edge ( $\mathbf{m}$ ) and ASD is available sight distance ( $\mathbf{m}$ )

### 2.1.8 Road Curvature

The safety of a horizontal curve- its accident frequency and severity- is partly determined by the internal features to it (degree of curve, super-elevation, lane width, spiral, etc.) and partly by the external features to it (density of curves upstream, length of the connecting tangent sections, sight distance, etc.) that influence driver expectation and curve approach speed (Hauer, 1999). Further, Hauer (1999) reported that the frequency of road accidents increases with the increase in the degree of the curve. A typical graphical relationship (Figure 5) was also developed by Leisch (1971) describing a direct relationship between accidents and degree of curve. As degree of curve increases so are the number of accidents.


Figure 5. Relationship between degree of the curve and accidents (Source: Leish, 1971)

### 2.2 Human factors

Driving a vehicle is a constant process of interaction whereby the human in the vehicle takes in sensory perceptions and process them (Cickovic, 2016). Drivers attitude towards the safety of themselves, vehicles and that of other road users contribute to the level of frequency of road accidents.

Manong'a (2015) reported that over speeding caused more fatal road traffic accidents in the whole world than any other attribute on road accidents. The study found out that 461 fatal road traffic accidents were caused due to over-speeding and 92 fatal accidents occurred as a result of drivers overtaking carelessly and failure to keep to the near side of their lane. Over speeding makes drivers lose reacting time to control the vehicle in stable condition and fail to brake when they encounter an emergency leading to a vehicle crush (Pikunas, 2004). Charles (2017) found out that in Malawi over speeding by drivers is a result of various factors but mainly hinging on the quest to make a profit in the face of rising fuel costs. Most drivers overload their vehicles so that they can carry more people translating to more money through their transport fares. Further, drivers strive to make several trips in the designated routes they travel and to do so they often over speed. This behaviour has led to inability to control the vehicle when passing through sections that have complex road alignment.

Driving under the influence of alcohol is another human behaviour that has a high probability to lead serious accidents. Even with a little amount of alcohol consumption, drivers are twice likely to be involved in traffic accidents than sober drivers (Zhao, 2014). Wang et.al. (2011) found out that alcohol changes the attitude, driving ability and driving performance of drivers towards safety on the roads.

### 2.3 Road geometric elements impact on human behaviour

Design consistency is the conformance of geometric elements of the road with driver's expectancy, and its importance and significant contribution to road safety are justified by understanding the driver's vehicle interaction. The inconsistencies that exist on a roadway can produce a sudden change in the characteristics of the roadway which can surprise motorists and lead to speed errors (Shashidher, 2018). In contrast, when design consistency is ensured, all abrupt changes in geometric features for contagious highway elements are eliminated, preventing critical driving manoeuvres and minimizing accidents (Koeckner, 1980).

Lamn et al. (1987) (as cited in Shashidher, 2018) reported that half the vehicle accidents are attributed to inappropriate speed adoption in rural highways which design consistency is said to affect safety. Yet, the importance of geometric design consistency to road safety is not always maintained within current design approach.

An inefficient combination of horizontal and vertical alignment may cause road safety challenges, even when the horizontal and vertical alignment are separately consistent with the design standards. Such poor synchronization of horizontal and vertical alignment can create locations where the available sight distance drops below the required sight distance (Hassan, 2001).

### 2.4 Designing road alignment

The position or the layout of the centre line of the road on the ground is called the alignment and takes into consideration different factors including construction cost, maintenance cost, vehicle operation cost, and accident rate (Namitha, 2017). Traditionally, alignment is performed manually using drawing tools and mathematical techniques. When performed manually, geometric design is extremely cumbersome, time-consuming and vulnerable to very costly errors (Ananya, 2016; Chen-fu,2013). The traditional approach is also based mainly on a two-dimensional (2-D) analysis which does not guarantee a satisfactory good design (Yesser et.al. 1998).

Road designing in Malawi was done using local methods of walking to see the best route and using aerial photographic which could be analysed and interpreted using stereoscopes machine which could allow engineers to view in 3 dimensions (3D) (Kulemeka, 2020). The best route was defined focusing on drainage and making the road alignment routed along the ridge. Bearings, distances, sight and prominent natural features were guide-lines in determining road layout plan (Chikhwenda, 2019).

### 2.5 Nexus of human factor and road geometrics on road accidents

Road traffic accidents (RTA) are approaching epidemic proportions in low- and middleincome countries (Nantulya, 2002). RTA and their human casualties are a major scourge in both developed and developing countries (Whitegg, 1987). Accidents are not usually caused by one variable but by a mixture of variables (Oluleye, 2009). Human error is generally agreed to play some part in a large proportion of road accidents which constitutes human,
vehicle and road infrastructure (BMJ, 1978). Even though a third of the accidents are caused by human behaviour related factors, road safety engineering also contributes to traffic accidents (Saupe, 2010). The interaction of vehicle and road geometrics ensures safe travelling on the roads.

A study by Othman et al. (2009) quoting (Fink, 1995) has shown that the degree of curvature is a good predictor of crash rate on horizontal curves. Although the effect of approaching tangent length and sight distance was as clear, the results suggest that the diverse safety effects of long approach tangent length and short approach sight distances became more pronounced on sharp curves. A study by CTE (1995) revealed that superelevation, together with sharp curves, is the main factor of crashes on motorway access ramps.

Studies on road traffic accidents have been done in isolation, focusing on either the contributions of human factors or road geometrics. Road safety engineers are faced with the challenge of addressing safety issues within the three major traffic pillars: human, vehicle and infrastructure (Othman, 2009). Petridou \& Moustaki (2000) in their research on human factors in the causation of road traffic crashes, found out that human behaviour contributes to three of five traffic accidents.

## CHAPTER 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 3.1 Study Area

The research was conducted on the Mzuzu-Jenda M1 road section in areas prone to road accidents. The road meanders along the Chikangawa forest and provides a direct reliable route for both international and local freight from Tanzania. It crosses a geographical area dominated by mountains and valleys. The road has a number of bridges and also evades many mountains and valleys. The stretch has registered more than 100 fatal accidents for the past five years, making it one of the deadliest road stretches in Malawi. Data from Mzuzu Road Traffic Police archive reveal that areas of Champhira, Mapanjira, Luviri trading centre, Wateleka, Kathundu, Kasitu Lodge, Chilera-walanda, Mzimba bridge, Zimwanda and Luwafwa are prone to road a ccidents (GovM, 2019).


Figure 6. Map of Mzimba District showing sections of M1 road under study

### 3.2 Accident hot spots identification

Accident data in areas where accidents frequently happen was obtained from Mzuzu Road Traffic office. Based on records of a four-year period through 2020 has an exponential increase in road accidents along the road section under study as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Number of accidents that occurred in different hot spots on the Mzuzu-Jenda M1 stretch (Source: Malawi Northern Traffic Police)

| Location | Easting | Northing | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kasitu | 586073.362 | 8686082.979 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 13 |
| Mapanjira | 570545.462 | 8661969.966 | 3 | 5 | 1 | - | 9 |
| Ruviri | 572328.853 | 8651663.766 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 16 |
| Mzozoma | 570968.000 | 8654641.000 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 4 |
| Champhira | 564302.393 | 8636730.750 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 14 |
| Chilerawalanda | 575978.026 | 8680312.812 | 4 | 6 | - | 6 | 16 |
| Mzimba Junction | 569284.000 | 8671229.000 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 3 |
| Elephant rock | 585755.000 | 8704014.000 | - |  | - | 1 | 1 |
| Watereka | 574946.000 | 8678638.000 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 3 |
| Kasangadzi | 586708.725 | 8689050.356 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 8 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 1}$ |  |

The identification of the hotspot areas was done using the accident frequency method, of which areas that had more accidents than others were considered as high risks areas and were selected for further investigation. Clustering method of spatial analysis was used in the ArcGIS Software to map those areas which were indicating high rates of accidents.

### 3.3 Research design

The research implored a mixed method. A road survey questionnaire was used to gather qualitative data from drivers and passengers who were involved in car accidents and Survey equipment was used to capture topographic data of the existing road sections in accident hot spot areas.

### 3.4 Sampling frame and methods

### 3.4.1 Sampling frame

A traffic count was done using a formula developed by Cornell University to determine the daily traffic volume on the road section. The development of the formula states that during the busiest day $15 \%$ of the traffic occurs during the busiest hour in rural areas, $11 \%$ of the traffic occurs during the busiest day in urban and $12 \%$ of the traffic occurs during the busiest hour of the day in Suburban. Take a count for 15 minutes, convert this value to a full onehour count by multiplying by 4 . Divide this number by the estimated percentage of traffic for the busiest hour: $15 \%$ in rural areas and $11 \%$ in urban areas. Suburban areas are going to be somewhere in between, usually on the brink of $12 \%$. The traffic count was done for $15 m i n u t e s$ during the busiest hour of the road. According to the information obtained from Raiply Road Block Police officers, 8 vehicles pass through the road on the busiest hour.

Count for 15 Minutes: $\mathrm{T}=8$ Vehicles
One-hour count: T*4=32
Dividing by $15 \%$ ( $15 \%$ of traffic occurs on busiest day in local areas)
$32 / 0.15=214$ vehicles each day

### 3.4.2 Sampling method

Purposive and randomly sampling techniques were utilized in the study. Purpose sampling was used in selecting accidents blackspots with data from Road Traffic Authorities confirming most prone spots in the Mzuzu-Jenda M1 road stretch as the main inclusion criterion. The same method was also used to get drivers who were involved in car accidents as respondents to the survey questionnaire that was distributed to gather human factor details for road accidents.

There are numerous approaches in calculating sample sizes and this study utilized an equation developed by Bartlett et al., (2001) as follows;

$$
n=\frac{P(100-p) Z^{2}}{E^{2}} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \text { Equation (5) }
$$

Where: $\mathbf{n}$ is the sample size, $\mathbf{p}$ is the percentage occurrence of a state or conditions, $\mathbf{E}$ is the percentage maximum error required and $\mathbf{Z}$ is the value corresponds to level of confidence
required. Having 214 vehicles as the daily traffic volume with a margin error of $+/-2 \%$ at 95\% confidence level, the sample size used for the research was 197.

### 3.5 Software and Equipment

This study made use of software and equipment to successfully conduct data collection and analysis as outlined in Table 2.

Table 2. List of software and equipment used during data collection and analysis

| Specific <br> Objective | Data Required | Data Collection <br> Method | Analysis Method | Software |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To identify <br> road <br> geometric <br> elements of <br> accidents <br> prone areas | Accident data <br> Coordinates and <br> elevation of <br> accidents spots <br> (XYZ) | Archival of road <br> traffic accidents <br> reports at Regional <br> Traffic Police <br> Office <br> Differential GPS | Mapping hotspot areas <br> (Kernel density <br> Estimation) <br> $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\frac{1}{\mathrm{nh}^{2}} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{K}\left(\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}} \\ \mathrm{h}\end{array}\right.$ | ArcGIS10.6 |
| To evaluate <br> human factor <br> leading to <br> road accidents <br> on Mzuzu- <br> Jenda M1 <br> road stretch | Vehicle speed <br> data <br> Age <br> Gender <br> Experience <br> Type of Vehicle <br> Vehicle <br> condition | Speed radar gun <br> Road survey <br> questionnaire | Pearson <br> correlation coefficient <br> Logistic regression <br> $\boldsymbol{l o g} \frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{1-\boldsymbol{p}}=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{0}}+\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}} \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{1}}+$ <br> $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}} \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{2}}+\cdots \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{k}}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ | STATA |
| SATCC |  |  |  |  |

### 3.6 Data analysis

### 3.6.1 Assessment of geometric elements of the Mzuzu-Jenda M1 Stretch in accidentprone areas

## Super-elevation analysis on a Horizontal Curve

Super-elevation analysis has been done using the law of mechanics that governs vehicles operations on a curve. The formula below indicates force that balances or is at equilibrium when a vehicle is passing through a horizontal curve. When a vehicle is passing through a horizontal curve, the forces are supposed to equate to zero indicating the balance as stipulated in AASHTO (2011) shown in the formula below.

$$
\frac{0.01 e+f}{1-0.01 e f}=\frac{v^{2}}{g R}=\frac{0.0079 V^{2}}{R}=\frac{V^{2}}{127 R} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \text { Equation (6) }
$$

Where: $\mathbf{e}$ is rate of roadway superelevation (\%), $\mathbf{f}$ is side friction (demand) factor ( $\mathbf{v}$ ) is vehicle speed $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s}), \mathbf{g}$ is gravitational constant $\left(\mathbf{9 . 8} / \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{2}}\right), \mathbf{V}$ is vehicle speed $(\mathbf{k m} / \mathbf{h})$ and $\mathbf{R}$ is radius of curve ( $\mathbf{m}$ )

## Sight stopping distance analysis (SSD)

The analysis of SSD has been based on the existing ground measurements and designing standards using the existing parameters. Using equation (7) as provided by AASHTO (2011), calculations were done using the existing horizontal radius obtained from the plotted topographic survey data and speed limit as indicated on the speed road signs. The differential Global Position system was used to collect topographic survey data of the existing road alignment. On each location of the study area, controls (Easting, northing and elevation) was established to act as a base for all survey measurements which were connected to a national coordinate network system.

Where: ASSD is the available sight stopping distance ( $\mathbf{m}$ ), $\mathbf{R}$ is the radius of the curve ( $\mathbf{m}$ ) and $\mathbf{M}$ is the mid-ordinate of the curve ( $\mathbf{m}$ )

The calculations of the required SSD of the road section from the design manual AASHTO (2011) recommends the use of the existing speed limits on that road horizontal curve section and corresponding the side friction coefficient.

$$
\text { RSSD }=0.694 V+\left(\frac{V^{2}}{254 f}\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \text { Equation (8) }
$$

Where: $\mathbf{S}$ is required sight stopping distance $(\mathbf{m}), \mathbf{V}$ is Designated speed limit $(\mathbf{K m} / \mathbf{h r})$ and $\mathbf{f}$ is friction coefficient

Analysing whether the present SSD is sufficient or not is based on the comparison of the existing SSD and what is required or recommended. The measure of the different significance in causing road accidents is based on the reaction time.

## Limit state function for the stopping sight distance

The calculation of the limit function for the sight stopping distance has been based by using the formula below as discussed by Porter (2015). The analysis of the significance of the limit function for the sight stopping distance was done by the use of the standards provided by AASHTO for the speed limit vs the required sight distance.

$$
Z_{S S D}=(\boldsymbol{A S S D})-(\boldsymbol{R S S D}) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . .
$$

Where: $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{ss}}$ is the limit function for the sight stopping distance, $\mathbf{A S S D}$ is the available sight stopping distance and RSSD is the required sight stopping distance

## Horizontal clearance

The required clearance by the inner roadside of a curve has been analysed using equation (10) provided by AASHTO (2011) below. The value of $b$ was obtained from the ground measurement while the ASD was obtained after the topographic survey data was plotted on AutoCAD Civil 3D.

$$
C=R-(R+b) * \operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{31.83 * A S D}{R+b}\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \text { Equation (10) }
$$

Where $\mathbf{C}$ is minimum horizontal offset from the sight obstruction to the inner roadway edge $(\mathbf{m}), \mathbf{R}$ is radius of the inner roadway edge $(\mathbf{m}), \mathbf{b}$ is offset between the driver and the inner roadway edge ( $\mathbf{m}$ ) and ASD is available sight distance ( $\mathbf{m}$ )

## Curvature of the horizontal curves

The impact of the curvature on road accidents has been done by the impact of deflection angle on the frequency of road accidents. Figure 7 is a graph developed by Hauer (1993) and summarises the impact of an increase in the deflection angle on the frequency of accidents.


Figure 7. The relationship between deflection angle and frequency of accidents

## Topographic Survey data

The equipment used in this study included Single Leica GS15 GPS System, Leica Total Station and Leica Dumpy level each with its own accompanying accessories. The Leica GS 15 GPS System is a Global Positioning System that makes use of a system of satellites to accurately determine the position of features relative to a chosen datum by means of coordinates. It gives the real time $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and Z coordinates of features thereby making it possible for the feature to be located on the earth's surface. This was used to establish a horizontal control network in Post Processing mode. Its main strength is in its ability to pick data for surveying at highly impressive speeds and the ability to cover a large distance from a single setting. When capturing horizontal data (XY), it covers a distance of 6 Kilometres with
accuracy of $+/-20 \mathrm{~mm}$ while with vertical control it varies hence not ideal for precise Engineering work.

Dumpy level was used to establish vertical control network. This is a highly accurate level that can transfer or determine precise height differences between surveying legs of up to 50 meters.

### 3.6.2 Analysis of human behaviour leading to road accidents on Mzuzu-Jenda M1 road stretch

Logistic Regression model was used instead of Linear Regression because the dependent variable is categorical and not continuous.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{p}{1-p}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} x_{2}+\cdots \beta_{k i} x_{k i}+\varepsilon . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Logistic Regression model determines the probability of a particular categorical outcome (an accident) given a set of independent variables. In this case, the dependent variable was "were you the driver at the time of the accident?" while age, gender, marital status, experience and other factors were used as independent variables. The probable answers being binary the responses were either 0 or $1(0=\mathrm{No}$ and $1=y e s)$, analysis of such variables follows Logit or Probit analysis hence the model used is fit for this survey.

### 3.6.3 Evaluation of the relationship between road geometric elements and human behaviour impact on road accidents

The road geometric elements and human behaviour factors were analysed using graphs in Microsoft excel to check their relation to causation of road accidents. A speed radar gun was used to capture vehicle speed tracking it on two locations. In the research done by (Natalia, 2016), they used different methods to track the speed base-ball and found that the speed radar gun app was very effective. This was used instead of the Police traffic speed radar detector because at the time of the data collection, their equipment were in South Africa for calibration.

### 3.6.4 Modelling alternative road design alignment on accident-prone sections

The following design criteria based on the geometric design standards manual of Southern Africa Transport and Communications Commission (SATCC) 2001 were assigned to the horizontal geometry of the centre line, profile and cross-section of the roadway. The design criteria on sections differ depending on the cross section and alignment existing information of that location under study.

The general areas of focus include design speed, superelevation rate, coefficient of friction, minimum K for crest curves, roadway width, carriageway width and shoulder width. The following general procedure in the designing process of the road using AutoCAD Civil 3D as presented in Autodesk, 2020 was employed.
i. Prepare point file (comprising of easting, Northing and elevation saved in Excel CSV format
ii. Create a new job in AutoCAD Civil 3D
iii. Import the point file into the AutoCAD Civil 3D environment
iv. Create a road alignment using alignment creation tool
v. Create a surface profile
vi. Create a grade line (proposed road design alignment) and vertical curves using the profile creation tools
vii. Create assembly. The assembly is constructed by adding individual sub assembly which consists of the road lane, width and daylight that has specifications based on the design criteria
viii. Create a corridor, 3D model representation that consists of a combination of vertical, horizontal and cross section elements. Corridors are also used to calculate earth work perform sight distance analysis and find data for construction purposes.
ix. Generate the volume report which also shows among others the fill and cut data
x. Produce the perspective view

## CHAPTER 4: RESULTS

### 4.1 Road geometric elements of the Mzuzu-Jenda M1 Road stretch in accident-prone areas

### 4.1.1 Mapanjira

The general alignment of the road section at Mapanjira constitute a reverse curve with radii; 78.461 m and 103.891 m with deflection angles of $73^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ and $55^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. The vertical profile of the road section indicated no presence of sag or vertical curves as the overall grade was $-0.01 \%$.

The operating speed indicated on the sign post approaching the curve shows 60 km per hour speed limit. Rumble strips had been installed 150 m away from the curve in both directions. Along the right side of the curve, guardrails have been placed to protect vehicles that may skid off. Using the topographic survey data of the existing road and the cross section elements were plotted in AutoCAD Civil 3D as shown in Figure 8.


Figure 8. Horizontal profile of the road section at Mapanjira

## Evaluating Superelevation on First Horizontal curve

Superelevation of the road section was calculated using Equation (6) as follows;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{0.01 * 0.04+0.18}{1-0.01 * 0.04 * 0.18}=\frac{277.778}{78.461 * 9.8}=\frac{0.0079 *(60) 2}{78.461}=\frac{(60) 2}{127(78.461)} \\
\frac{0.1804}{0.99928}=\frac{277.778}{78.461 * 9.8}=\frac{24.440}{78.461}=\frac{3600}{127 * 78.461} \\
0.181=0.361=0.362=0.361
\end{gathered}
$$

## Available sight stopping distance (ASSD) for the first curve

Available sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (7) as follows;
Where b is 9.166 m and R is 78.461 m
The sight stopping distance will be;

$$
\mathrm{ASSD}=\frac{R}{28.65}\left[\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{R-M}{R}\right)\right.
$$

76.405 m

## The required sight stopping distance for the first curve (RSSD)

The required sight stopping distance has been calculated using Equation (8) as follows; From the ground observation and brake force coefficient used;

Speed $=60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and $\mathrm{f}=0.18$

$$
R S S D=0.694(60)+(60)^{2} / 254(0.18)
$$

## The limit function of sight stopping distance $\left(Z_{S S D}\right)$

The limit function of the sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (9) as follows;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{SSD}}= & 76.405-120.652 \\
& -44.247 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Horizontal clearance for the first curve

The clearance for the horizontal obstruction has been calculated using the available sight distance, the radius of the inner roadway and the offset below driver and the inner roadway edge. The calculation was done using Equation (10) as follows;
Where $\mathrm{C}=$ horizontal clearance $(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{R}=78.461 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{ASD}=76.405$ and $\mathrm{b}=3.4 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
C=78.461-(78.461+3.4) * \operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{31.83 * 76.405}{78.461+3.4}\right) \\
=7.359 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Curvature of the first simple horizontal curve

The analysis of curvature of the road which measures the impact deflection angle on road accidents has been done by the use of accident-deflection angle graph developed by Hauer (1993). The deflection angle of the first curve is $73^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$.


Figure 9. First Mapanjira simple deflection angle

## Evaluating Superelevation on the Second Horizontal Curve

Superelevation of the road section was calculated using Equation (6) as follows;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{0.01 * 0.04+0.18}{1-0.01 * 0.04 * 0.18}=\frac{277.778}{103.891 * 9.8}=\frac{0.0079 *(60) 2}{103.891}=\frac{(60) 2}{127(103.891)} \\
\frac{0.1804}{0.99928}=\frac{277.778}{103.891 * 9.8}=\frac{28.440}{103.891}=\frac{3600}{127 * 103.891} \\
0.181=0.273=0.273=0.273
\end{gathered}
$$

## Available sight stopping distance (ASSD) for the Second curve

Available sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (7) as follows;

Where is 12.137 m is Mid ordinate and R is 103.891 m
The sight stopping distance is;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { ASSD }=\frac{258.373}{28.65}\left[\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{258.373-10.058}{258.373}\right)\right] \\
=101.433 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## The required sight stopping distance for the second curve (RSSD)

The required sight stopping distance has been calculated using Equation (8) as follows;
Vehicle speed $=60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and brake coefficient $=0.18$

$$
\begin{gathered}
R S S D=0.694(60)+(60)^{2} / 254(0.18) \\
R S S D=120.652 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## The limit function of sight stopping distance $\left(Z_{S S D}\right.$

The limit function of the sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (9) as follows;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{SSD}}=101.433-120.652 \\
-19.219 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Horizontal clearance for the second Curve

The clearance for the horizontal obstruction has been calculated using the available sight distance, the radius of the inner roadway and the offset below driver and the inner roadway edge. The calculation was done using Equation (10) as follows;
Where $\mathrm{C}=$ Horizontal clearance $(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{R}=103.891 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{ASD}=101.433 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~b}=3.4 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
C=103.891-(103.891+3.4) * \operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{31.83 * 101.433}{103.891+3.4}\right) \\
=11.074 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Curvature of the horizontal curves on the second curve

The analysis of curvature of the road which measures the impact deflection angle on road accidents has been done by the use of accident-deflection angle graph developed by Hauer, 1993. The deflection angle for the second curve is $55^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$


Figure 10. Second Mapanjira simple deflection angle

## Lane width on the whole road section

The road section has a lane width of 3.4 m .

## Shoulder width on the whole road section

The ground observations and measurements indicated there is no shoulder on this road section.

### 4.1.2 Champhira

The ground measurements on this road section indicates a lane width of the road 3.5 m and a shoulder width of an average 0.5 m . A road sign indicates speed limit of 80 km per hour. The general alignment of the road section at Champhira consists of a compound Horizontal curve with a radius of 209.914 m with a deflection angle of $27^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$. The vertical profile of the road section indicates no presence of sag or crest curves as the overall grade is $-0.03 \%$.


Figure 11. The longitudinal presentation of the road at Champhira

## Superelevation on the Horizontal curve

Superelevation of the road section was calculated using Equation (6) as follows;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{0.01 * 0.04+0.18}{1-0.01 * 0.04 * 0.18}=\frac{(17.7)^{2}}{209.914 *(9.8)}=\frac{0.0079 *(80)^{2}}{209.914}=\frac{(80)^{2}}{127(209.914)} \\
\frac{0.1804}{0.99928}=\frac{493.827}{9.8 * 209.914}=\frac{113.76}{209.914}=\frac{14400}{127 * 209.914} \\
0.118053=0.240=0.241=0.240
\end{gathered}
$$

## Available sight stopping distance of the Horizontal Curve (ASSD)

Available sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (7) as follows;
Where b is 24.523 m and R is 209.914 m ;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{A S S D}=\frac{209.914}{28.65}\left[\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{209.914-24.523}{209.914}\right)\right] \\
=204.926 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Required sight stopping distance of the Horizontal Curve (RSSD)

The required sight stopping distance has been calculated using Equation (8) as follows;

Vehicle speed $=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and brake coefficient $=0.18$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{R S S D}=0.694(80)+(80)^{2} / 254(0.18) \\
\mathrm{S}=195.502
\end{gathered}
$$

## The limit function of sight stopping distance $\left(Z_{\text {SSD }}\right)$

The limit function of the sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (9) as follows;

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{SSD}}=204.926-195.502
$$

## Horizontal clearance for the Curve

The clearance for the horizontal obstruction has been calculated using the available sight distance, the radius of the inner roadway and the offset below driver and the inner roadway edge. The calculation was done using Equation (10) as follows;

Where C=Horizontal clearance (m), $\mathrm{R}=209.914 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{ASD}=204.926 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~b}=3.5 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
C=209.914-(209.914+3.5) * \operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{31.83 * 204.926}{209.914+3.5}\right) \\
=26.151 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Curvature of the horizontal curves on the curve

The analysis of curvature of the road which measures the impact deflection angle on road accidents has been done by the use of accident-deflection angle graph developed by Hauer, 1993. The deflection angle for the second curve is $27^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$


Figure 12. Compound Curve deflection angle

## Lane Width of the whole road section

The road section has a lane width of 3.5 m .

## Shoulder width of the whole road section

The shoulder of the road is 0.5 m .

### 4.1.3 Chilerawalanda

The ground measurements on this 1.9 km road section shows lane width of 3.5 m and a shoulder width of an average 0.2 m . The road signs as we approach the curve indicates a speed limit of 60 km per hour. The general alignment of the road section at Chilerawalanda consists of a broken-back horizontal curve with radii of 104.643 m and 75.853 m with deflection angles of $54^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ and $75^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. The vertical profile of the road section indicates a slope of $-7.46 \%$ as shown in the Figure 13 below.


Figure 13. The horizontal alignment of the road section at Chilerawalanda

## Superelevation of the first Curve

Superelevation of the road section was calculated using Equation (6) as follows;

$$
\frac{0.01 * 0.04+0.18}{1-0.01 * 0.04 * 0.18}=\frac{(16.667)^{2}}{104.643 *(9.8)}=\frac{0.0079 *(60)^{2}}{104.643}=\frac{(60)^{2}}{127(104.643)}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{0.1804}{0.99928}=\frac{277.778}{1025.501}=\frac{28.444}{104.643}=\frac{3600}{13289.661} \\
0.118053=0.271=0.273=0.271
\end{gathered}
$$

## Available sight stopping distance of the simple horizontal curve(ASSD)

Available sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (7) as follows;

Where R is 104.643 m and M is 12.225 m

$$
\begin{aligned}
A S S D=\frac{104.643}{28.65} & {\left[\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{104.643-12.225}{104.643}\right)\right] } \\
& =102.136 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Required sight stopping distance of the simple horizontal curve (RSSD)

The required sight stopping distance has been calculated using Equation (8) as follows;

Vehicle speed $=50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and brake coefficient $=0.18$

$$
\begin{gathered}
R S S D=0.694(60)+(60)^{2} / 254(0.18) \\
=120.38 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Limit state function for the stopping sight distance of the first curve

The limit function of the sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (9) as follows;

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{\text {SSD }}= & 102.136-120.383 \\
& -18.247 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Horizontal clearance for the first Curve

The clearance for the horizontal obstruction has been calculated using the available sight distance, the radius of the inner roadway and the offset below driver and the inner roadway edge. The calculation was done using Equation (10) as follows;
Where $\mathrm{C}=$ Horizontal clearance $(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{R}=104.643 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{ASD}=102.136 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~b}=3.4 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
C=104.643-(104.643+3.4) * \operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{31.83 * 102.136}{104.643+3.4}\right)
$$

11.160 m

## Curvature of the horizontal curves on the Second curve

The analysis of curvature of the road which measures the impact deflection angle on road accidents has been done by the use of accident-deflection angle graph developed by Hauer, 1993. The deflection angle for the second curve is $54^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ as shown in Figure14.


Figure 14. Deflection angle of the first curve

## Superelevation of the second Curve

Superelevation of the road section was calculated using Equation (6) as follows;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{0.01 * 0.04+0.18}{1-0.01 * 0.04 * 0.18}=\frac{(16.667)^{2}}{75.853 *(9.8)}=\frac{0.0079 *(60)^{2}}{75.853}=\frac{(60)^{2}}{127(75.853)} \\
\frac{0.1804}{0.99928}=\frac{277.778}{743.359}=\frac{28.444}{75.853}=\frac{3600}{9633.331} \\
0.118053=0.374=0.375=0.374
\end{gathered}
$$

## Available sight stopping distance of the first horizontal curve(ASSD)

Available sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (7) as follows;

Where R is 75.853 m and M is 8.861 m

$$
\begin{gathered}
A S S D=\frac{75.853}{28.65}\left[\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{75.853-8.861}{75.853}\right)\right] \\
74.057 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Required Sight Stopping distance on the second curve (RSSD)

The required sight stopping distance has been calculated using Equation (8) as follows;

Vehicle speed $=60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and brake coefficient $=0.18$

$$
R S S D=0.694(60)+(60)^{2} / 254(0.18)
$$

120.38 m

## Limit state function for the stopping sight distance

The limit function of the sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (9) as follows;

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{S S D}= & 74.057-120.383 \\
& -46.326 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Horizontal clearance for the first Curve

The clearance for the horizontal obstruction has been calculated using the available sight distance, the radius of the inner roadway and the offset below driver and the inner roadway edge. The calculation was done using Equation (10) as follows;

Where $\mathrm{C}=$ Horizontal clearance $(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{R}=75.853 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{ASD}=74.057 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~b}=3.4 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
C=75.853-(75.853+3.4) * \operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{31.83 * 74.057}{75.853+3.4}\right)
$$

7.041m

## Curvature of the horizontal curves on the Second curve

The analysis of curvature of the road which measures the impact deflection angle on road accidents has been done by the use of accident-deflection angle graph developed by Hauer, 1993. The deflection angle for the second curve is $75^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$


Figure 15. Deflection angle of the second curve

## Lane Width

The road section has a lane width of 3.4 m

## Shoulder width

The shoulder of the road is 0.2 m

### 4.1.4 Ruviri

The ground measurements on this road section shows width of the road 3.5 m and there was no shoulder on the right hand side of the road, while the left side has a shoulder width of 0.45 m . The road sign indicated a speed limit of 50 km per hour. The general alignment of the road section at Ruviri consists of a broken-back curve with a radius of 89.276 m and
104.248 m with deflection angles of $64^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ and $54^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. The vertical profile of the road section indicates a gentle moderate slope.

Rumble stripes have been installed 150m away from the beginning of the curve.


Figure 16. The horizontal alignment of the road section at Ruviri

## Superelevation of the first curve

Superelevation of the road section was calculated using Equation (6) as follows;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{0.01 * 0.04+0.18}{1-0.01 * 0.04 * 0.18}=\frac{(13.889)^{2}}{89.276 *(9.8)}=\frac{0.0079 *(50)^{2}}{89.276}=\frac{(50)^{2}}{127 * 89.276)} \\
\frac{0.1804}{0.99928}=\frac{192.904}{874.905}=\frac{19.75}{890276}=\frac{2500}{11338.052} \\
0.118053=0.220=0.221=0.22
\end{gathered}
$$

## Available Sight distance of the first Curve (ASSD)

Available sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (7) as follows;

Where M is Mid ordinate 10.429 m and R is 89.276 m

$$
\begin{gathered}
A S S D=\frac{89.276}{28.65}\left[\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{89.276-10.429}{89.276}\right)\right] \\
87.162 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Required Sight Stopping distance of the first curve (RSSD)

The required sight stopping distance has been calculated using Equation (8) as follows;

Vehicle speed $=50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and brake coefficient $=0.18$

$$
\begin{gathered}
R S S D=0.694(50)+(50)^{2} / 254(0.18) \\
S=89.381 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Limit state function for the stopping sight distance

The limit function of the sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (9) as follows;

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{S S D}= & 87.162-89.381 \\
& -2.219 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Horizontal clearance for the first Curve

The clearance for the horizontal obstruction has been calculated using the available sight distance, the radius of the inner roadway and the offset below driver and the inner roadway edge. The calculation was done using Equation (10) as follows;

Where $C=$ Horizontal clearance ( m ), $\mathrm{R}=89.276 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{ASD}=87.162 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~b}=3.4 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
C=89.276-(89.276+3.4) * \operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{31.83 * 87.162}{89.276+3.4}\right)
$$

8.965 m

## Curvature of the horizontal curves on the Second curve

The analysis of curvature of the road which measures the impact deflection angle on road accidents has been done by the use of accident-deflection angle graph developed by Hauer, 1993. The deflection angle for the second curve is $64^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ as shown in Figure 17.


Figure 17. Deflection angle of the first curve

## Superelevation of the second curve

Superelevation of the road section was calculated using Equation (6) as follows;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{0.01 * 0.04+0.18}{1-0.01 * 0.04 * 0.18}=\frac{(13.889)^{2}}{104.248 *(9.8)}=\frac{0.0079 *(50)^{2}}{104.248}=\frac{(50)^{2}}{127 * 104.248)} \\
\frac{0.1804}{0.99928}=\frac{192.904}{104.248}=\frac{19.75}{104.248}=\frac{2500}{13239.496} \\
0.118053=0.189=0.189=0.18
\end{gathered}
$$

## Available Sight distance of the first Curve (ASSD)

Available sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (7) as follows;

Where M is Mid ordinate 12.200 m and R is 104.248 m

$$
A S S D=\frac{104.248}{28.65}\left[\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{104.248-12.200}{104.248}\right)\right]
$$

## Required Sight Stopping distance of the first curve (RSSD)

The required sight stopping distance has been calculated using Equation (8) as follows;
Vehicle speed $=50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and brake coefficient $=0.18$

$$
\begin{gathered}
R S S D=0.694(50)+(50)^{2} / 254(0.18) \\
S=89.381 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Limit state function for the stopping sight distance

The limit function of the sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (9) as follows;

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z_{\text {SSD }}=101.873-89.381 \\
12.492 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Horizontal clearance for the first Curve

The clearance for the horizontal obstruction has been calculated using the available sight distance, the radius of the inner roadway and the offset below driver and the inner roadway edge. The calculation was done using Equation (10) as follows;
Where $\mathrm{C}=$ Horizontal clearance $(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{R}=104.248 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{ASD}=101.873 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~b}=3.4 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
C=104.248-(104.248+3.4) * \operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{31.83 * 101.873}{104.248+3.4}\right)
$$

11.136m

## Curvature of the horizontal curves on the Second curve

The analysis of curvature of the road which measures the impact deflection angle on road accidents has been done by the use of accident-deflection angle graph developed by Hauer, 1993. The deflection angle for the second curve is $54^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ as shown in Figure 18.


Figure 18. Deflection angle of the second curve

## Lane Width

The road section has a lane width of 3.5 m

## Shoulder width

The shoulder on the left side of the road is 0.45 m and 0.000 m on the right hand side.

### 4.1.5 Kasitu

The ground measurements on this road section shows width of the road 3.5 m with a shoulder width of 0.5 m on the right and 1.0 m shoulder on the left.

The general alignment of the road section at Kasitu a reverse curve with radii of 324.093 m and 309.605 with deflection angles of $52^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ and $42^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. The vertical profile of the road section indicates a gentle moderate slope. The road sign indicates a speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and rumble stripes have been installed 84 m away from the beginning of the curve.

Rumble surfaces have been installed at the beginning of the curve as a safety measures to alert the traffic of the curves ahead.

Figure 19. The horizontal alignment of road the section at Kasitu

## Superelevation of the first curve

Superelevation of the road section was calculated using Equation (6) as follows;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{(0.01 * 0.04)+0.18}{1-0.01 * 0.04 * 0.18}=\frac{192.904}{71.649 *(9.8)}=\frac{0.0079 *(50)^{2}}{71.649}=\frac{(50)^{2}}{127(71.649)} \\
\frac{0.1804}{0.99928}=\frac{192.904}{702.160}=\frac{19.75}{71.649}=\frac{2500}{9099.423} \\
0.118053=0.275=0.276=0.275
\end{gathered}
$$

## Available Sight stopping distance on the first Curve (ASSD)

Available sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (7) as follows;

Where R is 71.649 m and M is 8.370 m

$$
\begin{gathered}
A S S D=\frac{71.649}{28.65}\left[\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{71.649-8.370}{71.649}\right)\right] \\
69.977 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Required Sight Stopping distance on the first curve (RSSD)

The required sight stopping distance has been calculated using Equation (8) as follows;

Where $\mathrm{V}=50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and the breaking coefficient $(f)$ is 0.18

$$
\begin{gathered}
R S S D=0.694(50)+(50)^{2} / 254(0.18) \\
89.381 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Limit state function for the stopping sight distance

The limit function of the sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (9) as follows;

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{\text {SSD }}= & 69.977-89.381 \\
& -19.404 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Horizontal clearance for the first Curve

The clearance for the horizontal obstruction has been calculated using the available sight distance, the radius of the inner roadway and the offset below driver and the inner roadway edge. The calculation was done using Equation (10) as follows;

Where $\mathrm{C}=$ Horizontal clearance $(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{R}=71.649 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{ASD}=69.977 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~b}=3.4 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
C=71.649-(71.649+3.4) * \operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{31.83 * 69.977}{71.649+3.4}\right)
$$

## Curvature of the horizontal curves on the Second curve

The analysis of curvature of the road which measures the impact deflection angle on road accidents has been done by the use of accident-deflection angle graph developed by Hauer, 1993. The deflection angle for the second curve is $79^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ as shown in Figure 20.


Figure 20. Deflection angle of the first curve

## Superelevation on the second Horizontal Curve

Superelevation of the road section was calculated using Equation (6) as follows;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{(0.01 * 0.04)+0.18}{1-0.01 * 0.04 * 0.18}=\frac{192.904}{96.413 *(9.8)}=\frac{0.0079 *(50)^{2}}{96.413}=\frac{(50)^{2}}{127(96.413)} \\
\frac{0.1804}{0.99928}=\frac{192.904}{944.847}=\frac{19.75}{96.413}=\frac{2500}{12244.451} \\
0.118053=0.204=0.205=0.204
\end{gathered}
$$

## Available Sight stopping distance on the second Curve (ASSD)

Available sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (7) as follows;

Where R is 96.413 and M is 11.263

$$
\begin{gathered}
A S S D=\frac{96.413}{28.65}\left[\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{96.413-11.263}{96.413}\right)\right] \\
94.130 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Required Sight Stopping distance using the second curve (RSSD)

The required sight stopping distance has been calculated using Equation (8) as follows;

Where $\mathrm{V}=50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and the breaking coefficient $(f)$ is 0.18

$$
\begin{gathered}
R S S D=0.694(50)+(50)^{2} / 254(0.18) \\
S=89.381 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Limit state function for the stopping sight distance

The limit function of the sight stopping distance was calculated using Equation (9) as follows;

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{\text {SSD }}= & 94.130-89.381 \\
& 4.749 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Horizontal clearance for the first Curve

The clearance for the horizontal obstruction has been calculated using the available sight distance, the radius of the inner roadway and the offset below driver and the inner roadway edge. The calculation was done using Equation (10) as follows;
Where $C=$ Horizontal clearance $(m), R=96.413 m, A S D=94.130 m, b=3.4 m$

$$
\begin{gathered}
C=96.413-(96.413+3.4) * \operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{31.83 * 94.130}{96.413+3.4}\right) \\
10.127 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Curvature of the horizontal curves on the Second curve

The analysis of curvature of the road which measures the impact deflection angle on road accidents has been done by the use of accident-deflection angle graph developed by Hauer, 1993. The deflection angle for the second curve is $59^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ as shown in Figure21.


Figure 21. Deflection angle of the second curve

## Lane width

The cross-section measurements on this road section indicated that the lane width is 3.5 m

## Shoulder of the road

The cross-section measurements on this road section indicated that there is a 0.4 m shoulder width.

### 4.2 Human behaviour leading to road accidents on Mzuzu-Jenda M1 road stretch

### 4.2.1 Respondents demography

The demographic of the respondents indicated that $71 \%$ were male and $29 \%$ female of which $48.9 \%$ were married and $51.1 \%$ were unmarried. $61.7 \%$ of the respondents driving was their occupation while $37.8 \%$ were not.

Among the 181 respondents, 43 indicated that they were driving at the time of the car accident of which $67.6 \%$ are male drivers and $32.4 \%$ were female drivers. Responding to the question of road signs visibility, $55.9 \%$ indicated that road signs are not visible and $44.1 \%$
indicated that they are visible. On whether they drive at high speed on the rumble strips along the road stretch, $52 \%$ responded yes, $29.4 \%$ indicated no while $17.6 \%$ responded not. $52,9 \%$ of the respondents said they drive at high speed of the rumble strips because they are familiar with the road section, $20.6 \%$ they get irritated, $8.8 \%$ said the car jerks a lot while $17.6 \%$ did not respond. Figure 22 and 23 below summarises the respondent's demography and Human character.


Figure 22. Respondents' demography


Figure 23. Respondents human factors

### 4.2.3 Logistic output for drivers involved in an accident

Table 3 below shows the Logistic Output for Respondents that were driving at the time of an

| Dependent | Variable: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Being involved in a car <br> accident |  |

accident.
Number of Observation $(\mathrm{N})=64$,
Probability $>$ chi $2=0.0862$


Table 3. Logistic output for the respondents
Log likelihood $=-23.292096$

```
* Significance at \(=1 \%, * *\) Significance at \(=5 \%, * * *\) Significance at \(=10 \%\)
```


## Pearson Chi2 Goodness of Fit Test for the model:

The goodness of Fit model shows the validity of the model used. In this case the calculated Chi-square (Model) is 86.54 with a p-value of 0.0001 , which is less than $1 \%(0.01)$ hence highly significant at $1 \%$. Therefore, the model is valid. This is the only reliable overall test of validity for logit models.

The positive coefficients show that those variables increase the likelihood (Probability) of an accident happening while negative coefficients show that those variables decrease the likelihood of an accident happening. However in explaining the variable output concentration is drawn at the variables which are significant at any level i.e at $1 \%, 5 \%$ and $10 \% \mathrm{p}>0.01$, $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ and $\mathrm{p}>0.1$ respectively.

Table 4. Goodness of fit test.

## Logistic model for being involved in accident1, goodness-of-fit test

| Number of observations | 64 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of covariate patterns | 62 |
| Pearson $\mathrm{Chi}^{2}(44)$ | 86.54 |
| Prob >Chi |  |

## Source: Road Survey data Logistic model

## Gender

From the above output, gender was found to be significant at $\mathrm{p}>0.031$ hence significant at $5 \%$. The positive coefficient implies that gender of the driver increased the likelihood of an accident happening. From the results, male drivers were the ones who were involved in traffic accidents as compared to their female driver's counterparts.


Figure 24. Age of the respondents

Results show that more than half ( $52 \%$ ) of the accidents involved drivers at least 30 years of age. Based on Figure 24 drivers over 36 years represented $27 \%$ of those involved in accidents and while those with ages between 31 and 36 years represented $25 \%$. Fewer accidents involved drivers with 14-19 years of age which represented $12 \%$.

## Road condition at the time of the accident

From Table 3 above it can be observed that most drivers (47\%) had accidents while the road was dry. Drivers experienced accident along the Jenda road stretch while the road condition was either wet or dry had an equal percentage of about $26.5 \%$ respectively. The road surface condition whether dry or wet, accidents were still happening along the road stretch.

## Visibility of the road at the time of the accident

The probability/likelihood of an accident happening decreases when the visibility is adequate. When visibility is adequate drivers are in control of the vehicle such that they can easily see what is happening in their environment. The likelihood of an accident happening decreases by -3.858737 .

## Vehicle type versus involvement in an accident

The results show that vehicles that are most likely to involve in an accident are taxis representing 37.2 percent followed by trucks representing 26.4 percent as detailed in Table 5.

Table 5. Vehicles which frequently uses the road and were used for study

| Vehicle | Frequency | Percent (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Truck | 32 | 26.4 |
| Bus | 24 | 19.8 |
| Taxi | 45 | 37.2 |
| Heavy duty vehicles | 20 | 16.5 |
| TOTAL | 121 | 100 |

## Vehicle Certificate of Fitness at the time of an accident

The survey revealed that 70.2 percent of the vehicles involved in accidents had valid Certificate of Fitness (COF), this implied that most vehicles involved in accidents were road worth. The relationship between COF and being involved in an accident was statistically insignificant in terms of increasing the likelihood of an accident happening.

Table 6. Status of Vehicle Certificate of Fitness (COF)

| COF Condition | Frequency | Percent (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Not Valid | 11 | 9.1 |
| Valid | 85 | 70.2 |
| Not Sure | 25 | 20.7 |
| Total | 121 | 100 |

## Driving at high speed on the rumble strips

Data from the survey has revealed that many drivers drive at high speed on rumble road sections with $52 \%$ saying they travel at high speed, while $38 \%$ responded that they do travel at high speed sometimes while $10 \%$ responded that they don't travel at high speed.


Figure 25. Driving at high Speed

## Reasons for driving at high speed on the road rumble strips

Results presented in Figure 26 below show reasons for driving at high speed on the rumble strips installed on specific road stretches. $56 \%$ of the respondents indicated familiarity with the road for driving at high speed on rumble strips, $27 \%$ indicated that they do so to avoid being irritated as they pass through while $9 \%$ said the car jerks a lot. Some drivers ( $9 \%$ ) said it is not applicable to them which meant that they do not drive at high speed on the road rumble strips.


Figure 26. Reasons for driving at high speed

### 4.3 Road geometric elements and human behaviour impact on road accidents

### 4.3.1 Road Geometrics

The road geometric elements data has been concentrated on those elements which impact the driver's ability to control the vehicle while driving in accident-prone areas. Figure 27 below summaries the results of super-elevation, road radius, horizontal clearance, available sight stopping distance, lane width and shoulder width on the areas where a Speed radar gun was used to catch drivers speed. The graph indicates that the superelevation on all the curves is within the design standards, while radius of the curves, horizontal clearance, available sight distance, lane width and shoulder width is below the design requirement standards as indicated by their lower percentages.


Figure 27. Road geometric elements on selected curves

### 4.3.2 Human behaviour (Vehicle Driving Speed)

Vehicle driving speed data was obtained from the use of speed radar gun stationed at two locations to measure the speed of the same vehicle twice as data presented in appendix 1 shows. The first two locations were at Mapanjira curve 1 and Chilerawalanda curve 1 of
which 82 vehicles speed were measured and recorded. From the data obtained, it has been revealed that $78 \%$ of the vehicles were over speeding on both locations, $9 \%$ over speed at one location and $13 \%$ did not over speed and this has been summarised in Figure 28 below,


Figure 28. Vehicle speed tracking at Mapanjira and Chilerawalanda
The second two locations were at Kasitu curve 1 and Ruviri curve 1 where the speed of 82 vehicles was measured and recorded as shown in appendix 2. From the data obtained, it has been revealed that $61 \%$ of the vehicles were over speeding on both locations, $30 \%$ over speed at one location and $9 \%$ did not over speed and this has been summarised in Figure 29 below,


Figure 29. Vehicle speed tracking at Kasitu and Ruviri

## Nexus of road geometrics and human behaviour

The graph below indicates the average speed of drivers on the selected curves. Figure 30 shows that drivers drive at high speed on these curves even though the road geometrics are below the design standards as stipulated in AASHTO and SATTC.


Figure 30. Nexus of road geometrics and human behaviour

### 4.4 To determine the deviations of existing road alignment from standard geometric

 alignment on accident-prone sections
### 4.4.1 Mapanjira

The reverse curve has been maintained to take advantage of the topography of the area but spiral curves have been introduced to make sure they provide a smooth transition in the change of gradient from the straight line into the curve. The red dotted lines indicate existing road alignment plotted from the survey data.


Figure 31. The new modelled Mapanjira road section

### 4.4.2 Champhira

There has been an introduction of two compound curves to the road alignment to make sure that there is smooth transition from the tangents. In between the tangents and the curves, transition curves have also been used to make sure that there is gradual change in gradient hence providing the drivers comfortability in driving. The red dotted lines indicate existing road alignment plotted from the survey data.


Figure 32. The new modelled Champhira road section.

### 4.4.3 Chilerawalanda

The road alignment has been improved with the introduction of lane widening on the second curve to provide enough horizontal clearance so that drivers have enough room for the sight distance. There has also been an introduction in the spiral curves to the simple curves so that they provide a smooth transition from the tangent into the curve. The red dotted lines indicate existing road alignment plotted from the survey data.


Figure 33. The new modelled Chilerawalanda road section

### 4.4.4 Ruviri

Due to the topography of this area, the broken-back curve has been maintained to avoid economic costs in aligning the road on original ground. The Transition curves has been introduced in the new model to make sure that smooth transition has been provided for the road alignment. The red dotted lines indicate existing road alignment plotted from the survey data.


Figure 34. The new modelled Ruviri road section.

### 4.4.5 Kasitu

Maintaining the same reverse curve, spiral curves have been introduced to take advantage of the topography of the location. The road alignment has been improved to make sure that there is no sudden change in the gradient as one enters the curve. The radius on the first curve has been improved to maintain the minimum radius as required by SATTC and AASHTO. The red dotted lines indicate existing road alignment plotted from the survey data.


Figure 35. The new modelled Kasitu road section

## CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION

### 5.1 Geometric elements of the Mzuzu-Jenda M1 Road Stretch in accident-prone areas

### 5.1.1 Mapanjira

As stated in AASHTO (2011) the use of a reverse curve has mostly resulted in drivers getting involved in car accidents because drivers find challenging to manoeuvre correctly as the roads alignment super-elevation changes abruptly. From the results of the plotted data, it has also been revealed that there are no spiral curves that enable a proper transition of the gradient as one moves from tangent to the simple curve.

The results indicate that the super-elevation on this curve is in accordance with the design standards as stipulated in AASHTO,2011. The calculation of the centrifugal force, gravitation force applied by the vehicle, the acceleration and the deceleration of the vehicle is in equilibrium, which means the applied maximum super-elevation of $8 \%$ is correct for the curve for the vehicle traveling at $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. not to swerve away from the centre of gravity.

There are small deflection angles on both curves which make the radii to be smaller than the minimum required by SATTC. The presence of the small deflection angles makes drivers unable to control the vehicle even at the indicated speed level, as Haure (1993) pointed out that when deflection angle reduces there is a direct proportional to the length of the curve hence making the curve dangerous for the road users.

The horizontal clearance on this road section is less than what is required as it is less than the Mid-ordinate of the curve. The Mid-ordinate of the curve provides the adequacy of the horizontal clearance required on that particular curve.

The cross section elements of the road indicate that the road lane width is 3.4 m which is less than the required lane width of a two-lane road. SATTC stipulates that the road width required on a two-lane road should not be less than 3.5 m . This makes drivers more especially of heavy vehicles drive on almost the centre of the road which makes passing with other vehicles challenging.

There is also no shoulder width on this road section. Apart from being used by the pedestrians, road shoulders providers extra space for the drivers to be able to manoeuvre.

### 5.1.2 Champhira

The road alignment on this curve indicates that there are spiral curves that were included in the construction of the compound curve. These provides a gradual transition of the gradient changes from tangents to the curve.

The results indicate that the super-elevation on this curve is in accordance with the design standards as stipulated in AASHTO Road Design of 2011. The calculation of the centrifugal force, gravitation force applied by the vehicle, the acceleration and the deceleration of the vehicle is in equilibrium, which means the applied maximum super-elevation of $8 \%$ is correct for the curve for the vehicle traveling at $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. not to swerve away from the centre of gravity.

There is enough horizontal clearance for the drivers as the horizontal clearance is greater than the Mid-ordinate of the curve.

The lane and shoulder width indicates to be in accordance to the design standards as stipulated in both SATTC and AASHTO.

### 5.1.3 Chilerawalanda

The road alignment indicates that there is a broken-back curve. Further analysis revealed that there are spiral curves on the first curve but not on the second curve. The second curve has been combined with a crest vertical curve and lack of the spiral curves makes it even more dangerous for driving.

The results indicate that the super-elevation on this curve is in accordance with the design standards as stipulated in AASHTO Road Design of 2011. The calculation of the centrifugal force, gravitation force applied by the vehicle, the acceleration and the deceleration of the vehicle is in equilibrium, which means the applied maximum super-elevation of $8 \%$ is correct for the curve for the vehicle traveling at $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ not to swerve away from the centre of gravity.

The stopping sight distance on the second curve is not adequate and this makes driving even within the required speed limit to be challenging and hence making the second curve dangerous.

There is not enough horizontal clearance inside the curve. The calculated horizontal clearance is less than the Mid-ordinate of the curve. This obscures drivers sight to be able to see objects ahead. The required sight distance is also lower than the available site distance and this makes drivers not being able to see the required clear distance as they travel at the required speed limit.

Cross section elements indicate that there is enough lane width as the design standards but the shoulder width has been eaten away. This provides challenges for the drivers as they manoeuvre on this road section since they have to use the second lane of the road for space and making passing with other vehicles difficult and dangerous.

### 5.1.4 Ruviri

Similar to Chilerawalanda road section, the road section at Ruviri has a broken-back curve. From the results of the plotted data, it has also been revealed that there are spiral curves on both curves.

The results indicate that the super-elevation on this curve is in accordance with the design standards as stipulated in AASHTO Road Design of 2011. The calculation of the centrifugal force, gravitation force applied by the vehicle, the acceleration and the deceleration of the vehicle is in equilibrium, which means the applied maximum super-elevation of $8 \%$ is correct for the curve for the vehicle traveling at $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ not to swerve away from the centre of gravity.

The results also reveal that there is enough horizontal clearance for both curves. The calculated horizontal clearance on both curves is more than the Mid-ordinate on each of the curves.

The sight distance on the curve also indicate that there is enough sight stopping distance as the available sight distance is greater the required sight distance.

The cross section elements of the curves reveal that the lane width is enough as per the design standards. The lane width on the left is enough but on the right it has been eaten away by traffic and making the road not to have enough space.

### 5.1.5 Kasitu

The road section indicates that there is a reverse curve with transition and spiral curves on both simple curves. The first curve has a defection angle and radius which are less than the minimum required per SATCC standards. This makes the curve to be a very sharp curve and making manoeuvring challenging. The presence of the successive curve on the opposite side of the first one makes it difficult to consolidate speed for the drivers.

The results indicate that the super-elevation on this curve is in accordance with the design standards as stipulated in AASHTO Road Design of 2011. The calculation of the centrifugal force, gravitation force applied by the vehicle, the acceleration and the deceleration of the vehicle is in equilibrium, which means the applied maximum super-elevation of $8 \%$ is correct for the curve for the vehicle traveling at $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ not to swerve away from the centre of gravity.

Even though the horizontal clearance of the road is smaller than the Mid-ordinates on both curves, through the bush clearing there is enough clearance for the drivers as they pass through the curve.

There is also enough sight stopping distance for the road users.

### 5.2 Analysing human behaviour leading to road accidents on Mzuzu-Jenda M1 road stretch

The positive coefficient implies that the gender of the one driving increased the likelihood of an accident happening. Specifically, male drivers were the ones more involved in accidents. Men drove at high speed along the stretch compared to women. For every woman who responded to drive above $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$, double were the men who drove at such speed. Women are therefore seen to be more cautious on road compared to men. $45 \%$ of the women drivers involved in car accidents were not familiar with the road stretch while only $30 \%$ of men drivers were not familiar. Men drivers familiar with the road stretch even drove at the strips with high speed compared to female drivers. Likewise, the male drivers, the female drivers who drove at the strips with higher speed also cited car jerking and irritation being other reasons other than familiarity which led them to drive with high speed at the rumble strips. It can therefore be concluded that gender and familiarity with the road led drivers to made them drive at high speed along the stretch and indeed even at the strips. Studies done by (Kloeden et al, (1997), (Tanishita et al, 2016) and (WHO,2018) reveals that there a positive relationship between driving at high speed and likelihood of being involved in an accident. Most of the
drivers had considerable driving experience and respondents indicate to have driven for 24-36 months.

Despite the coefficients of other age ranges have a positive sign which implies that age increased the likelihood of an accident happening among drivers the only age range which was significant and to be explained in this survey is age category $20-25$ Years. This range was significant at $\mathrm{p}>0.089$ which is significant at $10 \%$. This means that only drivers belonging to the age range 20-25 years increased the probability of an accident happening. The drivers within this age range responded that they were driving at high speed even on rainy weather conditions and rainy condition was found to increase the probability of an accident happening at $\mathrm{p}>0.074$ which is significant at $\mathrm{p}>0.1$ increased the chances of the accident happening.

Drivers experiencing accident along the Jenda road stretch while the road condition was either wet or dry had an equal percentage of about $26.5 \%$ respectively. However, when computing in logit model only rainy road condition was found significant at $\mathrm{p}>0.074$ which is significant at $\mathrm{p}>0.1$. Therefore, driving while the road condition is rainy increased the probability of the drivers having an accident along Mzuzu-Jenda M1 road stretch.

The study reveals that males are the ones usually involved in an accident and are the ones mostly driving vehicles that are highly associated with accidents vis-a-vis: taxis and trucks.

During the time of an accident, taxis were travelling at a high speed (above $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) while a majority of the respondents responded that they could not even ascertain the speed at which the taxi was travelling. The same pattern is observed in trucks as about 15.7 percent of the respondent responded that trucks were travelling at high speed at the time of the accident. The Pearson Chi-Square (24.068) shows a significant (5\%) relationship between vehicle type and speed at the time of an accident.

### 5.3 Evaluating the relationship between road geometric elements and human behaviour and its impact on road accidents

The results have indicated that most drivers drive at high speed than the speed limit as indicated on the sign posts placed at designated location. The higher the speed of the vehicle, the shorter the time a driver has to take to stop and avoid a crash. An increase within the average speed of $1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ typically leads to a third higher risk of a crash involving injury with a 4-5\% increase for crashes leads to fatalities (WHO, Road safety - Speed, 2004).

The presence of the road geometric elements which are lower than the required as stipulated in the design standards of AASHTO and SATCC makes driving at high speed even more dangerous because the drivers are unable to control the vehicle. This is so because the higher the speed the more the sight stopping distance, horizontal clearance, lane width and even shoulder is required to give enough room for the vehicle to be controlled.

There is a nexus between road geometrics and human factor in causing road accidents along the road stretch

The results are in line with studies done by Jason et al, 2014; Pranay et al, 2015; Jayvant et al, 2015; Abbas, 2018; Mulugeta,2018; Mandefro,2019 in which they found that geometric elements constructed below the design standards leads to accidents occurrence. Gender, Age, road condition, road visibility and driving at high speed plays a role the likelihood of accidents happening along the stretch as shown by positive coefficients of the statistical analysis and this in line with studies done by Li, 2010; Manong'a, 2015; Charles et al, 2017; Banza et al, 2017.

The results are also agreement with a study done by WHO, 2004. The higher the speed of the vehicle, the shorter the time a driver has to take to stop and avoid a crash. An increase within the average speed of $1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ typically leads to a third higher risk of a crash involving injury with a $4-5 \%$ increase for crashes leads to fatalities.As shown by the results, despite the fact that in almost all the accident prone areas along the M1 stretch has geometric elements which were constructed below the design standards as stipulated in AASHTO and SATCC which has a strong likelihood in causing accidents, drivers drive at high speed beyond the recommended ones and this leads to road accidents occurrences.

### 5.4 The new alignment in accident-prone areas

The alternative road alignment has provided enough clearance both in horizontal and vertical alignment in compliance with the specifications as stipulated in AASHTO and SATCC using AutoCAD Civil 3D Software and also the economic and environmental factors have been taken into consideration. In some of the models like at Ruviri and Chilerawalanda, additional pavement space, called climbing lane, has been introduced to provide additional space for heavy vehicles which climb slowly on the crest curve. The aim has been to provide enough room for other vehicles to have enough space within their travelling lanes.

## CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 6.1 Conclusion

In conclusion, the continued fatal accidents which are concentrated within the Mzuzu-Jenda M1 section have been attributed to the road geometrics and the behaviour of the road users. As it has been noted that in most of the curves there is no adequate sight stopping distance for the drivers attributed to the sharp curves. This always sets a challenge for the drivers to be able to maneuverer their vehicles even at the recommended speed limit as indicated on the road signs.

The other reason for the accidents is to do with the lack of horizontal clearance in most of the curves. There is no enough clearance for the drivers to be able to see the objects ahead even within the present limited sight distance. The presence of reverse curves without spiral and transition curves in a number of places like Kasitu and Mapanjira is also another contributing factor to the accidents. The use of spiral curves provides the required transition of gradients from the tangent line of the road alignment to the curve. The sudden change in the road alignment cross fall (normal road alignment gradient from the centre of the road to either side of road lane i.e. left and right), makes drivers unable to make timely decisions to maneuverer the vehicle.

Despite the threats which road geometrics possess, it has also been revealed that the other cause of accidents is driving at high speed beyond the recommended speed limit as depicted on the sign posts. The researcher found out that most driver's drive at high speed on this road section defying the speed limits indicated on the road signs. Driving at high speed always makes the driver unable to make a timely judgment in the sudden change of the road alignment. Despite the installation of the rumble strips in most curves to warn drivers of the
dangerous road alignment ahead, most drivers continue to drive at high speeds on these rumble strips. Most drivers stated that they are familiar with road alignment and hence the observed high speeds.

Hence, the results have found out that there is a relation between the road geometrics and human factor on the causation to traffic accidents on this road section.

### 6.2 Recommendations

Basing on the results of the research, the researcher recommends the following;

- There is a need to implement the redesigning of the road section as designed by the researcher which has taken into consideration the use of spiral curves and transition curves to make sure that there is no sudden change in road alignment.
- There is a need to include shoulder widening in areas which because of economic reasons the reconstruction of the road may not be challenging. The use of shoulder widening helps to provide enough room for the heavy vehicles so that when passing with other vehicles it may be possible to have enough room.
- As it has been indicated that even though rumble strips have been installed in most of the curves but they are not doing enough in curbing high speed driving. Therefore, there is a need to provide speed trap limiters which will make the drivers able to slow down.
- There is a need to provide strict measures of enforcing adhering to the speed limits on this section of the road. As in other nations, GPS trackers have been installed in critical road section to make sure that, they are able to track those driving at high speed. This provision will be able to make sure drivers are cautious in driving at high speed.
- Further studies can be done on quantifying accidents occurrence due to either Road geometrics or human factor and also which is the most important factor- geometric or human?
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Appendix 1: Speed vehicle data measured at Mapanjira Curve 1 and Chilerawalanda Curve1

| Vehicle Speed One |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mapanjira |  | Chilerawalanda |  | Mapanjira |  | Chileralawanda |  |
| Vehicle | Speed | Vehicle | Speed | Vehicle | Speed | vehicle | Speed |
| Land cruiser | 62 | Land cruiser | 56 | Saloon | 118 | Saloon | 91 |
| Saloon | 60 | Saloon | 65 | Saloon | 102 | Saloon | 96 |
| Land cruiser | 62 | Land cruiser | 85 | Semi-track | 97 | Semi-track | 91 |
| Saloon | 64 | Saloon | 97 | Semi-track | 79 | Semi-track | 97 |
| Saloon | 54 | Saloon | 71 | Saloon | 101 | Saloon | 90 |
| Track | 35 | Track | 57 | Saloon | 109 | Saloon | 94 |
| Track | 45 | Saloon | 68 | Track | 45 | Track | 25 |
| Land cruiser | 61 | Land cruiser | 113 | Track | 23 | Track | 40 |
| Saloon | 59 | Saloon | 102 | Track | 30 | Track | 45 |
| Saloon | 53 | Saloon | 62 | Track | 40 | Track | 20 |
| Land cruiser | 92 | Land cruiser | 71 | Bus | 85 | Bus | 77 |
| Pick up | 62 | Pick up | 68 | Bus | 89 | Bus | 75 |
| Saloon | 74 | Saloon | 95 | Land cruiser | 100 | Land cruiser | 72 |
| Pick up | 72 | Pick up | 89 | Pick up | 91 | Pick up | 93 |
| Track | 45 | Track | 56 | Saloon | 101 | Saloon | 99 |
| Saloon | 76 | Saloon | 90 | Pick up | 98 | Pick up | 68 |
| Saloon | 103 | Saloon | 90 | Semi-track | 58 | Semi-track | 25 |
| Pick up | 64 | Saloon | 97 | Minbus | 70 | Minbus | 85 |
| Track | 46 | Track | 23 | Saloon | 80 | Saloon | 86 |
| Saloon | 64 | Saloon | 90 | Land cruiser | 56 | Land cruiser | 68 |
| Pick up | 92 | Pick up | 109 | Land cruiser | 68 | Land cruiser | 89 |
| Saloon | 96 | Saloon | 98 | Semi-track | 58 | Semi-track | 65 |
| Saloon | 65 | Saloon | 89 | Saloon | 69 | Saloon | 55 |
| Saloon | 45 | Saloon | 94 | Pick up | 89 | Pick up | 78 |
| Saloon | 68 | Saloon | 93 | Saloon | 96 | Saloon | 56 |
| Saloon | 86 | Saloon | 98 | Track | 35 | Track | 20 |
| Bus | 68 |  | 75 | Semi-track | 78 | Semi-track | 46 |
| Saloon | 103 | Saloon | 94 | Track | 46 | Track | 35 |
| Track | 65 |  | 72 | Track | 45 | Track | 25 |
| Land cruiser | 62 | Saloon | 104 | Track | 40 | Track | 40 |
| Saloon | 81 | Saloon | 94 | Saloon | 109 | Saloon | 88 |
| Saloon | 98 | Saloon | 98 | Saloon | 108 | Saloon | 105 |
| Pick up | 72 |  | 88 | Saloon | 100 | Saloon | 82 |
| Semi-track | 32 |  | 48 | Saloon | 108 | Saloon | 80 |
| Track | 42 | Track | 56 | Pick up | 78 | Pick up | 86 |
| Semi-track | 64 |  | 51 | Saloon | 94 | Saloon | 83 |
| Saloon | 96 | Saloon | 83 | Land cruiser | 91 | Land cruiser | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | Saloon | 81 | Saloon | 77 |
|  |  |  |  | Pick up | 96 | Pick up | 74 |
|  |  |  |  | Saloon | 91 | Saloon | 71 |
|  |  |  |  | Semi-track | 103 | Semi-track | 68 |
|  |  |  |  | Saloon | 80 | Saloon | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | Track | 40 | Track | 32 |
|  |  |  |  | Saloon | 86 | Saloon | 71 |
|  |  |  |  | Coaster Bus | 69 | Coaster Bus | 78 |

## Appendix 2. Vehicle speed data tracked at Kasitu Curve1 and Ruviri Curve1

| Vehicle Speed One |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kasitu |  | Ruviri |  | Kasitu |  | Ruviri |  |
| Vehicle | Speed | Vehicle | Speed | Vehicle | Speed | Vehicle | Speed |
| Land cruiser | 65 | Land cruiser | 88 | Land cruiser | 43 | Land cruis | 87 |
| Saloon | 68 | Saloon | 45 | Saloon | 56 | Saloon | 78 |
| Land cruiser | 78 | Land cruiser | 104 | Land cruiser | 73 | Land cruis | 56 |
| Saloon | 86 | Saloon | 56 | Saloon | 50 | Saloon | 79 |
| Saloon | 56 | Saloon | 75 | Bus | 89 | Saloon | 63 |
| Track | 43 | Track | 83 | Track | 53 | Track | 46 |
| Pick up | 78 | Pick up | 56 | Pick up | 48 | Pick up | 87 |
| Saloon | 45 | Saloon | 68 | Saloon | 46 | Saloon | 96 |
| Pick up | 69 | Pick up | 56 | Pick up | 78 | Pick up | 89 |
| Track | 27 | Track | 52 | Track | 64 | Track | 56 |
| Saloon | 96 | Saloon | 69 | Saloon | 39 | Saloon | 63 |
| Saloon | 81 | Saloon | 78 | Saloon | 76 | Saloon | 89 |
| Saloon | 64 | Saloon | 53 | Saloon | 84 | Saloon | 78 |
| Saloon | 56 | Saloon | 108 | Saloon | 78 | Saloon | 52 |
| Track | 23 | Track | 46 | Track | 56 | Track | 42 |
| Track | 16 | Track | 28 | Track | 42 | Track | 53 |
| Land cruiser | 78 | Land cruiser | 68 | Land cruiser | 89 | Land cruis | 74 |
| Saloon | 74 | Saloon | 96 | Saloon | 53 | Saloon | 78 |
| Saloon | 63 | Saloon | 56 | Bus | 58 | Bus | 68 |
| Land cruiser | 45 | Land cruiser | 86 | Land cruiser | 96 | Land cruis | 78 |
| Land cruiser | 64 | Land cruiser | 46 | Saloon | 26 | Saloon | 86 |
| Saloon | 63 | Saloon | 78 | Land cruiser | 75 | Land cruis | 108 |
| Land cruiser | 75 | Land cruiser | 52 | Land cruiser | 58 | Land cruis | 49 |
| Saloon | 46 | Saloon | 38 | Saloon | 69 | Saloon | 56 |
| Saloon | 76 | Saloon | 78 | Pick up | 48 | Pick up | 78 |
| Track | 56 | Track | 42 | Track | 63 | Track | 30 |
| Pick up | 56 | Pick up | 78 | Saloon | 29 | Saloon | 40 |
| Saloon | 48 | Saloon | 67 | Saloon | 39 | Saloon | 78 |
| Pick up | 63 | Pick up | 68 | Saloon | 89 | Saloon | 48 |
| Track | 56 | Track | 23 | Saloon | 48 | Saloon | 87 |
| Bus | 79 | Bus | 78 | Track | 29 | Track | 42 |
| Saloon | 56 | Saloon | 48 | Track | 37 | Track | 38 |
| Bus | 78 | Saloon | 85 | Land cruiser | 59 | Land cruis | 42 |
| Saloon | 54 | Saloon | 58 | Saloon | 63 | Saloon | 78 |
| Track | 45 | Track | 36 | Saloon | 82 | Saloon | 110 |
| Track | 28 | Track | 56 | Land cruiser | 63 | Land cruis | 46 |
| Land cruiser | 69 | Land cruiser | 78 | Saloon | 78 | Saloon | 84 |
| Saloon | 73 | Saloon | 108 | Land cruiser | 89 | Land cruis | 58 |
|  |  |  |  | Land cruiser | 62 | Land cruis | 46 |
|  |  |  |  | Saloon | 78 | Saloon | 68 |
|  |  |  |  | Saloon | 91 | Saloon | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | Saloon | 65 | Saloon | 79 |
|  |  |  |  | Saloon | 78 | Saloon | 84 |
|  |  |  |  | Saloon | 72 | Saloon | 87 |

## Appendix 3. Champhira Topographic Survey data

| POINT | EASTING | NORTHINCHEIGHT |  | DESCRIPTI POINT |  | EASTING | NORTHINC | HEIGHT | DESCRIPTI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 564550.3 | 8637452 | 1,482.04 | C | 39 | 563653.8 | 8636637 | 1,481.86 | C |
| 2 | 564537.9 | 8637416 | 1,482.03 | C | 40 | 563608.2 | 8636612 | 1,481.83 | C |
| 3 | 564522.5 | 8637389 | 1,482.02 | C | 41 | 563561.1 | 8636587 | 1,481.80 | C |
| 4 | 564505.2 | 8637363 | 1,482.02 | C | 42 | 563510.5 | 8636557 | 1,481.75 | C |
| 5 | 564480.5 | 8637325 | 1,482.01 | C | 43 | 563453.3 | 8636520 | 1,481.69 | E |
| 6 | 564457.9 | 8637291 | 1,482.01 | C | 44 | 564704.8 | 8637970 | 1,482.31 | E |
| 7 | 564428.8 | 8637247 | 1,482.00 | C | 45 | 564706.2 | 8637970 | 1,482.31 | E |
| 8 | 564404.6 | 8637211 | 1,482.00 | C | 46 | 564697.8 | 8637970 | 1,482.31 | E |
| 9 | 564382.4 | 8637181 | 1,482.00 | C | 47 | 564696.3 | 8637971 | 1,482.31 | E |
| 10 | 564362.2 | 8637154 | 1,481.99 | C | 48 | 564691 | 8637971 | 1,482.31 | E |
| 11 | 564343.2 | 8637128 | 1,481.99 | C | 49 | 564680.7 | 8637973 | 1,482.31 | E |
| 12 | 564316.5 | 8637092 | 1,481.99 | C | 50 | 564673.7 | 8637974 | 1,482.30 | E |
| 13 | 564296 | 8637064 | 1,481.99 | C | 51 | 564710.2 | 8637968 | 1,482.31 | E |
| 14 | 564273.8 | 8637038 | 1,481.99 | C | 52 | 564717.1 | 8637967 | 1,482.31 | E |
| 15 | 564245.6 | 8637009 | 1,481.99 | C | 53 | 564727.9 | 8637965 | 1,482.32 | E |
| 16 | 564216.9 | 8636979 | 1,481.99 | C | 54 | 564687.8 | 8637906 | 1,482.26 | E |
| 17 | 564193.4 | 8636954 | 1,481.99 | C | 55 | 564679.6 | 8637907 | 1,482.26 | E |
| 18 | 564168.9 | 8636928 | 1,481.99 | C | 56 | 564686.2 | 8637906 | 1,482.26 | E |
| 19 | 564128.4 | 8636899 | 1,481.99 | C | 57 | 564677.9 | 8637907 | 1,482.26 | E |
| 20 | 564086.2 | 8636869 | 1,481.99 | C | 58 | 564669.5 | 8637908 | 1,482.26 | E |
| 21 | 564039.9 | 8636837 | 1,481.99 | C | 59 | 564662.2 | 8637908 | 1,482.25 | E |
| 22 | 563999.6 | 8636809 | 1,481.98 | C | 60 | 564656 | 8637909 | 1,482.25 | E |
| 23 | 563961.9 | 8636782 | 1,481.97 | C | 61 | 564691.9 | 8637905 | 1,482.26 | E |
| 24 | 563925.5 | 8636763 | 1,481.97 | C | 62 | 564700 | 8637902 | 1,482.26 | E |
| 25 | 563861.8 | 8636736 | 1,481.95 | C | 63 | 564707.6 | 8637899 | 1,482.26 | E |
| 26 | 563815.3 | 8636716 | 1,481.94 | C | 64 | 564673.7 | 8637906 | 1,482.26 | E |
| 27 | 563766.9 | 8636695 | 1,481.92 | C | 65 | 564663.1 | 8637850 | 1,482.22 | E |
| 28 | 564701.5 | 8637971 | 1,482.31 | C | 66 | 564671.1 | 8637848 | 1,482.22 | E |
| 29 | 564683.1 | 8637907 | 1,482.26 | C | 67 | 564669.7 | 8637849 | 1,482.22 | E |
| 30 | 564666.5 | 8637850 | 1,482.22 | C | 68 | 564661.6 | 8637850 | 1,482.22 | E |
| 31 | 564650.2 | 8637794 | 1,482.18 | C | 69 | 564656.5 | 8637852 | 1,482.22 | E |
| 32 | 564628 | 8637712 | 1,482.14 | C | 70 | 564649.5 | 8637852 | 1,482.22 | E |
| 33 | 564613.1 | 8637657 | 1,482.11 | C | 71 | 564641.6 | 8637854 | 1,482.21 | E |
| 34 | 564592.5 | 8637581 | 1,482.08 | C | 72 | 564675.9 | 8637847 | 1,482.22 | , |
| 35 | 564576.3 | 8637527 | 1,482.06 | C | 73 | 564684.9 | 8637845 | 1,482.22 | E |
| 36 | 564562.1 | 8637486 | 1,482.05 | C | 74 | 564693.6 | 8637842 | 1,482.22 | E |
| 37 | 563731.8 | 8636678 | 1,481.90 | C | 75 | 564676.5 | 8637785 | 1,482.19 |  |
| 38 | 563689.2 | 8636655 | 1,481.88 | C | 76 | 564670 | 8637787 | 1,482.18 | E |

## Appendix 4. Champhira Topographic Survey data2

| EASTING | NORTHIN CHEIGHT |  | DESCRIPTI POINT |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EASTING } \\ 564609.6 \end{gathered}$ | NORTHIN ( HEIGHT |  | DESCRIPTI POINT |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { EASTING } \\ \hline 564542.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | NORTHIN ( HEIGHT |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DESCRIPTI } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 564660.5 | 8637790 | 1,482.18 | E | 115 |  | 8637577 | 1,482.08 | E | 153 |  | 8637414 | 1,482.03 |  |
| 564654.9 | 8637792 | 1,482.18 | E | 116 | 564617.5 | 8637575 | 1,482.08 | E | 154 | 564547.8 | 8637412 | 1,482.03 | E |
| 564646.7 | 8637794 | 1,482.18 | E | 117 | 564602.6 | 8637519 | 1,482.06 | E | 155 | 564533 | 8637417 | 1,482.03 | E |
| 564653.4 | 8637792 | 1,482.18 | E | 118 | 564597.5 | 8637521 | 1,482.06 | E | 156 | 564540.7 | 8637414 | 1,482.03 | E |
| 564645.3 | 8637794 | 1,482.18 | E | 119 | 564591.4 | 8637522 | 1,482.06 | E | 157 | 564534.3 | 8637416 | 1,482.03 | E |
| 564639 | 8637795 | 1,482.18 | E | 120 | 564580.7 | 8637525 | 1,482.06 | E | 158 | 564526.9 | 8637418 | 1,482.03 | E |
| 564629.7 | 8637796 | 1,482.18 | E | 121 | 564585.9 | 8637523 | 1,482.06 | E | 159 | 564514.6 | 8637423 | 1,482.03 | E |
| 564621.9 | 8637797 | 1,482.18 | E | 122 | 564571.6 | 8637528 | 1,482.06 | E | 160 | 564520.5 | 8637421 | 1,482.03 | E |
| 564632.7 | 8637711 | 1,482.14 | E | 123 | 564573 | 8637528 | 1,482.06 | E | 161 | 564494.3 | 8637401 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564624.6 | 8637713 | 1,482.14 | E | 124 | 564579.4 | 8637525 | 1,482.06 | E | 162 | 564508.1 | 8637395 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564631.2 | 8637711 | 1,482.14 | E | 125 | 564563.8 | 8637530 | 1,482.06 | E | 163 | 564517 | 8637390 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564623.2 | 8637713 | 1,482.14 | E | 126 | 564557.9 | 8637532 | 1,482.06 | E | 164 | 564502.2 | 8637397 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564636.2 | 8637710 | 1,482.14 | E | 127 | 564549.6 | 8637534 | 1,482.06 | E | 165 | 564527.1 | 8637387 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564641.1 | 8637709 | 1,482.14 | E | 128 | 564588.6 | 8637477 | 1,482.05 | E | 166 | 564531.8 | 8637384 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564649.7 | 8637708 | 1,482.14 | E | 129 | 564582.3 | 8637478 | 1,482.05 | E | 167 | 564539.5 | 8637381 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564654.6 | 8637704 | 1,482.14 | E | 130 | 564566.4 | 8637483 | 1,482.05 | E | 168 | 564547.3 | 8637377 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564617.2 | 8637715 | 1,482.14 | E | 131 | 564575.5 | 8637479 | 1,482.05 | E | 169 | 564518.5 | 8637389 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564605.8 | 8637717 | 1,482.13 | E | 132 | 564557.5 | 8637488 | 1,482.05 | E | 170 | 564525.3 | 8637387 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564600.3 | 8637718 | 1,482.13 | E | 133 | 564565 | 8637484 | 1,482.05 | E | 171 | 564509.7 | 8637361 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564610.8 | 8637716 | 1,482.14 | E | 134 | 564558.9 | 8637487 | 1,482.05 | E | 172 | 564500.5 | 8637364 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564603.6 | 8637657 | 1,482.11 | E | 135 | 564550.6 | 8637490 | 1,482.05 | E | 173 | 564508.1 | 8637361 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564594 | 8637660 | 1,482.11 | E | 136 | 564538.1 | 8637493 | 1,482.05 | E | 174 | 564502.1 | 8637364 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564588.6 | 8637660 | 1,482.11 | E | 137 | 564544 | 8637491 | 1,482.05 | E | 175 | 564492.2 | 8637369 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564623.5 | 8637654 | 1,482.11 | E | 138 | 564533.1 | 8637494 | 1,482.05 | E | 176 | 564497 | 8637365 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564633.8 | 8637651 | 1,482.11 | E | 139 | 564553.4 | 8637450 | 1,482.04 | E | 177 | 564486.1 | 8637371 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564638.2 | 8637649 | 1,482.11 | E | 140 | 564546.9 | 8637453 | 1,482.04 | E | 178 | 564479.5 | 8637373 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564617.7 | 8637656 | 1,482.11 | E | 141 | 564554.5 | 8637449 | 1,482.04 | E | 179 | 564512.4 | 8637359 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564609.5 | 8637657 | 1,482.11 | E | 142 | 564545.4 | 8637453 | 1,482.04 | E | 180 | 564520 | 8637353 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564616.2 | 8637656 | 1,482.11 | E | 143 | 564539.3 | 8637454 | 1,482.04 | E | 181 | 564526.9 | 8637349 | 1,482.02 | E |
| 564608 | 8637657 | 1,482.11 | E | 144 | 564529.8 | 8637457 | 1,482.04 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 564597.1 | 8637580 | 1,482.08 | E | 145 | 564524.7 | 8637458 | 1,482.04 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 564589 | 8637581 | 1,482.08 | E | 146 | 564561.5 | 8637447 | 1,482.04 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 564595.7 | 8637580 | 1,482.08 | E | 147 | 564572.8 | 8637444 | 1,482.04 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 564587.6 | 8637582 | 1,482.08 | E | 148 | 564575.9 | 8637441 | 1,482.04 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 564582.1 | 8637583 | 1,482.08 | E | 149 | 564568.4 | 8637446 | 1,482.04 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 564574.7 | 8637584 | 1,482.08 | E | 150 | 564566.3 | 8637407 | 1,482.03 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 564565.4 | 8637586 | 1,482.08 | E | 151 | 564561.6 | 8637407 | 1,482.03 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 564602.1 | 8637579 | 1,482.08 | E | 152 | 564555.5 | 8637410 | 1,482.03 | E |  |  |  |  |  |

# Appendix 5. Ruviri Topographic Survey data 

POINT

EASTING NORTHINCHEIGHT DESCRIPTI POIN $1572811.58652181 \quad 1518.03$ C $\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 572799.2 & 8652145 & 1517.931 & C\end{array}$ \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|}
3 \& 572791.6 \& 8652123 \& 1517.87

 

\hline 4 \& 572787.4 \& 8652103 \& 1517.819 <br>
C

 

5 \& 572783.9 \& 8652069 \& 1517.755 \& $C$

 

\hline 6 \& 572779.7 \& 8652029 \& 1517.68 C <br>
\hline \& 572777.9 \& 8652011 \& 1517.647

 

7 \& 572777.9 \& 8652011 \& 1517.647 \& $C$

 $\begin{array}{llllll}8 & 572774.4 & 8651980 & 1517.591 & C\end{array}$ 

9 \& 572771 \& 8651949 \& 1517.55 \& C

 

10 \& 572767.4 \& 8651914 \& 1517.505

 

\hline 11 \& 572765 \& 8651885 \& 1517.468 <br>
C

 

12 \& 572762.7 \& 8651858 \& 1517.436 <br>
$C$

 

13 \& 572759.5 \& 8651822 \& 1517.408 <br>
C

 

14 \& 572757.6 \& 8651801 \& 1517.392

 

15 \& 572754.4 \& 8651768 \& 1517.365 \& $C$

 

16 \& 572751.9 \& 8651741 \& 1517.346 \& $C$

 

\hline 17 \& 572748.2 \& 8651694 \& 1517.332 \& C

 

18 \& 572746.1 \& 8651667 \& 1517.324 C

 

\hline 19 \& 572742.6 \& 8651621 \& 1517.312 \& $C$ <br>
\hline

 

\hline 20 \& 572740 \& 8651586 \& 1517.316 <br>
$C$

 

21 \& 572737.4 \& 8651552 \& 1517.321 <br>
$C$

 

22 \& 572735.5 \& 8651528 \& 1517.324 C
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|}
\hline 24 \& 572741.7 \& 8651451 \& 1517.37

 

\hline 25 \& 572746.8 \& 8651408 \& 1517.402

 

\hline 26 \& 572752.4 \& 8651364 \& 1517.442 \& C

 

\hline 27 \& 572758.4 \& 8651320 \& 1517.489 \& $C$ <br>
\hline

 

\hline 28 \& 572764.6 \& 8651274 \& 1517.537 \& $C$ <br>
\hline 29 \& 572770 \& 8651235 \& 1517.588 \& $C$

 

\hline 29 \& 572770 \& 8651235 \& 1517.588 \& $C$
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
31 \& 572785.9 \& 8651128 \& 1517.733 \& $C$

 

32 \& 572795.1 \& 8651081 \& 1517.807 \& $C$

 

33 \& 572805 \& 8651031 \& 1517.886 \& C

 

\hline 34 \& 572811 \& 8650992 \& 1517.952 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 35 \& 572817.8 \& 8650947 \& 1518.029 \& $C$ <br>
\hline 36 \& 572822.5 \& 8650910 \& 1518.093
\end{tabular}

 $\begin{array}{llllll}37 & 572825.6 & 8650877 & 1518.151 & C\end{array}$ \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|l|}
38 \& 572829.5 \& 8650837 \& 1518.224 \& $C$

 

39 \& 572832.7 \& 8650802 \& 1518.285 \& $C$

 

\hline 40 \& 572838 \& 8650751 \& 1518.381 \& C <br>
\hline

 

\hline 41 \& 572844.2 \& 8650709 \& 1518.463 <br>
C

 

\hline 42 \& 572850.1 \& 8650668 \& 1518.544 \& $C$

 

43 \& 572857.6 \& 8650614 \& 1518.654 <br>
C

 

44 \& 572865.4 \& 8650554 \& 1518.773 <br>
$C$

 

\hline 45 \& 572872.3 \& 8650500 \& 1518.884 \& $C$

 

\hline 46 \& 572822.3 \& 8652213 \& 1518.12 \& $C$ <br>
\hline

 

47 \& 572839.4 \& 8652263 \& 1518.286 <br>
C

 

\hline 48 \& 572856.1 \& 8652311 \& 1518.449

 

\hline 49 \& 572888.8 \& 8652385 \& 1518.731 \& $C$ <br>
\hline

 

\hline 50 \& 572924.6 \& 8652465 \& 1519.055 <br>
C
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|l}
52 \& 572928.8 \& 8652462 \& 1519.051 E

 

53 \& 572927.7 \& 8652463 \& 1519.054 E

 

54 \& 572919.9 \& 8652466 \& 1519.053 E

 

55 \& 572912.6 \& 8652467 \& 1519.045 E

 

\hline 56 \& 572904.5 \& 8652469 \& 1519.041 E

 

\hline 57 \& 572896.9 \& 8652472 \& 1519.041 E

 

58 \& 572930.4 \& 8652461 \& 1519.049 E

 $\begin{array}{llllll}59 & 572938.3 & 8652457 & 1519.051 \text { E }\end{array}$ 

\hline 60 \& 572947.8 \& 8652455 \& 1519.06 E

 

\hline 61 \& 572949.6 \& 8652454 \& 1519.059 E

 

\hline 62 \& 572893.3 \& 8652383 \& 1518.732 E

 

63 \& 572885.2 \& 8652385 \& 1518.726 E

 

\hline 64 \& 572891.7 \& 8652383 \& 1518.73 E <br>
\hline 65 \& 572883. \& 8652386 \& 1518.727 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 65 \& 572883.9 \& 8652386 \& 1518.727 E <br>
\hline 66 \& 572878.7 \& 8652387 \& 1518.722
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|}
\hline 67 \& 572869 \& 8652391 \& 1518.722 E

 

\hline 68 \& 572864.6 \& 8652392 \& 1518.716 E

 

\hline 69 \& 572873.4 \& 8652389 \& 1518.72 E

 

\hline 70 \& 572897.7 \& 8652380 \& 1518.73 E

 

\hline 71 \& 572904 \& 8652378 \& 1518.735 E <br>
\hline 72 \& 572912.2 \& 8652374 \& 1518.736 E

 

72 \& 572912.2 \& 8652374 \& 1518.736 E

 

73 \& 572852.8 \& 8652312 \& 1518.446 E
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 75 \& 572859.1 \& 8652310 \& 1518.45 \& $E$

 

\hline 76 \& 572851.2 \& 8652313 \& 1518.444 E

 

\hline 77 \& 572839.7 \& 8652317 \& 1518.437 \& $E$

 

\hline 78 \& 572830.4 \& 8652321 \& 1518.431 E

 

\hline 79 \& 572846.2 \& 8652313 \& 1518.436 \& $E$
\end{tabular}

 | 81 | 572871.1 | 8652304 | 1518.455 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllll}82 & 572876.3 & 8652303 & 1518.459 \text { E }\end{array}$ | 83 | 572842.5 | 8652262 | 1518.288 E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 83 | 572842.5 | 8652262 | 1518.288 E |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | | 84 | 572836 | 8652264 | 1518.282 E |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 85 | 572842.5 | 8652262 | 1518.288 | | 85 | 572842.5 | 8652262 | 1518.288 E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 86 | 572834.4 | 8652264 | 1518.279 | | 86 | 572834.4 | 8652264 | 1518.279 E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 87 | 572823.3 | 8652267 | 1518.268 E | | 88 | 572828.4 | 8652265 | 1518.274 E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\begin{array}{llllll}89 & 572815.8 & 8652269 & 1518.26 \text { E }\end{array}$ | 90 | 572812.5 | 8652270 | 1518.257 E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 91 | 572847.2 | 8652261 | 1518.294 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 92 | 572861.2 | 8652255 | 1518.302 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 92 | 572865.6 | 8652254 | 1518.307 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 |  |  |  | | 94 | 572844 | 8652262 | 1518.29 E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | | 95 | 572825.4 | 8652212 | 1518.122 E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|}
\hline 97 \& 572826.8 \& 8652211 \& 1518.123 E

 

\hline 98 \& 572817.5 \& 8652214 \& 1518.114 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 99 \& 572812.7 \& 8652215 \& 1518.108 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 90 \& 572812.7 \& 8652215 \& 1518.108 <br>
\hline 100 \& 572806.2 \& 8652218 \& 1518.103 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 101 \& 572800 \& 8652219 \& 1518.097 E <br>
\hline

 

\hline 102 \& 572795 \& 8652220 \& 1518.094 \& E <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 104 \& 572848 \& 8652204 \& 1518.146 \& E <br>
\hline

 

105 \& 572830.2 \& 8652210 \& 1518.128 E

 

\hline 106 \& 572840.6 \& 8652207 \& 1518.138 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 107 \& 572816 \& 8652179 \& 1518.033 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 108 \& 572814.5 \& 8652180 \& 1518.032 E <br>
\hline

 

\hline 109 \& 572808.3 \& 8652183 \& 1518.028 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 110 \& 572806.9 \& 8652183 \& 1518.027 E <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|}
112 \& 572797.2 \& 8652187 \& 1518.021 E

 

\hline 113 \& 572795 \& 8652187 \& 1518.019 E

 

\hline 114 \& 572792.1 \& 8652188 \& 1518.016 <br>
\hline

 

115 \& 572786.1 \& 8652190 \& 1518.013 E <br>
\hline

 

\hline 116 \& 572819.5 \& 8652179 \& 1518.038 \& E

 

\hline 117 \& 572825.9 \& 8652175 \& 1518.042 E
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|}
119 \& 572836.5 \& 8652172 \& 1518.053 E

 

\hline 120 \& 572822.6 \& 8652177 \& 1518.04 <br>
\hline

 

120 \& 572822.6 \& 8652177 \& 1518.04 \& E <br>
\hline 121 \& 572802.5 \& 8652144 \& 1517.934 E <br>
\hline

 

121 \& 572802.5 \& 8652144 \& 1517.934 E <br>
\hline 122 \& 572795.8 \& 8652146 \& 1517.928 <br>
\hline

 

122 \& 572795.8 \& 8652146 \& 1517.928 E <br>
\hline 123 \& 572803.8 \& 8652144 \& 1517.934 E

 

\hline 124 \& 572794.2 \& 8652146 \& 1517.925 \& $E$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}



 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|}
\hline 127 \& 572783.4 \& 8652148 \& 1517.915 E

 

128 \& 572773.4 \& 8652152 \& 1517.907 E

 

128 \& 572773.4 \& 8652152 \& 1517.907 <br>
\hline 129 \& 572805.4 \& 8652143 \& 1517.935 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 129 \& 572805.4 \& 8652143 \& 1517.935 <br>
\hline 130 \& 572810.5 \& 8652141 \& 1517.938 <br>
\hline

 

131 \& 572816.8 \& 8652139 \& 1517.945 E
\end{tabular}



 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|l|}
134 \& 572796.1 \& 8652121 \& 1517.872 E

 

135 \& 572794.7 \& 8652122 \& 1517.872 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 136 \& 572788.2 \& 8652124 \& 1517.866 E <br>
\hline

 

\hline 136 \& 572788.2 \& 8652124 \& 1517.866 <br>
\hline 137 \& 572786.7 \& 8652124 \& 1517.865 <br>
\hline

 

138 \& 572797.7 \& 8652121 \& 1517.873 E

 

139 \& 572806.1 \& 8652118 \& 1517.879 E
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 141 \& 572816.7 \& 8652114 \& 1517.888 E

 

\hline 142 \& 572819.8 \& 8652113 \& 1517.892 E
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|}
143 \& 572782.4 \& 8652126 \& 1517.862 <br>
\hline

 

144 \& 572774.6 \& 8652128 \& 1517.855 <br>
\hline 145 \& 572763.8 \& 8652130 \& 1517.845 <br>
\hline

 

146 \& 572769.5 \& 8652127 \& 1517.846 E

 

\hline 147 \& 572790.7 \& 8652103 \& 1517.823 E <br>
\hline

 

\hline 148 \& 572784 \& 8652103 \& 1517.814 \& $E$

 

\hline 149 \& 572792.2 \& 8652102 \& 1517.824 E
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
150 \& 572782.5 \& 8652103 \& 1517.812 <br>
\hline 151 \& 572777.4 \& 8652104 \& 1517.806

 

151 \& 572777.4 \& 8652104 \& 1517.806 E <br>
\hline 152 \& 572763.3 \& 8652107 \& 1517.791 E

 

152 \& 572763.3 \& 8652107 \& 1517.791 E <br>
\hline 153 \& 572771.3 \& 8652105 \& 1517.798 E

 

\hline 154 \& 572796 \& 8652101 \& 1517.828 \& E <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 156 \& 572811.9 \& 8652097 \& 1517.844 E

 

\hline 157 \& 572815.1 \& 8652097 \& 1517.85 E

 

158 \& 572787.2 \& 8652069 \& 1517.759 E

 

159 \& 572780.5 \& 8652069 \& 1517.749 E <br>
\hline

 

\hline 160 \& 572788.7 \& 8652068 \& 1517.76 E

 

\hline 161 \& 572779 \& 8652069 \& 1517.748 E
\end{tabular}



| 163 | 572761.7 | 8652072 | 1517.725 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 164 | 572757.2 | 8652073 | 1517.72 E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 165 | 572768.5 | 8652070 | 1517.732 E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 166 | 572791.3 | 8652068 | 1517.764 E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 167 | 572801.2 | 8652067 | 1517.777 E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 168 | 572805.9 | 8652067 | 1517.784 E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 169 | 572812.3 | 8652066 | 1517.793 E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 170 | 572783.2 | 8652029 | 1517.686 E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 171 | 572774.9 | 8652029 | 1517.674 E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 172 | 572784.7 | 8652030 | 1517.689 E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 173 | 572774.9 | 8652029 | 1517.674 E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 174 | 572776.3 | 8652029 | 1517.676 | $E$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 175 | 572771.8 | 8652030 | 1517.671 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 176 | 572763.8 | 8652030 | 1517.658 | $E$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|}
179 \& 572786.6 \& 8652029 \& 1517.692 E

 

180 \& 572795.8 \& 8652027 \& 1517.702 E

 

\hline 181 \& 572805.9 \& 8652026 \& 1517.715 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 182 \& 572807.7 \& 8652007 \& 1517.687 E <br>
\hline

 

183 \& 572799.1 \& 8652009 \& 1517.677 <br>
\hline

 

184 \& 572789.9 \& 8652009 \& 1517.663 E

 

185 \& 572782.7 \& 8652010 \& 1517.653 E

 

186 \& 572774.5 \& 8652011 \& 1517.643 <br>
\hline

 

187 \& 572781.3 \& 8652011 \& 1517.652 E

 

\hline 188 \& 572773 \& 8652012 \& 1517.641 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 189 \& 572766.8 \& 8652012 \& 1517.633 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 190 \& 572762.9 \& 8652012 \& 1517.627 E <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}



 \begin{tabular}{l|r|r|r|}
\hline 193 \& 572771 \& 8651980 \& 1517.586 E

 

194 \& 572769.5 \& 8651980 \& 1517.584 E

 

195 \& 572779.3 \& 8651979 \& 1517.598 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 196 \& 572784.2 \& 8651979 \& 1517.604 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 197 \& 572794.6 \& 8651977 \& 1517.619 E
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 199 \& 572802.1 \& 8651977 \& 1517.63 E

 

200 \& 572764.3 \& 8651981 \& 1517.577 E

 

\hline 201 \& 572755 \& 8651982 \& 1517.565 E

 

202 \& 572753.1 \& 8651982 \& 1517.562 E

 

\hline 203 \& 572746.6 \& 8651983 \& 1517.554 E <br>
\hline

 

\hline 204 \& 572774.3 \& 8651948 \& 1517.554 E <br>
\hline

 

\hline 205 \& 572767.6 \& 8651949 \& 1517.545 E

 

206 \& 572775.8 \& 8651948 \& 1517.556 <br>
\hline

 

207 \& 572766.1 \& 8651949 \& 1517.543 E
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|}
\hline 209 \& 572748.3 \& 8651951 \& 1517.519 E

 

\hline 210 \& 572742.7 \& 8651952 \& 1517.511 \& $E$

 

\hline 211 \& 572780.3 \& 8651948 \& 1517.563 E <br>
\hline

 

\hline 212 \& 572790.3 \& 8651946 \& 1517.576 E

 

213 \& 572798.4 \& 8651945 \& 1517.587 E

 

214 \& 572772.4 \& 8651915 \& 1517.513 E <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|}
\hline 216 \& 572791.1 \& 8651912 \& 1517.538 E

 

\hline 217 \& 572794.6 \& 8651912 \& 1517.543 E <br>
\hline

 

\hline 218 \& 572762.4 \& 8651914 \& 1517.497 \& $E$ <br>
\hline

 

\hline 219 \& 572753.9 \& 8651915 \& 1517.486 E <br>
\hline

 

220 \& 572748.6 \& 8651915 \& 1517.478 E
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|l|}
222 \& 572737.4 \& 8651916 \& 1517.462 E

 

\hline 223 \& 572770.8 \& 8651914 \& 1517.51 E

 

\hline 224 \& 572763.9 \& 8651914 \& 1517.499 E

 

\hline 225 \& 572769.8 \& 8651885 \& 1517.474 E

 

226 \& 572768.3 \& 8651885 \& 1517.472 E <br>
\hline

 

227 \& 572761.5 \& 8651885 \& 1517.462 E

 

\hline 228 \& 572760 \& 8651885 \& 1517.46 E

 

229 \& 572757.3 \& 8651885 \& 1517.456 E

 

230 \& 572752 \& 8651885 \& 1517.448 E

 

\hline 231 \& 572745 \& 8651885 \& 1517.437 E

 

\hline 232 \& 572737 \& 8651886 \& 1517.427 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 233 \& 572774.1 \& 8651884 \& 1517.48 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 234 \& 572788.9 \& 8651882 \& 1517.5 E <br>
\hline 235 \& 572780.4 \& 8651884 \&

 

235 \& 572780.4 \& 8651884 \& 1517.489 E <br>
\hline

 

236 \& 572793.5 \& 8651882 \& 1517.508 E

 

237 \& 572786.4 \& 8651912 \& 1517.531 E

 

\hline 238 \& 572784.6 \& 8651946 \& 1517.567 <br>
\hline

 

\hline 239 \& 572766.1 \& 8651858 \& 1517.441 E <br>
\hline

 

\hline 240 \& 572757.7 \& 8651858 \& 1517.428 \& $E$ <br>
\hline

 

\hline 241 \& 572759.2 \& 8651857 \& 1517.43 \& <br>
\hline

 

242 \& 572767.5 \& 8651857 \& 1517.443 E
\end{tabular}



Appendix 6. Ruviri Topographic Survey data2


## Appendix7. Kasitu Topographic Survey data

| POINT | EASTING | NORTHINCELEVATIOI DESCRIPTI POINT |  |  |  | EASTING | NORTHIN(ELEVATIOI DESCRIPTI POINT |  |  |  | EASTING | NORTHIN(ELEVATIOI DESCRIPT। |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 586487.6 | 8686629 | 1754.09 | E | 50 | 586209.6 | 8686476 | 1721.07 | E | 100 | 585984.7 | 8686356 | 1730.917 | E |
| 2 | 586441.4 | 8686607 | 1741.278 | E | 51 | 586183.2 | 8686457 | 1716.178 | E | 101 | 586008.5 | 8686420 | 1722.269 | E |
| 3 | 586406.1 | 8686591 | 1733.721 | E | 52 | 586159.4 | 8686436 | 1711.378 | E | 102 | 586053.5 | 8686452 | 1709.855 |  |
| 4 | 586366.9 | 8686578 | 1731.037 | E | 53 | 586125 | 8686412 | 1711.52 | E | 103 | 586090.6 | 8686486 | 1705.424 | E |
| 5 | 586321.6 | 8686563 | 1732.129 | E | 54 | 586101.1 | 8686375 | 1721.306 | E | 104 | 586127.6 | 8686523 | 1715.036 | E |
| 6 | 586273 | 8686547 | 1730.685 | E | 55 | 586072 | 8686341 | 1724.118 | E | 105 | 586193.7 | 8686560 | 1729.671 | E |
| 7 | 586233.7 | 8686528 | 1726.871 | E | 56 | 586072 | 8686301 | 1724.395 | E | 106 | 586246.7 | 8686584 | 1739.482 | E |
| 8 | 586200.8 | 8686512 | 1724.019 | E | 57 | 586045.6 | 8686237 | 1724.33 | E | 107 | 586336.6 | 8686610 | 1739.713 | E |
| 9 | 586166.8 | 8686495 | 1716.79 | E | 58 | 586037.6 | 8686187 | 1725.243 | E | 108 | 586386.9 | 8686642 | 1742.591 | E |
| 10 | 586139.9 | 8686482 | 1710.777 | E | 59 | 586032.3 | 8686145 | 1726.931 | E | 109 | 586447.7 | 8686658 | 1752.491 | E |
| 11 | 586108.8 | 8686455 | 1705.721 | E | 60 | 586011.2 | 8686102 | 1719 | E | 110 | 586416 | 8686698 | 1762.099 | E |
| 12 | 586061.6 | 8686414 | 1715.316 | E | 61 | 585939.7 | 8686036 | 1723 | E | 111 | 586373.7 | 8686685 | 1752.717 | E |
| 13 | 586037.4 | 8686376 | 1724.421 | E | 62 | 586267.8 | 8686457 | 1725.266 | E | 112 | 586323.4 | 8686655 | 1748.56 | E |
| 14 | 586023.9 | 8686329 | 1727 | E | 63 | 586273.1 | 8686417 | 1731.451 | E | 113 | 586294.3 | 8686605 | 1746.103 | E |
| 15 | 586008.9 | 8686277 | 1725.191 | E | 64 | 586220.2 | 8686441 | 1722.179 | E | 114 | 586270.5 | 8686637 | 1751.527 | E |
| 16 | 586000 | 8686247 | 1723.046 | E | 65 | 586222.9 | 8686407 | 1725.995 | E | 115 | 586212.3 | 8686613 | 1741.442 | E |
| 17 | 585993.3 | 8686209 | 1720.749 | E | 66 | 586191.1 | 8686428 | 1717.699 | E | 116 | 586164.6 | 8686587 | 1731.407 | E |
| 18 | 585986.5 | 8686155 | 1718.488 | E | 67 | 586191.1 | 8686378 | 1722.356 | E | 117 | 586101.1 | 8686558 | 1716.976 | E |
| 19 | 585975.1 | 8686123 | 1718.982 | E | 68 | 586156.7 | 8686396 | 1714.907 | E | 118 | 586064.1 | 8686513 | 1705.222 | E |
| 20 | 585965.9 | 8686097 | 1723.472 | E | 69 | 586162 | 8686349 | 1721.483 | E | 119 | 586021.8 | 8686491 | 1706.269 | E |
| 21 | 585942.8 | 8686076 | 1722.975 | E | 70 | 586135.5 | 8686364 | 1722.171 | E | 120 | 585990 | 8686441 | 1718.602 | E |
| 22 | 585923.6 | 8686059 | 1723 | E | 71 | 586135.5 | 8686298 | 1725.24 | E | 121 | 585963.6 | 8686388 | 1727.33 | E |
| 23 | 585908.1 | 8686045 | 1717.573 | E | 72 | 586106.4 | 8686333 | 1724.967 | E | 122 | 585958.3 | 8686351 | 1731.48 | E |
| 24 | 585870.2 | 8686025 | 1708 | E | 73 | 586109.1 | 8686274 | 1727.135 | E | 123 | 585929.2 | 8686293 | 1728.162 | E |
| 25 | 585843.5 | 8686007 | 1710.409 | E | 74 | 586056.2 | 8686264 | 1724.944 | E | 124 | 585905.3 | 8686237 | 1725.524 | E |
| 26 | 585800.3 | 8685979 | 1715.342 | E | 75 | 586101.1 | 8686232 | 1727.963 | E | 125 | 585897.4 | 8686184 | 1718.71 | E |
| 27 | 585770.1 | 8685959 | 1719.909 | E | 76 | 586082.6 | 8686195 | 1730.225 | E | 126 | 585871 | 8686134 | 1710.435 | E |
| 28 | 585739.1 | 8685938 | 1721.122 | E | 77 | 586085.3 | 8686158 | 1733.776 | E | 127 | 585833.9 | 8686087 | 1704.656 | E |
| 29 | 585708.6 | 8685917 | 1724 | E | 78 | 585595.8 | 8685886 | 1716.918 | E | 128 | 585783.6 | 8686057 | 1703.614 | E |
| 30 | 585682 | 8685898 | 1724 | E | 79 | 585569.3 | 8685925 | 1716.11 | E | 129 | 585733.4 | 8686028 | 1719.683 | E |
| 31 | 585660.4 | 8685883 | 1722.13 | E | 80 | 585537.6 | 8685960 | 1720.169 | E | 130 | 585722.8 | 8686071 | 1709.845 | E |
| 32 | 586516.5 | 8686589 | 1757.044 | E | 81 | 585609 | 8685965 | 1718.452 | E | 131 | 585770.4 | 8686100 | 1698.205 | E |
| 33 | 586474.2 | 8686573 | 1746.953 | E | 82 | 585632.8 | 8685920 | 1719.551 | E | 132 | 585804.8 | 8686137 | 1707.076 | E |
| 34 | 586437.2 | 8686550 | 1734.282 | E | 83 | 585582.6 | 8685989 | 1720.083 | E | 133 | 585844.5 | 8686195 | 1715.615 | E |
| 35 | 586389.5 | 8686544 | 1728.454 | E | 84 | 585603.7 | 8686005 | 1721 | E | 134 | 585878.9 | 8686269 | 1726.315 | E |
| 36 | 586328.7 | 8686521 | 1726.722 | E | 85 | 585635.5 | 8685975 | 1720.706 | E | 135 | 585900.1 | 8686338 | 1728.71 | E |
| 37 | 586286.4 | 8686505 | 1725.372 | E | 86 | 585669.9 | 8685938 | 1723.337 | E | 136 | 585929.2 | 8686399 | 1721.733 | E |
| 38 | 586532.4 | 8686544 | 1763.464 | E | 87 | 585659.3 | 8686015 | 1721.066 | E | 137 | 585950.3 | 8686436 | 1715.91 | E |
| 39 | 586537.7 | 8686510 | 1763.956 | E | 88 | 585701.6 | 8686002 | 1722.779 | E | 138 | 585976.8 | 8686489 | 1706.348 | E |
| 40 | 586498 | 8686531 | 1754.901 | E | 89 | 585693.7 | 8686050 | 1717.358 | E | 139 | 586016.5 | 8686531 | 1707.3 | E |
| 41 | 586447.7 | 8686521 | 1738.195 | E | 90 | 585706.9 | 8685952 | 1724.302 | E | 140 | 586053.5 | 8686560 | 1710.736 | , |
| 42 | 586410.7 | 8686507 | 1731.924 | E | 91 | 585746.6 | 8685991 | 1721.867 | E | 141 | 586106.4 | 8686592 | 1721.795 | E |
| 43 | 586357.8 | 8686499 | 1727.574 | E | 92 | 585783.6 | 8686015 | 1714.977 | E | 142 | 586154.1 | 8686616 | 1732.41 | E |
| 44 | 586318.1 | 8686476 | 1728.308 | E | 93 | 585826 | 8686036 | 1706.031 | E | 143 | 586212.3 | 8686655 | 1740.951 | E |
| 45 | 586323.4 | 8686436 | 1734.771 |  | 94 | 585876.2 | 8686089 | 1708.48 | E | 144 | 586267.8 | 8686687 | 1751.8 | E |
| 46 | 586368.4 | 8686457 | 1731.846 | E | 95 | 585908 | 8686110 | 1714.209 | E | 145 | 586315.5 | 8686706 | 1755.333 | E |
| 47 | 586431.9 | 8686470 | 1739.884 |  | 96 | 585942.4 | 8686150 | 1715.009 | E | 146 | 586344.6 | 8686714 | 1758.509 | E |
| 48 | 586487.4 | 8686494 | 1751.679 | E | 97 | 585945 | 8686200 | 1718.986 |  | 147 | 586392.2 | 8686727 | 1765.71 | E |
| 49 | 586246.7 | 8686483 | 1723.057 | E | 98 | 585953 | 8686251 | 1723.648 | E | 148 | 585871 | 8686232 | 1723.107 | E |
|  |  |  |  |  | 99 | 585963.6 | 8686293 | 1728.257 |  | 149 | 585844.5 | 8686158 | 1712.639 |  |

Appendix 8. Mapanjira Topographic Survey data

| POINT | EASTING | EASTING | NORTHIN | DE |  | EASTING | EASTING | NORTHIN | DE |  | EASTING | EASTING | NORTHINC | DESCRIPTI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 570477.9 | 8662216 | 1560.959 | C | 78 | 570559.9 | 8661652 | 1560.921 | E | 155 | 570549.4 | 8661766 | 1560.967 | E |
| 2 | 570458.9 | 8662192 | 1560.965 | C | 79 | 570553.1 | 8661651 | 1560.92 | E | 156 | 570552.9 | 8661768 | 1560.967 | E |
| 3 | 570440.4 | 8662170 | 1560.97 | C | 80 | 570551.6 | 8661651 | 1560.92 | E | 157 | 570523.8 | 8661778 | 1560.969 | E |
| 4 | 570428.4 | 8662145 | 1560.971 | C | 81 | 570561.4 | 8661652 | 1560.921 | E | 158 | 570514.4 | 8661774 | 1560.967 | E |
| 5 | 570434 | 8662160 | 1560.97 | C | 82 | 570569.4 | 8661651 | 1560.92 | E | 159 | 570515.6 | 8661775 | 1560.968 | E |
| 6 | 570449.3 | 8662180 | 1560.967 | C | 83 | 570576.1 | 8661652 | 1560.919 | E | 160 | 570523.8 | 8661778 | 1560.969 | E |
| 7 | 570422.7 | 8662130 | 1560.973 | C | 84 | 570584.7 | 8661651 | 1560.918 | E | 161 | 570522.3 | 8661777 | 1560.969 | E |
| 8 | 570416.2 | 8662112 | 1560.974 | C | 85 | 570548.9 | 8661651 | 1560.92 | E | 162 | 570527 | 8661779 | 1560.969 | E |
| 9 | 570411.9 | 8662096 | 1560.976 | C | 86 | 570543.1 | 8661651 | 1560.919 | E | 163 | 570537.9 | 8661782 | 1560.971 | E |
| 10 | 570407.3 | 8662078 | 1560.978 | C | 87 | 570537.4 | 8661651 | 1560.919 | E | 164 | 570546.8 | 8661785 | 1560.973 | E |
| 11 | 570405.6 | 8662062 | 1560.98 | C | 88 | 570531.2 | 8661651 | 1560.919 | E | 165 | 570509.5 | 8661773 | 1560.966 | E |
| 12 | 570405.6 | 8662048 | 1560.982 | C | 89 | 570527.6 | 8661651 | 1560.918 | E | 166 | 570500.5 | 8661770 | 1560.965 | E |
| 13 | 570405.6 | 8662037 | 1560.982 | C | 90 | 570525.2 | 8661667 | 1560.927 | E | 167 | 570494 | 8661767 | 1560.964 | E |
| 14 | 570405.7 | 8662022 | 1560.982 | C | 91 | 570531.9 | 8661667 | 1560.927 | E | 168 | 570504.8 | 8661791 | 1560.972 | E |
| 15 | 570405.7 | 8662006 | 1560.983 | C | 92 | 570531.9 | 8661667 | 1560.927 | E | 169 | 570500.3 | 8661790 | 1560.971 | E |
| 16 | 570405.7 | 8661990 | 1560.983 | C | 93 | 570542.4 | 8661667 | 1560.928 | E | 170 | 570491.6 | 8661787 | 1560.97 | E |
| 17 | 570407.3 | 8661974 | 1560.984 | C | 94 | 570549.7 | 8661666 | 1560.928 | E | 171 | 570482.1 | 8661785 | 1560.969 | E |
| 18 | 570412.5 | 8661960 | 1560.985 | C | 95 | 570551.3 | 8661666 | 1560.928 | E | 172 | 570514.5 | 8661794 | 1560.973 | E |
| 19 | 570417.7 | 8661944 | 1560.987 | C | 96 | 570558.1 | 8661666 | 1560.929 | E | 173 | 570519.8 | 8661796 | 1560.974 | E |
| 20 | 570424.2 | 8661925 | 1560.987 | C | 97 | 570559.7 | 8661666 | 1560.929 | E | 174 | 570525 | 8661797 | 1560.975 | E |
| 21 | 570429.8 | 8661909 | 1560.986 | C | 98 | 570564.6 | 8661666 | 1560.928 | E | 175 | 570533.1 | 8661798 | 1560.976 | E |
| 22 | 570441.8 | 8661893 | 1560.987 | C | 99 | 570569.3 | 8661666 | 1560.928 | E | 176 | 570537.8 | 8661800 | 1560.977 | E |
| 23 | 570456.6 | 8661882 | 1560.986 | C | 100 | 570580.5 | 8661666 | 1560.927 | E | 177 | 570506.6 | 8661791 | 1560.972 | E |
| 24 | 570466 | 8661875 | 1560.986 | C | 101 | 570547.8 | 8661685 | 1560.938 | E | 178 | 570500.6 | 8661814 | 1560.979 | E |
| 25 | 570482.2 | 8661863 | 1560.985 | C | 102 | 570546.6 | 8661684 | 1560.937 | E | 179 | 570492.8 | 8661811 | 1560.978 | E |
| 26 | 570492.7 | 8661851 | 1560.984 | C | 103 | 570554.8 | 8661685 | 1560.939 | E | 180 | 570494.3 | 8661812 | 1560.978 | E |
| 27 | 570493.1 | 8661838 | 1560.982 | C | 104 | 570556.4 | 8661685 | 1560.939 | E | 181 | 570501.9 | 8661815 | 1560.98 | E |
| 28 | 570497.5 | 8661813 | 1560.979 | C | 105 | 570561.7 | 8661686 | 1560.939 | E | 182 | 570507.3 | 8661816 | 1560.98 | E |
| 29 | 570510.2 | 8661791 | 1560.972 | C | 106 | 570570.4 | 8661686 | 1560.938 | E | 183 | 570516 | 8661817 | 1560.981 | E |
| 30 | 570519 | 8661776 | 1560.968 | C | 107 | 570578.7 | 8661687 | 1560.938 | E | 184 | 570524.7 | 8661819 | 1560.981 | E |
| 31 | 570526.7 | 8661760 | 1560.963 | C | 108 | 570539.7 | 8661684 | 1560.937 | E | 185 | 570526.8 | 8661820 | 1560.982 | E |
| 32 | 570533.8 | 8661741 | 1560.958 | C | 109 | 570530.7 | 8661682 | 1560.935 | E | 186 | 570489 | 8661811 | 1560.977 | E |
| 33 | 570541.7 | 8661720 | 1560.951 | C | 110 | 570523.7 | 8661682 | 1560.935 | E | 187 | 570482.9 | 8661807 | 1560.976 | E |
| 34 | 570547.1 | 8661701 | 1560.945 | C | 111 | 570519.1 | 8661698 | 1560.943 | E | 188 | 570473.9 | 8661806 | 1560.975 | E |
| 35 | 570551.5 | 8661684 | 1560.938 | C | 112 | 570530.4 | 8661699 | 1560.944 | E | 189 | 570470 | 8661804 | 1560.974 | E |
| 36 | 570554.7 | 8661666 | 1560.928 | C | 113 | 570534.5 | 8661700 | 1560.944 | E | 190 | 570498 | 8661838 | 1560.982 | E |
| 37 | 570556.5 | 8661652 | 1560.921 | C | 114 | 570542.1 | 8661701 | 1560.945 | E | 191 | 570489.8 | 8661837 | 1560.982 | E |
| 38 | 570559.8 | 8661625 | 1560.907 | C | 115 | 570550.3 | 8661702 | 1560.946 | E | 192 | 570496.5 | 8661839 | 1560.982 | E |
| 39 | 570561.9 | 8661608 | 1560.897 | C | 116 | 570543.7 | 8661700 | 1560.945 | E | 193 | 570488.3 | 8661838 | 1560.982 | E |
| 40 | 570562.6 | 8661592 | 1560.889 | C | 117 | 570552 | 8661701 | 1560.946 | E | 194 | 570482.3 | 8661838 | 1560.981 | E |
| 41 | 570559.2 | 8661592 | 1560.889 | E | 118 | 570560.2 | 8661702 | 1560.947 | E | 195 | 570471.9 | 8661837 | 1560.981 | E |
| 42 | 570566 | 8661592 | 1560.889 | E | 119 | 570566.3 | 8661702 | 1560.946 | E | 196 | 570464.9 | 8661835 | 1560.98 | E |
| 43 | 570567.5 | 8661592 | 1560.888 | E | 120 | 570577.6 | 8661703 | 1560.945 | E | 197 | 570503.3 | 8661839 | 1560.983 | E |
| 44 | 570557.7 | 8661593 | 1560.889 | E | 121 | 570571.3 | 8661726 | 1560.953 | E | 198 | 570513.8 | 8661841 | 1560.984 | E |
| 45 | 570570.3 | 8661593 | 1560.888 | E | 122 | 570566.5 | 8661725 | 1560.953 | E | 199 | 570520 | 8661842 | 1560.984 | E |
| 46 | 570580.9 | 8661592 | 1560.887 | E | 123 | 570557.2 | 8661723 | 1560.953 | E | 200 | 570495.6 | 8661851 | 1560.984 | E |
| 47 | 570591.2 | 8661592 | 1560.886 | E | 124 | 570550.4 | 8661723 | 1560.953 | E | 201 | 570497.1 | 8661852 | 1560.984 | E |
| 48 | 570584.8 | 8661592 | 1560.886 | E | 125 | 570546.7 | 8661721 | 1560.952 | E | 202 | 570488.8 | 8661851 | 1560.984 | E |
| 49 | 570551.7 | 8661592 | 1560.889 | E | 126 | 570544.9 | 8661721 | 1560.952 | E | 203 | 570487.3 | 8661850 | 1560.983 | E |
| 50 | 570542.2 | 8661594 | 1560.889 | E | 127 | 570538.4 | 8661719 | 1560.951 | E | 204 | 570482.6 | 8661850 | 1560.983 | E |
| 51 | 570538.4 | 8661594 | 1560.889 | E | 128 | 570536.9 | 8661719 | 1560.951 | E | 205 | 570474.2 | 8661849 | 1560.982 | E |
| 52 | 570535.5 | 8661595 | 1560.889 | E | 129 | 570532.3 | 8661718 | 1560.95 | E | 206 | 570465.3 | 8661849 | 1560.982 | E |
| 53 | 570532.3 | 8661612 | 1560.898 | E | 130 | 570524.7 | 8661717 | 1560.949 | E | 207 | 570502 | 8661854 | 1560.985 | E |
| 54 | 570537.5 | 8661611 | 1560.898 | E | 131 | 570517.2 | 8661717 | 1560.949 | E | 208 | 570513.3 | 8661857 | 1560.986 | E |
| 55 | 570541 | 8661611 | 1560.898 | E | 132 | 570511.5 | 8661715 | 1560.948 | E | 209 | 570521.6 | 8661860 | 1560.987 | E |
| 56 | 570547.9 | 8661610 | 1560.898 | E | 133 | 570505.9 | 8661735 | 1560.954 | E | 210 | 570485.2 | 8661865 | 1560.985 | E |
| 57 | 570553.2 | 8661609 | 1560.898 | E | 134 | 570517.4 | 8661739 | 1560.956 | E | 211 | 570479.8 | 8661861 | 1560.984 | E |
| 58 | 570556.9 | 8661608 | 1560.898 | E | 135 | 570518.9 | 8661739 | 1560.956 | E | 212 | 570477.8 | 8661860 | 1560.984 | E |
| 59 | 570558.5 | 8661608 | 1560.898 | E | 136 | 570523.2 | 8661739 | 1560.956 | E | 213 | 570468.7 | 8661859 | 1560.983 | E |
| 60 | 570565.3 | 8661608 | 1560.897 | E | 137 | 570528.9 | 8661740 | 1560.957 | E | 214 | 570464 | 8661855 | 1560.983 | E |
| 61 | 570566.9 | 8661608 | 1560.897 | E | 138 | 570530.1 | 8661741 | 1560.957 | E | 215 | 570486 | 8661867 | 1560.986 | E |
| 62 | 570570.9 | 8661607 | 1560.896 | E | 139 | 570537.2 | 8661742 | 1560.958 | E | 216 | 570492.5 | 8661872 | 1560.987 | E |
| 63 | 570582.1 | 8661606 | 1560.894 | E | 140 | 570537.2 | 8661742 | 1560.958 | E | 217 | 570499.6 | 8661877 | 1560.988 | E |
| 64 | 570585.9 | 8661606 | 1560.894 | E | 141 | 570544.4 | 8661743 | 1560.959 | E | 218 | 570505.9 | 8661882 | 1560.989 | E |
| 65 | 570591.2 | 8661607 | 1560.894 | E | 142 | 570546.4 | 8661743 | 1560.959 | E | 219 | 570516.9 | 8661887 | 1560.99 | E |
| 66 | 570563.2 | 8661625 | 1560.906 | E | 143 | 570555.8 | 8661744 | 1560.96 | E | 220 | 570462.9 | 8661873 | 1560.985 | E |
| 67 | 570556.4 | 8661625 | 1560.906 | E | 144 | 570564.5 | 8661745 | 1560.96 | E | 221 | 570468.8 | 8661877 | 1560.986 | E |
| 68 | 570554.9 | 8661624 | 1560.906 | E | 145 | 570538.4 | 8661743 | 1560.958 | E | 222 | 570469.8 | 8661879 | 1560.986 | E |
| 69 | 570564.7 | 8661625 | 1560.906 | E | 146 | 570529.8 | 8661761 | 1560.964 | E | 223 | 570462.9 | 8661873 | 1560.985 | E |
| 70 | 570568.9 | 8661625 | 1560.906 | E | 147 | 570523.1 | 8661760 | 1560.963 | E | 224 | 570454.7 | 8661868 | 1560.984 | E |
| 71 | 570576.2 | 8661625 | 1560.905 | E | 148 | 570531.2 | 8661762 | 1560.964 | E | 225 | 570447.9 | 8661861 | 1560.983 | E |
| 72 | 570581.8 | 8661625 | 1560.904 | E | 149 | 570521.8 | 8661759 | 1560.963 | E | 226 | 570442.3 | 8661856 | 1560.981 | E |
| 73 | 570586.6 | 8661626 | 1560.904 | E | 150 | 570513.4 | 8661755 | 1560.961 | E | 227 | 570472.3 | 8661882 | 1560.987 | E |
| 74 | 570549.1 | 8661625 | 1560.906 | E | 151 | 570505.8 | 8661755 | 1560.96 | E | 228 | 570478.9 | 8661887 | 1560.988 | E |
| 75 | 570544.9 | 8661625 | 1560.906 | E | 152 | 570500.2 | 8661752 | 1560.959 | E | 229 | 570483.8 | 8661896 | 1560.989 | E |
| 76 | 570535.6 | 8661625 | 1560.905 | E | 153 | 570534.6 | 8661763 | 1560.965 | E | 230 | 570443.4 | 8661896 | 1560.987 | E |
| 77 | 570529.5 | 8661625 | 1560.905 |  | 154 | 570539.8 | 8661764 | 1560.965 | E | 231 | 570439.7 | 8661891 | 1560.986 | E |

## Appendix 9. Mapanjira Topographic Survey data2

| POINT | EASTING | EASTING | NORTHIN | ESCRIPT | POINT | EASTING | EASTING | NORTHIN | DESCRIPTI | POINT | EASTING | EASTING | NORTHIN | DESCRIPTI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232 | 570445.4 | 8661897 | 1560.987 | E | 309 | 570395.6 | 8662007 | 1560.981 | E | 386 | 570420.8 | 8662092 | 1560.978 | E |
| 233 | 570438.1 | 8661890 | 1560.985 | E | 310 | 570400.8 | 8662007 | 1560.982 | E | 387 | 570424.8 | 8662091 | 1560.979 | E |
| 234 | 570435.2 | 8661886 | 1560.984 | E | 311 | 570410.6 | 8662006 | 1560.984 | E | 388 | 570434.6 | 8662089 | 1560.982 | E |
| 235 | 570427.2 | 8661881 | 1560.982 | E | 312 | 570402.3 | 8662006 | 1560.982 | E | 389 | 570438.5 | 8662088 | 1560.983 | E |
| 236 | 570421.9 | 8661874 | 1560.98 | E | 313 | 570409.1 | 8662006 | 1560.983 | E | 390 | 570421.1 | 8662112 | 1560.975 | E |
| 237 | 570448.7 | 8661899 | 1560.988 | E | 314 | 570413.9 | 8662007 | 1560.984 | E | 391 | 570427.4 | 8662110 | 1560.977 | E |
| 238 | 570457.8 | 8661907 | 1560.989 | E | 315 | 570421.2 | 8662007 | 1560.986 | E | 392 | 570436.3 | 8662107 | 1560.979 | E |
| 239 | 570462.9 | 8661910 | 1560.99 | E | 316 | 570431.1 | 8662007 | 1560.988 | E | 393 | 570442 | 8662104 | 1560.981 | E |
| 240 | 570452.2 | 8661904 | 1560.989 | E | 317 | 570421.7 | 8661990 | 1560.986 | E | 394 | 570419.8 | 8662113 | 1560.975 | E |
| 241 | 570432.9 | 8661911 | 1560.987 | E | 318 | 570409.1 | 8662023 | 1560.983 | E | 395 | 570413 | 8662114 | 1560.973 | E |
| 242 | 570426.6 | 8661908 | 1560.985 | E | 319 | 570402.3 | 8662022 | 1560.982 | E | 396 | 570411.3 | 8662113 | 1560.973 | E |
| 243 | 570434.1 | 8661912 | 1560.987 | E | 320 | 570410.6 | 8662023 | 1560.983 | E | 397 | 570407.8 | 8662114 | 1560.972 | E |
| 244 | 570425 | 8661908 | 1560.985 | E | 321 | 570400.8 | 8662022 | 1560.981 | E | 398 | 570397.3 | 8662116 | 1560.97 | E |
| 245 | 570419.3 | 8661905 | 1560.983 | E | 322 | 570394.4 | 8662021 | 1560.98 | E | 399 | 570389.2 | 8662119 | 1560.968 | E |
| 246 | 570409 | 8661901 | 1560.98 | E | 323 | 570385.8 | 8662021 | 1560.978 | E | 400 | 570426 | 8662129 | 1560.973 | E |
| 247 | 570403.9 | 8661898 | 1560.979 | E | 324 | 570377.5 | 8662021 | 1560.977 | E | 401 | 570427.4 | 8662129 | 1560.974 | E |
| 248 | 570437.5 | 8661914 | 1560.988 | E | 325 | 570380.8 | 8662021 | 1560.977 | E | 402 | 570429.7 | 8662128 | 1560.974 | E |
| 249 | 570449.9 | 8661921 | 1560.991 | E | 326 | 570414.9 | 8662023 | 1560.984 | E | 403 | 570440.5 | 8662126 | 1560.977 | E |
| 250 | 570456.2 | 8661925 | 1560.992 | E | 327 | 570422.7 | 8662023 | 1560.986 | E | 404 | 570443.3 | 8662125 | 1560.977 | E |
| 251 | 570448.8 | 8661939 | 1560.992 | E | 328 | 570435.7 | 8662024 | 1560.989 | E | 405 | 570451.3 | 8662121 | 1560.978 | E |
| 252 | 570441.7 | 8661934 | 1560.992 | E | 329 | 570430 | 8662024 | 1560.987 | E | 406 | 570419.2 | 8662131 | 1560.972 | E |
| 253 | 570434.8 | 8661930 | 1560.99 | E | 330 | 570410.5 | 8662038 | 1560.983 | E | 407 | 570417.6 | 8662131 | 1560.972 | E |
| 254 | 570419.7 | 8661923 | 1560.986 | E | 331 | 570417.2 | 8662038 | 1560.984 | E | 408 | 570414.9 | 8662131 | 1560.971 | E |
| 255 | 570413.9 | 8661922 | 1560.984 | E | 332 | 570426.4 | 8662038 | 1560.986 | E | 409 | 570411.9 | 8662132 | 1560.97 | E |
| 256 | 570408.5 | 8661917 | 1560.983 | E | 333 | 570432.8 | 8662039 | 1560.988 | E | 410 | 570404.8 | 8662133 | 1560.969 | E |
| 257 | 570399.2 | 8661915 | 1560.98 | E | 334 | 570400.7 | 8662037 | 1560.981 | E | 411 | 570400.9 | 8662134 | 1560.968 | E |
| 258 | 570428.7 | 8661928 | 1560.988 | E | 335 | 570409 | 8662037 | 1560.983 | E | 412 | 570394.3 | 8662135 | 1560.966 | E |
| 259 | 570427.5 | 8661926 | 1560.988 | E | 336 | 570402.2 | 8662036 | 1560.981 | E | 413 | 570431.7 | 8662144 | 1560.972 | E |
| 260 | 570421 | 8661924 | 1560.986 | E | 337 | 570395.1 | 8662037 | 1560.98 | E | 414 | 570425.2 | 8662146 | 1560.971 | E |
| 261 | 570420.8 | 8661946 | 1560.987 | E | 338 | 570388.7 | 8662037 | 1560.979 | E | 415 | 570423.7 | 8662147 | 1560.97 | E |
| 262 | 570414.5 | 8661943 | 1560.986 | E | 339 | 570383.8 | 8662036 | 1560.978 | E | 416 | 570432.9 | 8662143 | 1560.973 | E |
| 263 | 570413.1 | 8661943 | 1560.986 | E | 340 | 570375.6 | 8662038 | 1560.976 | E | 417 | 570436.6 | 8662141 | 1560.974 | E |
| 264 | 570422.3 | 8661946 | 1560.988 | E | 341 | 570375.6 | 8662050 | 1560.976 | E | 418 | 570448.5 | 8662138 | 1560.975 | E |
| 265 | 570428 | 8661948 | 1560.989 | E | 342 | 570381.1 | 8662049 | 1560.977 | E | 419 | 570453 | 8662137 | 1560.976 | E |
| 266 | 570433.4 | 8661950 | 1560.99 | E | 343 | 570386.7 | 8662049 | 1560.978 | E | 420 | 570433.2 | 8662127 | 1560.975 | E |
| 267 | 570440 | 8661952 | 1560.991 | E | 344 | 570395.7 | 8662049 | 1560.98 | E | 421 | 570442.9 | 8662140 | 1560.975 | E |
| 268 | 570443.8 | 8661955 | 1560.992 | E | 345 | 570400.7 | 8662049 | 1560.981 | E | 422 | 570419.8 | 8662149 | 1560.969 | E |
| 269 | 570409.5 | 8661941 | 1560.985 | E | 346 | 570410.5 | 8662048 | 1560.983 | E | 423 | 570412.2 | 8662150 | 1560.968 | E |
| 270 | 570404.8 | 8661939 | 1560.984 | E | 347 | 570402.2 | 8662048 | 1560.981 | E | 424 | 570405.7 | 8662151 | 1560.966 | E |
| 271 | 570398.2 | 8661937 | 1560.983 | E | 348 | 570409 | 8662047 | 1560.983 | E | 425 | 570399.1 | 8662152 | 1560.965 | E |
| 272 | 570392.6 | 8661936 | 1560.982 | E | 349 | 570413 | 8662047 | 1560.983 | E | 426 | 570438.4 | 8662157 | 1560.971 | E |
| 273 | 570407.8 | 8661958 | 1560.984 | E | 350 | 570422.5 | 8662048 | 1560.985 | E | 427 | 570443.4 | 8662154 | 1560.973 | E |
| 274 | 570407.8 | 8661958 | 1560.984 | E | 351 | 570431.8 | 8662047 | 1560.987 | E | 428 | 570448 | 8662152 | 1560.973 | E |
| 275 | 570416 | 8661960 | 1560.986 | E | 352 | 570410.5 | 8662062 | 1560.981 | E | 429 | 570454.6 | 8662149 | 1560.974 | E |
| 276 | 570409.1 | 8661959 | 1560.985 | E | 353 | 570402.2 | 8662062 | 1560.98 | E | 430 | 570459.3 | 8662146 | 1560.975 | E |
| 277 | 570417.2 | 8661961 | 1560.986 | E | 354 | 570409 | 8662062 | 1560.981 | E | 431 | 570436.8 | 8662158 | 1560.971 | E |
| 278 | 570423.4 | 8661962 | 1560.987 | E | 355 | 570400.7 | 8662062 | 1560.979 | E | 432 | 570430.6 | 8662160 | 1560.969 | E |
| 279 | 570430.2 | 8661965 | 1560.989 | E | 356 | 570397.1 | 8662062 | 1560.978 | E | 433 | 570429.3 | 8662161 | 1560.969 | E |
| 280 | 570438.4 | 8661968 | 1560.99 | E | 357 | 570390.6 | 8662062 | 1560.977 | E | 434 | 570425.8 | 8662164 | 1560.968 | E |
| 281 | 570403.4 | 8661956 | 1560.983 | E | 358 | 570378.4 | 8662062 | 1560.975 | E | 435 | 570417.6 | 8662167 | 1560.966 | E |
| 282 | 570398.1 | 8661955 | 1560.982 | E | 359 | 570383 | 8662063 | 1560.975 | E | 436 | 570413.7 | 8662168 | 1560.965 | E |
| 283 | 570391.4 | 8661951 | 1560.981 | E | 360 | 570413.5 | 8662062 | 1560.982 | E | 437 | 570409.4 | 8662173 | 1560.963 | E |
| 284 | 570387.7 | 8661949 | 1560.98 | E | 361 | 570419.8 | 8662062 | 1560.983 | E | 438 | 570419.2 | 8662187 | 1560.961 | E |
| 285 | 570410.7 | 8661975 | 1560.984 | E | 362 | 570431.9 | 8662062 | 1560.986 | E | 439 | 570421.7 | 8662184 | 1560.962 | E |
| 286 | 570403.9 | 8661974 | 1560.983 | E | 363 | 570423.6 | 8662063 | 1560.984 | E | 440 | 570424.8 | 8662181 | 1560.964 | E |
| 287 | 570412.3 | 8661975 | 1560.985 | E | 364 | 570412.1 | 8662077 | 1560.979 | E | 441 | 570431.9 | 8662175 | 1560.967 | E |
| 288 | 570402.3 | 8661974 | 1560.983 | E | 365 | 570404.1 | 8662079 | 1560.977 | E | 442 | 570434.9 | 8662173 | 1560.968 | E |
| 289 | 570398.6 | 8661972 | 1560.982 | E | 366 | 570412.1 | 8662077 | 1560.979 | E | 443 | 570437.4 | 8662171 | 1560.969 | E |
| 290 | 570388.2 | 8661971 | 1560.98 | E | 367 | 570410.7 | 8662077 | 1560.979 | E | 444 | 570436.5 | 8662173 | 1560.968 | E |
| 291 | 570379.7 | 8661968 | 1560.978 | E | 368 | 570402.5 | 8662079 | 1560.977 | E | 445 | 570443.3 | 8662168 | 1560.97 | E |
| 292 | 570417.3 | 8661976 | 1560.986 | E | 369 | 570414.7 | 8662077 | 1560.98 | E | 446 | 570444.3 | 8662167 | 1560.971 | E |
| 293 | 570425.3 | 8661978 | 1560.987 | E | 370 | 570422.2 | 8662075 | 1560.981 | E | 447 | 570448.4 | 8662165 | 1560.971 | E |
| 294 | 570431 | 8661979 | 1560.989 | E | 371 | 570431.9 | 8662074 | 1560.984 | E | 448 | 570457.9 | 8662158 | 1560.973 | E |
| 295 | 570435.2 | 8661981 | 1560.989 | E | 372 | 570425.4 | 8662075 | 1560.982 | E | 449 | 570463.1 | 8662154 | 1560.974 | E |
| 296 | 570410.6 | 8661990 | 1560.984 | E | 373 | 570398.9 | 8662079 | 1560.976 | E | 450 | 570453 | 8662177 | 1560.969 | E |
| 297 | 570416.1 | 8661991 | 1560.985 | E | 374 | 570392.6 | 8662080 | 1560.975 | E | 451 | 570458 | 8662175 | 1560.97 | E |
| 298 | 570428.5 | 8661991 | 1560.988 | E | 375 | 570383.8 | 8662082 | 1560.973 | E | 452 | 570465.7 | 8662168 | 1560.971 | E |
| 299 | 570433.5 | 8661992 | 1560.989 | E | 376 | 570380.1 | 8662082 | 1560.972 | E | 453 | 570472 | 8662164 | 1560.972 | E |
| 300 | 570400.8 | 8661990 | 1560.982 | E | 377 | 570387.6 | 8662081 | 1560.973 | E | 454 | 570451.7 | 8662178 | 1560.968 | E |
| 301 | 570409.1 | 8661990 | 1560.984 | E | 378 | 570415.1 | 8662094 | 1560.977 | E | 455 | 570445.9 | 8662182 | 1560.967 | E |
| 302 | 570402.3 | 8661990 | 1560.982 | E | 379 | 570408.4 | 8662096 | 1560.975 | E | 456 | 570444.6 | 8662182 | 1560.967 | E |
| 303 | 570397 | 8661990 | 1560.981 | E | 380 | 570416.4 | 8662094 | 1560.977 | E | 457 | 570441.7 | 8662185 | 1560.966 | E |
| 304 | 570388.3 | 8661990 | 1560.979 | E | 381 | 570407 | 8662096 | 1560.975 | E | 458 | 570436.3 | 8662191 | 1560.963 | E |
| 305 | 570379.4 | 8661989 | 1560.978 | E | 382 | 570403.3 | 8662097 | 1560.974 | E | 459 | 570431.8 | 8662197 | 1560.961 | E |
| 306 | 570377.7 | 8662008 | 1560.977 | E | 383 | 570395.2 | 8662099 | 1560.972 | E | 460 | 570428.5 | 8662200 | 1560.959 | E |
| 307 | 570388.3 | 8662008 | 1560.979 | E | 384 | 570385.8 | 8662103 | 1560.97 | E | 461 | 570464.7 | 8662189 | 1560.966 | E |
| 308 | 570383.1 | 8662007 | 1560.978 | E | 385 | 570391.1 | 8662102 | 1560.971 | E | 462 | 570461.4 | 8662190 | 1560.966 | E |

# Appendix 10. Mapanjira Topographic Survey data3 

| POINT | EASTING | NORTHIN | EVATIO | DE |  | EASTING | EASTING | NORTHIN | DE |  | EASTING | EASTING | NORTHINC | DE |  | EASTING | EASTING | NORTHINC | ESCRIPTI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 463 | 570455.4 | 8662193 | 1560.964 | E | 540 | 570463.2 | 8662054 | 1560.99 | E | 617 | 570535.4 | 8661925 | 1560.996 | E | 694 | 570642.3 | 8661601 | 1560.885 | E |
| 464 | 570467.6 | 8662186 | 1560.967 | E | 541 | 570483.5 | 8662051 | 1560.991 | E | 618 | 570416.4 | 8661867 | 1560.977 | E | 695 | 570602.1 | 8661588 | 1560.882 | E |
| 465 | 570471.5 | 8662181 | 1560.968 | E | 542 | 570435.6 | 8662045 | 1560.988 | E | 619 | 570403.7 | 8661851 | 1560.972 | E | 696 | 570635.4 | 8661584 | 1560.876 | E |
| 466 | 570474.9 | 8662177 | 1560.97 | E | 543 | 570448.6 | 8662044 | 1560.99 | E | 620 | 570377.2 | 8661834 | 1560.964 | E | 697 | 570650.8 | 8661583 | 1560.874 | E |
| 467 | 570479 | 8662175 | 1560.971 | E | 544 | 570471.3 | 8662041 | 1560.991 | E | 621 | 570436.5 | 8661847 | 1560.979 | E | 698 | 570521.1 | 8661597 | 1560.889 | E |
| 468 | 570454 | 8662194 | 1560.964 | E | 545 | 570481.6 | 8662039 | 1560.992 | E | 622 | 570416.4 | 8661808 | 1560.968 | E | 699 | 570505.8 | 8661594 | 1560.887 | E |
| 469 | 570450.7 | 8662196 | 1560.963 | E | 546 | 570441.4 | 8662040 | 1560.989 | E | 623 | 570538.1 | 8661889 | 1560.992 | E | 700 | 570486.7 | 8661592 | 1560.884 | E |
| 470 | 570446.1 | 8662199 | 1560.962 | E | 547 | 570450.1 | 8662036 | 1560.99 | E | 624 | 570578.4 | 8661906 | 1560.993 | E | 701 | 570476.2 | 8661589 | 1560.882 | E |
| 471 | 570442.6 | 8662203 | 1560.961 | E | 548 | 570460.3 | 8662033 | 1560.991 | E | 625 | 570554.5 | 8661900 | 1560.994 | E | 702 | 570513.2 | 8661623 | 1560.903 | E |
| 472 | 570437.2 | 8662209 | 1560.958 | E | 549 | 570478.9 | 8662031 | 1560.992 | E | 626 | 570534.4 | 8661858 | 1560.987 | E | 703 | 570486.2 | 8661623 | 1560.901 | E |
| 473 | 570480.8 | 8662214 | 1560.96 | E | 550 | 570440.6 | 8662024 | 1560.99 | E | 627 | 570570.9 | 8661867 | 1560.989 | E | 704 | 570477.8 | 8661622 | 1560.9 | E |
| 474 | 570474.3 | 8662217 | 1560.959 | E | 551 | 570454.3 | 8662020 | 1560.991 | E | 628 | 570549.2 | 8661867 | 1560.989 | E | 705 | 570514.3 | 8661652 | 1560.918 | E |
| 475 | 570481.8 | 8662213 | 1560.96 | E | 552 | 570468.3 | 8662019 | 1560.991 | E | 629 | 570533.3 | 8661844 | 1560.985 | E | 706 | 570492 | 8661648 | 1560.915 | E |
| 476 | 570472.6 | 8662217 | 1560.959 | E | 553 | 570477.4 | 8662017 | 1560.992 | E | 630 | 570556.1 | 8661843 | 1560.987 | E | 707 | 570477.2 | 8661647 | 1560.913 | E |
| 477 | 570469.2 | 8662219 | 1560.958 | E | 554 | 570370.3 | 8662021 | 1560.975 | E | 631 | 570573 | 8661846 | 1560.986 | E | 708 | 570510 | 8661672 | 1560.928 | E |
| 478 | 570464 | 8662224 | 1560.956 | E | 555 | 570357 | 8662023 | 1560.972 | E | 632 | 570536.5 | 8661822 | 1560.983 | E | 709 | 570474 | 8661671 | 1560.926 | E |
| 479 | 570455.4 | 8662226 | 1560.955 | E | 556 | 570331.6 | 8662023 | 1560.967 | E | 633 | 570554.5 | 8661822 | 1560.984 | E | 710 | 570462.4 | 8661665 | 1560.922 | E |
| 480 | 570484.7 | 8662209 | 1560.961 | E | 557 | 570311 | 8662023 | 1560.961 | E | 634 | 570574.6 | 8661825 | 1560.983 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 481 | 570493 | 8662204 | 1560.963 | E | 558 | 570368.8 | 8662039 | 1560.974 | E | 635 | 570457.6 | 8661808 | 1560.974 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 482 | 570496.3 | 8662200 | 1560.964 | E | 559 | 570354.6 | 8662039 | 1560.972 | E | 636 | 570448.6 | 8661830 | 1560.978 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 483 | 570498.6 | 8662197 | 1560.965 | E | 560 | 570335.6 | 8662040 | 1560.968 | E | 637 | 570429.6 | 8661829 | 1560.975 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 484 | 570433.1 | 8662236 | 1560.95 | E | 561 | 570314.4 | 8662040 | 1560.962 | E | 638 | 570465.6 | 8661797 | 1560.971 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 485 | 570415.8 | 8662255 | 1560.942 | E | 562 | 570366.3 | 8662051 | 1560.974 | E | 639 | 570442.8 | 8661794 | 1560.969 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 486 | 570394.2 | 8662260 | 1560.936 | E | 563 | 570354.2 | 8662052 | 1560.971 | E | 640 | 570420.7 | 8661784 | 1560.961 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 487 | 570506 | 8662193 | 1560.967 | E | 564 | 570341.1 | 8662053 | 1560.968 | E | 641 | 570417.4 | 8661776 | 1560.958 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 488 | 570521.2 | 8662184 | 1560.97 | E | 565 | 570327.1 | 8662055 | 1560.965 | E | 642 | 570469.3 | 8661778 | 1560.966 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 489 | 570549.6 | 8662168 | 1560.976 | E | 566 | 570311.4 | 8662056 | 1560.96 | E | 643 | 570457.6 | 8661771 | 1560.962 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 490 | 570535.2 | 8662146 | 1560.979 | E | 567 | 570363.1 | 8662065 | 1560.971 | E | 644 | 570432.2 | 8661765 | 1560.957 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 491 | 570499.8 | 8662157 | 1560.975 | E | 568 | 570350.6 | 8662066 | 1560.968 | E | 645 | 570414.7 | 8661756 | 1560.951 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 492 | 570480.6 | 8662164 | 1560.973 | E | 569 | 570332.4 | 8662069 | 1560.964 | E | 646 | 570552.9 | 8661801 | 1560.978 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 493 | 570421.7 | 8662201 | 1560.958 | E | 570 | 570306.5 | 8662073 | 1560.956 | E | 647 | 570571.4 | 8661805 | 1560.979 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 494 | 570397.2 | 8662215 | 1560.949 | E | 571 | 570374.5 | 8662085 | 1560.97 | E | 648 | 570596.3 | 8661810 | 1560.978 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 495 | 570373.5 | 8662230 | 1560.941 | E | 572 | 570343.4 | 8662088 | 1560.964 | E | 649 | 570554.5 | 8661787 | 1560.974 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 496 | 570348.3 | 8662193 | 1560.946 | E | 573 | 570318 | 8662089 | 1560.958 | E | 650 | 570576.2 | 8661787 | 1560.972 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 497 | 570363.1 | 8662181 | 1560.952 | E | 574 | 570310.6 | 8662090 | 1560.955 | E | 651 | 570603.7 | 8661793 | 1560.971 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 498 | 570404.2 | 8662173 | 1560.962 | E | 575 | 570359.7 | 8662085 | 1560.967 | E | 652 | 570480.4 | 8661763 | 1560.961 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 499 | 570412.4 | 8662191 | 1560.959 | E | 576 | 570369 | 8662107 | 1560.966 | E | 653 | 570462.9 | 8661754 | 1560.957 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500 | 570387.9 | 8662199 | 1560.952 | E | 577 | 570342.2 | 8662107 | 1560.96 | E | 654 | 570444.4 | 8661751 | 1560.955 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 501 | 570355.7 | 8662212 | 1560.942 | E | 578 | 570320.8 | 8662111 | 1560.955 | E | 655 | 570434.4 | 8661747 | 1560.952 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 502 | 570372.8 | 8662201 | 1560.948 | E | 579 | 570358.9 | 8662107 | 1560.964 | E | 656 | 570566.1 | 8661769 | 1560.968 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 503 | 570470 | 8662152 | 1560.974 | E | 580 | 570316.4 | 8661997 | 1560.963 | E | 657 | 570590.5 | 8661769 | 1560.965 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 504 | 570480.6 | 8662146 | 1560.976 | E | 581 | 570349.7 | 8661998 | 1560.971 | E | 658 | 570609 | 8661780 | 1560.967 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 505 | 570496.4 | 8662138 | 1560.978 | E | 582 | 570366.6 | 8661992 | 1560.975 | E | 659 | 570481.5 | 8661747 | 1560.956 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 506 | 570529.3 | 8662126 | 1560.982 | E | 583 | 570370.9 | 8661968 | 1560.976 | E | 660 | 570457.1 | 8661732 | 1560.95 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 507 | 570466.4 | 8662142 | 1560.976 | E | 584 | 570340.2 | 8661963 | 1560.97 | E | 661 | 570433.9 | 8661722 | 1560.944 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 508 | 570474.2 | 8662134 | 1560.977 | E | 585 | 570320.6 | 8661958 | 1560.965 | E | 662 | 570495.2 | 8661729 | 1560.951 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 509 | 570496.9 | 8662124 | 1560.98 | E | 586 | 570445.5 | 8661979 | 1560.991 | E | 663 | 570476.7 | 8661719 | 1560.947 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 510 | 570461.9 | 8662131 | 1560.977 | E | 587 | 570458.7 | 8661980 | 1560.992 | E | 664 | 570459.2 | 8661708 | 1560.942 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 511 | 570488.2 | 8662117 | 1560.981 | E | 588 | 570510.8 | 8661981 | 1560.995 | E | 665 | 570447.6 | 8661706 | 1560.941 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 | 570454.7 | 8662119 | 1560.979 | E | 589 | 570448.6 | 8661995 | 1560.991 | E | 666 | 570575.6 | 8661746 | 1560.959 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 513 | 570482.1 | 8662111 | 1560.982 | E | 590 | 570472.5 | 8661992 | 1560.992 | E | 667 | 570606.9 | 8661752 | 1560.958 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 514 | 570515.7 | 8662105 | 1560.984 | E | 591 | 570500 | 8661996 | 1560.994 | E | 668 | 570624.3 | 8661758 | 1560.958 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 515 | 570522.1 | 8662115 | 1560.983 | E | 592 | 570446.5 | 8662009 | 1560.99 | E | 669 | 570585.7 | 8661726 | 1560.952 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 516 | 570383.2 | 8662137 | 1560.964 | E | 593 | 570469.8 | 8662005 | 1560.992 | E | 670 | 570625.4 | 8661728 | 1560.948 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 517 | 570372.2 | 8662140 | 1560.961 | E | 594 | 570497.3 | 8662006 | 1560.993 | E | 671 | 570603.2 | 8661726 | 1560.95 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 518 | 570353.6 | 8662145 | 1560.957 | E | 595 | 570377.7 | 8661946 | 1560.978 | E | 672 | 570500 | 8661709 | 1560.945 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 519 | 570335.2 | 8662148 | 1560.953 | E | 596 | 570355.5 | 8661941 | 1560.973 | E | 673 | 570480.4 | 8661703 | 1560.942 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 520 | 570389.8 | 8662154 | 1560.962 | E | 597 | 570329.1 | 8661930 | 1560.967 | E | 674 | 570455 | 8661694 | 1560.937 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 521 | 570354.8 | 8662165 | 1560.954 | E | 598 | 570453.9 | 8661957 | 1560.992 | E | 675 | 570507.9 | 8661694 | 1560.94 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 522 | 570334.3 | 8662170 | 1560.949 | E | 599 | 570471.4 | 8661963 | 1560.993 | E | 676 | 570478.8 | 8661690 | 1560.936 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 523 | 570349.8 | 8662165 | 1560.953 | E | 600 | 570500.5 | 8661977 | 1560.994 | E | 677 | 570457.1 | 8661682 | 1560.931 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 524 | 570377.5 | 8662120 | 1560.965 | E | 601 | 570467.2 | 8661942 | 1560.993 | E | 678 | 570588.9 | 8661704 | 1560.944 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 525 | 570362.2 | 8662123 | 1560.962 | E | 602 | 570495.9 | 8661956 | 1560.995 | E | 679 | 570622.7 | 8661705 | 1560.941 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 526 | 570340.2 | 8662127 | 1560.957 | E | 603 | 570511.3 | 8661954 | 1560.996 | E | 680 | 570641.8 | 8661701 | 1560.937 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 527 | 570321.6 | 8662130 | 1560.953 | E | 604 | 570382 | 8661907 | 1560.975 | E | 681 | 570596.3 | 8661687 | 1560.936 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 528 | 570456 | 8662098 | 1560.982 | E | 605 | 570361.3 | 8661898 | 1560.97 | E | 682 | 570630.7 | 8661681 | 1560.929 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 529 | 570477 | 8662096 | 1560.984 | E | 606 | 570339.1 | 8661888 | 1560.963 | E | 683 | 570596.3 | 8661663 | 1560.923 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 530 | 570487.6 | 8662092 | 1560.985 | E | 607 | 570373.5 | 8661930 | 1560.977 | E | 684 | 570625.4 | 8661663 | 1560.92 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 531 | 570449.5 | 8662083 | 1560.984 | E | 608 | 570355.5 | 8661920 | 1560.972 | E | 685 | 570638.6 | 8661663 | 1560.918 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 532 | 570461.3 | 8662080 | 1560.986 | E | 609 | 570333.8 | 8661913 | 1560.966 | E | 686 | 570597.3 | 8661651 | 1560.916 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 533 | 570475.3 | 8662078 | 1560.987 | E | 610 | 570383.6 | 8661888 | 1560.973 | E | 687 | 570619 | 8661647 | 1560.912 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 534 | 570485.7 | 8662073 | 1560.988 | E | 611 | 570358.7 | 8661868 | 1560.965 | E | 688 | 570658.3 | 8661643 | 1560.905 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 535 | 570441.8 | 8662073 | 1560.986 | E | 612 | 570348.6 | 8661860 | 1560.961 | E | 689 | 570597.9 | 8661622 | 1560.901 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 536 | 570457.7 | 8662067 | 1560.988 | E | 613 | 570475.6 | 8661921 | 1560.992 | E | 690 | 570622.7 | 8661618 | 1560.896 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 537 | 570480.6 | 8662062 | 1560.99 | E | 614 | 570515.6 | 8661937 | 1560.996 | E | 691 | 570646 | 8661619 | 1560.894 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 538 | 570439.7 | 8662062 | 1560.987 | E | 615 | 570503.7 | 8661902 | 1560.991 | E | 692 | 570605.8 | 8661603 | 1560.89 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 539 | 570450.7 | 8662057 | 1560.989 | E | 616 | 570516.9 | 8661921 | 1560.995 | E | 693 | 570629.6 | 8661600 | 1560.886 | E |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]EASTING 576509.5

| NORTHINGHEIGHT |
| :--- |
| N680958 |
| 8553.835 |
| 8680939 | | 8680958 | 1553.835 | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8680939 | 1554.023 |  |



EASTI
576364.2

| NORTHINC HEIGHT |
| :---: |
| 8680862 |
| 8680856 |
| 1554.861 |

DESCRIPTI 576478. 8680939
8680917 8680896
8680877 1554.233
1554.44 3 C 56368.2
576372.3 576375 . 576380.2 576346.4
576341.3 576341.3
576334.9 576334.9
576321.7 576313.1
576309.9 576309.
576305.9 576331.6 576324.9
576331.4 576331.4
576324.5 576278.9
576286.9 576301.4 576311.2
576359.9 576259.9 576256.3
576281.6 576274 576281.3 576275.9
576263.5 576267.5 576283.7
576291.9 576291.9
576213.9 576213.9
576216.5 576216.5
576219.3
576208.8 576208.8
576227.3 576227.3
576221.5 576221.5
576226.5 576226.5
576222.4 576222.4
576212.2 576212.2
576238.3 576238.3
576234.7 576234.7
576229.8 576182 576184.5 576189.4
576176.5 147 148 149 150 8680852
8680846

8680837 $m m m$ 86808371554.89 \begin{tabular}{l|l|}
8680812 \& 1555.203 <br>
8680818 \& 1555.103

 

8680818 \& 1555.193 <br>
\hline 8680826 \& 1555.172

 

8680826 \& 1555.172 <br>
8680840 \& 1555.14

 8680840 86808453 8680856 1555.134 E 86808561555.102 E $\begin{array}{ll}8680831 & 1555.156 \\ 8680837 & 1555.148\end{array}$ 86808331 1555.148 E 86808381555.146 86808371555.323 E 8680824 

1555.323 <br>
1555.374
\end{tabular} 8680808 8680802

8680835 8680778 8680826 8680800 8680807 8680801

8680806 8680806 1555.416 E 1555.409 E 1555.633 E 1555.483 E 1555.549 E 1555.535 E 1555.54 86808111555.517 86807971555.56 86807841555.602 $8680788 \quad 1555.855$ 86807831555.885 $8680800 \quad 1555.79$ | 8680771 | 1555.941 | $E$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | 8680780 8680778 8680778

8680793 8680793 1555.907 E 86807501555.831 E 86807591556.001 8680767 8680750 8680744 8680730 8680759 8680764 8680771 8680751 8680758 8680779 8680732 8680740 1556.001 1556.22 E 1556.256 E 1556.337 E 1556.178 E 1556.152 E 1556.109 E $1556.217 E$ 1556.183 E 1556.063 E 1556.488 E 1556.441 E 1556.479 1556.414 8680739 8680746 1556.414 E 1556.375 E 1556.342 1556.612 1556.568 E

1556.524 E | $1556.524 E$ |
| :--- |
| 1556.664 | 1556.664 E 1556.614 E 1556.541 E 1556.572 E 1556.678 1556.671 1556.734

1556.758 1556.725 1556.716 1556.716 1556.842 E 1556.985 E 1557.058 E $1557.11 E$ 1556.913 1556.853 1556.82 E 1556.975 1556.938 1556.932 1556.975 1557.069 E 1557.353 E
1557.33 E 1557.33 E
1557.278 E 1557.278 $1557.234 E$
1557.175 1557.175
1557.225 1557.225
1557.164 1557.164
1557.119 1557.119
1557.023 1557.023 E 1557.509 E 1557.436
1557.351
$E$ $1557.294 E$
1557.339

# Appendix 12. Chilerawalanda Topographic Survey data (2) 

| POINT | EASTING | NORTHINC | HEIGHT | DESCRIPTI | POINT | EASTING | NORTHINC | HEIGHT | DESCRIPTI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 199 | 575976.7 | 8680647 | 1557.285 | E | 298 | 575797.6 | 8680279 | 1558.043 | E |
| 200 | 575973. | 8680650 | 1557.257 | E | 299 | 575787.2 | 8680282 | 1557.981 | E |
| 201 | 575964.8 | 8680655 | 1557.2 | E | 300 | 575777.3 | 8680284 | 1557.917 | E |
| 202 | 575959.5 | 8680662 | 1557.131 | E | 301 | 575773.1 | 8680285 | 1557.892 | E |
| 203 | 575923.6 | 8680630 | 1557.324 | E | 302 | 575765.4 | 8680288 | 1557.798 | E |
| 204 | 575930.3 | 8680624 | 1557.374 | E | 303 | 575771.9 | 8680286 | 1557.882 | E |
| 205 | 575951.1 | 8680610 | 1557.516 | E | 304 | 575763.9 | 8680288 | 1557.78 | E |
| 206 | 575949.8 | 8680611 | 1557.508 | E | 305 | 575762.1 | 8680289 | 1557.758 | E |
| 207 | 575944.6 | 8680615 | 1557.467 | E | 306 | 575757.1 | 8680290 | 1557.695 | E |
| 208 | 575943.4 | 8680616 | 1557.458 | E | 307 | 575750.6 | 8680292 | 1557.616 | E |
| 209 | 575936.5 | 8680621 | 1557.413 | E | 308 | 575741.6 | 8680296 | 1557.509 | E |
| 210 | 575926.6 | 8680627 | 1557.348 | E | 309 | 575785.1 | 8680244 | 1557.768 | E |
| 211 | 575953.9 | 8680608 | 1557.535 | E | 310 | 575781 | 8680245 | 1557.744 | E |
| 212 | 575962.7 | 8680602 | 1557.595 | E | 311 | 575774.2 | 8680246 | 1557.698 | E |
| 213 | 575968.7 | 8680596 | 1557.647 | E | 312 | 575767.6 | 8680248 | 1557.612 | E |
| 214 | 575970.5 | 8680594 | 1557.666 | E | 313 | 575760.4 | 8680249 | 1557.515 | E |
| 215 | 575946.5 | 8680561 | 1557.873 | E | 314 | 575750.8 | 8680252 | 1557.387 | E |
| 216 | 575936.5 | 8680565 | 1557.828 | E | 315 | 575740.4 | 8680256 | 1557.255 | E |
| 217 | 575929.8 | 8680571 | 1557.774 | E | 316 | 575735 | 8680257 | 1557.183 | E |
| 218 | 575915.7 | 8680578 | 1557.698 | E | 317 | 575728.4 | 8680259 | 1557.103 | E |
| 219 | 575924.6 | 8680574 | 1557.745 | E | 318 | 575746.2 | 8680253 | 1557.327 | E |
| 220 | 575917.3 | 8680578 | 1557.703 | E | 319 | 575759 | 8680250 | 1557.498 | E |
| 221 | 575923.4 | 8680575 | 1557.737 | E | 320 | 575752.3 | 8680251 | 1557.407 | E |
| 222 | 575911.3 | 8680581 | 1557.672 | E | 321 | 575766.6 | 8680192 | 1557.296 | E |
| 223 | 575900.8 | 8680585 | 1557.623 | E | 322 | 575759.6 | 8680194 | 1557.196 | E |
| 224 | 575894.5 | 8680589 | 1557.587 | E | 323 | 575752.5 | 8680196 | 1557.092 | E |
| 225 | 575928 | 8680529 | 1558.076 | E | 324 | 575745.9 | 8680198 | 1557.003 | E |
| 226 | 575904.9 | 8680539 | 1557.983 | E | 325 | 575734.6 | 8680202 | 1556.846 | E |
| 227 | 575911 | 8680536 | 1558.014 | E | 326 | 575742.5 | 8680199 | 1556.955 | E |
| 228 | 575920 | 8680531 | 1558.05 | E | 327 | 575741.1 | 8680200 | 1556.936 | E |
| 229 | 575913.9 | 8680534 | 1558.027 | E | 328 | 575731 | 8680202 | 1556.793 | E |
| 230 | 575895.3 | 8680541 | 1557.947 | E | 329 | 575733.1 | 8680202 | 1556.825 | E |
| 231 | 575903.5 | 8680539 | 1557.976 | E | 330 | 575724 | 8680204 | 1556.694 | E |
| 232 | 575896.8 | 8680541 | 1557.953 | E | 331 | 575715.1 | 8680206 | 1556.567 | E |
| 233 | 575891 | 8680543 | 1557.927 | E | 332 | 575710.4 | 8680208 | 1556.503 | E |
| 234 | 575884 | 8680546 | 1557.863 | E | 333 | 575692.6 | 8680160 | 1555.723 | E |
| 235 | 575875.3 | 8680549 | 1557.792 | E | 334 | 575695.8 | 8680158 | 1555.749 | E |
| 236 | 575873.1 | 8680549 | 1557.773 | E | 335 | 575706.1 | 8680154 | 1555.862 | E |
| 237 | 575910.1 | 8680494 | 1558.239 | E | 336 | 575712.3 | 8680153 | 1555.95 | E |
| 238 | 575887 | 8680504 | 1558.132 | E | 337 | 575715.8 | 8680154 | 1556.014 | E |
| 239 | 575891.5 | 8680502 | 1558.168 | E | 338 | 575725.5 | 8680152 | 1556.148 | E |
| 240 | 575896.5 | 8680499 | 1558.192 | E | 339 | 575724.1 | 8680152 | 1556.132 | E |
| 241 | 575905.5 | 8680496 | 1558.219 | E | 340 | 575717.3 | 8680153 | 1556.035 | E |
| 242 | 575877.4 | 8680506 | 1558.059 | E | 341 | 575727.5 | 8680152 | 1556.183 | E |
| 243 | 575885.7 | 8680504 | 1558.12 | E | 342 | 575730.5 | 8680150 | 1556.21 | E |
| 244 | 575879.1 | 8680506 | 1558.069 | E | 343 | 575738.3 | 8680148 | 1556.307 | E |
| 245 | 575873.1 | 8680508 | 1558.025 | E | 344 | 575744.9 | 8680146 | 1556.394 | E |
| 246 | 575861.5 | 8680513 | 1557.929 | E | 345 | 575749.5 | 8680145 | 1556.446 | E |
| 247 | 575855.2 | 8680515 | 1557.88 | E | 346 | 575744.4 | 8680111 | 1555.867 | E |
| 248 | 575868.3 | 8680511 | 1557.98 | E | 347 | 575737.8 | 8680111 | 1555.758 | E |
| 249 | 575882.3 | 8680439 | 1558.448 | E | 348 | 575728.8 | 8680112 | 1555.611 | E |
| 250 | 575877.2 | 8680442 | 1558.403 | E | 349 | 575724.6 | 8680112 | 1555.54 | E |
| 251 | 575867.7 | 8680445 | 1558.327 | E | 350 | 575719.3 | 8680112 | 1555.441 | E |
| 252 | 575857.1 | 8680449 | 1558.241 | E | 351 | 575710.9 | 8680112 | 1555.292 | E |
| 253 | 575850.6 | 8680451 | 1558.188 | E | 352 | 575717.8 | 8680112 | 1555.413 | E |
| 254 | 575858.4 | 8680448 | 1558.253 | E | 353 | 575709.5 | 8680112 | 1555.274 | E |
| 255 | 575849.1 | 8680451 | 1558.177 | E | 354 | 575700.1 | 8680114 | 1555.131 | E |
| 256 | 575841.6 | 8680454 | 1558.113 | E | 355 | 575689.9 | 8680116 | 1554.981 | E |
| 257 | 575836.1 | 8680457 | 1558.066 | E | 356 | 575685.9 | 8680117 | 1554.937 | E |
| 258 | 575828.9 | 8680459 | 1558.008 | E | 357 | 575695 | 8680115 | 1555.065 | E |
| 259 | 575844.8 | 8680453 | 1558.14 | E | 358 | 575714.2 | 8680070 | 1554.71 | E |
| 260 | 575841.8 | 8680415 | 1558.31 | E | 359 | 575706 | 8680071 | 1554.571 | E |
| 261 | 575834.3 | 8680419 | 1558.249 | E | 360 | 575712.8 | 8680070 | 1554.687 | E |
| 262 | 575840.5 | 8680416 | 1558.301 | E | 361 | 575704.5 | 8680071 | 1554.546 | E |
| 263 | 575832.7 | 8680419 | 1558.238 | E | 362 | 575717.6 | 8680069 | 1554.767 | E |
| 264 | 575827.7 | 8680423 | 1558.187 | E | 363 | 575723.2 | 8680069 | 1554.868 | E |
| 265 | 575819.4 | 8680426 | 1558.115 | E | 364 | 575728.8 | 8680069 | 1554.967 | E |
| 266 | 575815 | 8680429 | 1558.077 | E | 365 | 575733.4 | 8680069 | 1555.05 | E |
| 267 | 575811.1 | 8680429 | 1558.049 | E | 366 | 575736.4 | 8680068 | 1555.105 | E |
| 268 | 575844.3 | 8680414 | 1558.328 | E | 367 | 575696.4 | 8680072 | 1554.418 | E |
| 269 | 575851.3 | 8680410 | 1558.378 | E | 368 | 575687.1 | 8680073 | 1554.259 | E |
| 270 | 575856.8 | 8680408 | 1558.417 | E | 369 | 575680.9 | 8680074 | 1554.151 | E |
| 271 | 575860.7 | 8680405 | 1558.446 | E | 370 | 575712.9 | 8680039 | 1553.953 | E |
| 272 | 575817.5 | 8680370 | 1558.205 | E | 371 | 575706.1 | 8680038 | 1553.798 | E |
| 273 | 575821.1 | 8680368 | 1558.231 | E | 372 | 575704.6 | 8680038 | 1553.769 | E |
| 274 | 575816.1 | 8680370 | 1558.195 | E | 373 | 575714.4 | 8680038 | 1553.978 | E |
| 275 | 575808.4 | 8680373 | 1558.14 | E | 374 | 575720.1 | 8680038 | 1554.073 | E |
| 276 | 575823.8 | 8680367 | 1558.251 | E | 375 | 575729.4 | 8680038 | 1554.255 | E |
| 277 | 575829.3 | 8680364 | 1558.292 | E | 376 | 575732.3 | 8680038 | 1554.313 | E |
| 278 | 575833.6 | 8680361 | 1558.325 | E | 377 | 575738.5 | 8680038 | 1554.436 | E |
| 279 | 575836.8 | 8680359 | 1558.349 | E | 378 | 575701.3 | 8680038 | 1553.707 | E |
| 280 | 575809.7 | 8680373 | 1558.149 | E | 379 | 575696.7 | 8680038 | 1553.613 | E |
| 281 | 575804.4 | 8680375 | 1558.111 | E | 380 | 575688.8 | 8680038 | 1553.478 | E |
| 282 | 575791.7 | 8680380 | 1558.022 | E | 381 | 575685.4 | 8680038 | 1553.409 | E |
| 283 | 575787 | 8680382 | 1557.989 | E | 382 | 575679.5 | 8680038 | 1553.277 | E |
| 284 | 575797.4 | 8680377 | 1558.063 | E | 383 | 575716.1 | 8680003 | 1553.078 | E |
| 285 | 575813.5 | 8680309 | 1558.245 | E | 384 | 575707.8 | 8680003 | 1552.898 | E |
| 286 | 575790.6 | 8680319 | 1558.071 | E | 385 | 575714.6 | 8680003 | 1553.041 | E |
| 287 | 575783.1 | 8680323 | 1558.015 | E | 386 | 575718.8 | 8680004 | 1553.145 | E |
| 288 | 575789.3 | 8680320 | 1558.061 | E | 387 | 575723.3 | 8680003 | 1553.217 | E |
| 289 | 575781.6 | 8680323 | 1558.004 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 290 | 575776.2 | 8680325 | 1557.964 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 291 | 575770.1 | 8680327 | 1557.899 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 292 | 575764.2 | 8680330 | 1557.817 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 293 | 575760.4 | 8680332 | 1557.765 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 294 | 575796.6 | 8680317 | 1558.116 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 295 | 575805.7 | 8680312 | 1558.186 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 296 | 575810.3 | 8680310 | 1558.221 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 297 | 575802.5 | 8680314 | 1558.161 | E |  |  |  |  |  |

# Appendix 13. Chilerawalanda Topographic Survey data (3) 

| POINT | EASTING | NORTHINC | HEIGHT | DESCRIPTI | POINT | EASTING | NORTHINC | HEIGHT | DESCRIPTI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 388 | 575730.4 | 8680002 | 1553.346 | E | 487 | 575769.5 | 8679540 | 1531.201 | E |
| 389 | 575738 | 8680001 | 1553.484 | E | 488 | 575763.2 | 8679540 | 1530.925 | E |
| 390 | 575741.3 | 8680002 | 1553.558 | E | 489 | 575756 | 8679539 | 1530.599 | E |
| 391 | 575706.3 | 8680003 | 1552.861 | E | 490 | 575747.9 | 8679539 | 1530.275 | E |
| 392 | 575703 | 8680003 | 1552.792 | E | 491 | 575783.3 | 8679540 | 1531.688 | E |
| 393 | 575695.1 | 8680003 | 1552.645 | E | 492 | 575793.6 | 8679541 | 1532.027 | E |
| 4 | 575687.5 | 8680003 | 1552.482 | E | 493 | 575797.5 | 8679541 | 1532.147 | E |
| 5 | 575682.9 | 8680002 | 1552.371 | E | 494 | 575803 | 8679542 | 1532.407 | E |
| 396 | 575685.4 | 8679953 | 1551.076 | E | 495 | 575782.4 | 8679467 | 1526.46 | E |
| 397 | 575689.5 | 8679953 | 1551.161 | E | 496 | 575775.6 | 8679467 | 1526.221 | E |
| 398 | 575702.7 | 8679952 | 1551.428 | E | 497 | 575783.9 | 8679468 | 1526.536 | E |
| 399 | 575705.7 | 8679952 | 1551.489 | E | 498 | 575774.1 | 8679467 | 1526.17 | E |
| 400 | 575710.5 | 8679955 | 1551.685 | E | 499 | 575765.4 | 8679468 | 1525.816 | E |
| 401 | 575718.8 | 8679956 | 1551.877 | E | 500 | 575754.8 | 8679468 | 1525.404 | E |
| 402 | 575712 | 8679955 | 1551.72 | E | 501 | 575750.9 | 8679468 | 1525.204 | E |
| 403 | 575720.2 | 8679956 | 1551.918 | E | 502 | 575788.1 | 8679468 | 1526.678 | E |
| 404 | 575723.1 | 8679956 | 1551.975 | E | 503 | 575796.8 | 8679468 | 1526.967 | E |
| 405 | 575733 | 8679956 | 1552.2 | E | 504 | 575805.1 | 8679468 | 1527.258 | E |
| 406 | 575742.9 | 8679957 | 1552.435 | E | 505 | 575772.9 | 8679411 | 1521.899 | E |
| 407 | 575737.1 | 8679957 | 1552.307 | E | 506 | 575766.4 | 8679413 | 1521.727 | E |
| 408 | 575705.5 | 8679955 | 1551.576 | E | 507 | 575764.9 | 8679414 | 1521.694 | E |
| 409 | 575700.2 | 8679955 | 1551.452 | E | 508 | 575774.4 | 8679411 | 1521.921 | E |
| 410 | 575695.8 | 8679954 | 1551.334 | E | 509 | 575779.6 | 8679410 | 1522.014 | E |
| 411 | 575692.5 | 8679954 | 1551.258 | E | 510 | 575791.1 | 8679405 | 1522.136 | E |
| 412 | 575724.2 | 8679906 | 1550.206 | E | 511 | 575794 | 8679405 | 1522.196 | E |
| 413 | 575717.5 | 8679905 | 1549.997 | E | 512 | 575795.6 | 8679404 | 1522.201 | E |
| 414 | 575725.7 | 8679906 | 1550.242 | E | 513 | 575759.6 | 8679414 | 1521.518 | E |
| 415 | 575715.9 | 8679905 | 1549.97 | E | 514 | 575754.1 | 8679415 | 1521.327 | E |
| 416 | 575713.5 | 8679903 | 1549.853 | E | 515 | 575747 | 8679416 | 1521.07 | E |
| 417 | 575707 | 8679904 | 1549.717 | E | 516 | 575743.5 | 8679417 | 1520.99 | E |
| 418 | 575696.2 | 8679904 | 1549.445 | E | 517 | 575741.6 | 8679417 | 1520.939 | E |
| 419 | 575692.5 | 8679902 | 1549.304 | E | 518 | 575797.2 | 8679399 | 1521.886 | E |
| 420 | 575728.4 | 8679906 | 1550.312 | E | 519 | 575825.7 | 8679392 | 1522.481 | E |
| 421 | 575741.8 | 8679906 | 1550.628 | E | 520 | 575852.4 | 8679387 | 1523.156 | E |
| 422 | 575748.8 | 8679906 | 1550.811 | E | 521 | 575838.4 | 8679469 | 1528.553 | E |
| 423 | 575735.1 | 8679906 | 1550.482 | E | 522 | 575860.8 | 8679475 | 1529.706 | E |
| 424 | 575753.5 | 8679872 | 1549.591 | E | 523 | 575725.4 | 8679422 | 1520.584 | E |
| 425 | 575748.5 | 8679871 | 1549.446 | E | 524 | 575705 | 8679425 | 1519.868 | E |
| 426 | 575741.6 | 8679870 | 1549.245 | E | 525 | 575682.2 | 8679430 | 1519.244 | E |
| 427 | 575736.9 | 8679870 | 1549.1 | E | 526 | 575692.3 | 8679478 | 1523.463 | E |
| 428 | 575733.9 | 8679870 | 1549.022 | E | 527 | 575728.8 | 8679468 | 1524.263 | E |
| 429 | 575729.5 | 8679870 | 1548.943 | E | 528 | 575727.9 | 8679532 | 1528.929 | E |
| 430 | 575728.1 | 8679870 | 1548.89 | E | 529 | 575694.9 | 8679534 | 1527.793 | E |
| 431 | 575721.3 | 8679870 | 1548.708 | E | 530 | 575815.1 | 8679538 | 1532.483 | E |
| 432 | 575719.7 | 8679870 | 1548.669 | E | 531 | 575856.6 | 8679544 | 1534.232 | E |
| 433 | 575717.3 | 8679869 | 1548.59 | E | 532 | 575869.1 | 8679621 | 1539.462 | E |
| 434 | 575710.8 | 8679869 | 1548.399 | E | 533 | 575812.6 | 8679619 | 1537.802 | E |
| 435 | 575702.6 | 8679868 | 1548.161 | E | 534 | 575836.3 | 8679625 | 1538.794 | E |
| 436 | 575699.3 | 8679867 | 1548.061 | E | 535 | 575722.8 | 8679611 | 1534.428 | E |
| 437 | 575696.3 | 8679867 | 1547.985 | E | 536 | 575679.6 | 8679610 | 1532.797 | E |
| 438 | 575734.3 | 8679828 | 1547.418 | E | 537 | 575675.4 | 8679672 | 1536.838 | E |
| 439 | 575724.5 | 8679827 | 1547.117 | E | 538 | 575687.3 | 8679681 | 1537.862 | E |
| 440 | 575732.8 | 8679828 | 1547.368 | E | 539 | 575712.7 | 8679684 | 1538.871 | E |
| 441 | 575725.9 | 8679828 | 1547.172 | E | 540 | 575800.7 | 8679700 | 1542.507 | E |
| 442 | 575718.8 | 8679827 | 1546.955 | E | 541 | 575822.7 | 8679700 | 1543.006 | E |
| 443 | 575708.5 | 8679827 | 1546.663 | E | 542 | 575855.8 | 8679705 | 1544.029 | E |
| 444 | 575700.5 | 8679825 | 1546.382 | E | 543 | 575821 | 8679808 | 1548.303 | E |
| 445 | 575738.3 | 8679829 | 1547.542 | E | 544 | 575792.3 | 8679800 | 1547.404 | E |
| 446 | 575748 | 8679828 | 1547.786 | E | 545 | 575783.8 | 8679787 | 1546.607 | E |
| 447 | 575753.7 | 8679829 | 1547.956 | E | 546 | 575687.3 | 8679781 | 1543.644 | E |
| 448 | 575758.1 | 8679830 | 1548.129 | E | 547 | 575638.6 | 8679767 | 1541.272 | E |
| 449 | 575742.2 | 8679783 | 1545.32 | E | 548 | 575668.6 | 8679776 | 1542.828 | E |
| 450 | 575740.8 | 8679783 | 1545.261 | E | 549 | 575629.6 | 8679819 | 1543.931 | E |
| 451 | 575734 | 8679782 | 1545.019 | E | 550 | 575666.9 | 8679828 | 1545.606 | E |
| 452 | 575732.5 | 8679782 | 1544.971 | E | 551 | 575773.6 | 8679831 | 1548.571 | E |
| 453 | 575729.3 | 8679781 | 1544.823 | E | 552 | 575799.9 | 8679842 | 1549.42 | E |
| 454 | 575717.6 | 8679780 | 1544.416 | E | 553 | 575836.3 | 8679896 | 1551.918 | E |
| 455 | 575710.7 | 8679778 | 1544.137 | E | 554 | 575781.2 | 8679880 | 1550.502 | E |
| 456 | 575744.8 | 8679784 | 1545.409 | E | 555 | 575765.2 | 8679877 | 1550.083 | E |
| 457 | 575753.1 | 8679784 | 1545.677 | E | 556 | 575799 | 8679885 | 1550.993 | E |
| 458 | 575758.2 | 8679784 | 1545.833 | E | 557 | 575669.5 | 8679868 | 1547.322 | E |
| 459 | 575766.1 | 8679786 | 1546.131 | E | 558 | 575633.9 | 8679867 | 1546.2 | E |
| 460 | 575760.6 | 8679695 | 1541.153 | E | 559 | 575620.3 | 8679904 | 1547.359 | E |
| 461 | 575752.4 | 8679694 | 1540.814 | E | 560 | 575661.9 | 8679901 | 1548.508 | E |
| 462 | 575759.2 | 8679694 | 1541.076 | E | 561 | 575639.9 | 8679904 | 1547.989 | E |
| 463 | 575750.9 | 8679694 | 1540.753 | E | 562 | 575773.6 | 8679913 | 1551.626 | E |
| 464 | 575747.5 | 8679693 | 1540.628 | E | 563 | 575819.8 | 8679920 | 1552.567 | E |
| 465 | 575740.1 | 8679692 | 1540.279 | E | 564 | 575799 | 8679917 | 1552.144 | E |
| 466 | 575734 | 8679690 | 1539.97 | E | 565 | 575755 | 8679967 | 1552.956 | E |
| 467 | 575727.4 | 8679689 | 1539.682 | E | 566 | 575803.3 | 8679967 | 1553.732 | E |
| 468 | 575762.7 | 8679696 | 1541.258 | E | 567 | 575823.6 | 8679964 | 1553.929 | E |
| 469 | 575772 | 8679696 | 1541.592 | E | 568 | 575665.3 | 8679948 | 1550.489 | E |
| 470 | 575778.6 | 8679697 | 1541.83 | E | 569 | 575636.5 | 8679949 | 1549.708 | E |
| 471 | 575784.1 | 8679698 | 1542.019 | E | 570 | 575611.4 | 8679997 | 1550.353 | E |
| 472 | 575772.2 | 8679617 | 1536.597 | E | 571 | 575666.9 | 8679996 | 1551.851 | E |
| 473 | 575765.4 | 8679616 | 1536.314 | E | 572 | 575638.2 | 8679996 | 1551.13 | E |
| 474 | 575773.7 | 8679617 | 1536.658 | E | 573 | 575753.3 | 8680003 | 1553.843 | E |
| 475 | 575763.9 | 8679617 | 1536.273 | E | 574 | 575791.4 | 8680004 | 1554.489 | E |
| 476 | 575756.9 | 8679616 | 1535.993 | E | 575 | 575823.6 | 8680007 | 1554.948 | E |
| 477 | 575743.6 | 8679615 | 1535.44 | E | 576 | 575752.5 | 8680038 | 1554.708 | E |
| 478 | 575738.9 | 8679614 | 1535.203 | E | 577 | 575777 | 8680042 | 1555.26 | E |
| 479 | 575775.6 | 8679617 | 1536.718 | E | 578 | 575795.6 | 8680045 | 1555.524 | E |
| 480 | 575786.3 | 8679617 | 1536.968 | E | 579 | 575659.3 | 8680043 | 1553.028 | E |
| 481 | 575789.6 | 8679617 | 1537.083 | E | 580 | 575619.5 | 8680042 | 1551.928 | E |
| 482 | 575797.6 | 8679618 | 1537.326 | E | 581 | 575617.8 | 8680073 | 1552.664 | E |
| 483 | 575779.4 | 8679617 | 1536.799 | E | 582 | 575644.1 | 8680073 | 1553.358 | E |
| 484 | 5757フ7.7 | 8679540 | 1531.515 | E | 583 | 575658.5 | 8680075 | 1553.758 | E |
| 485 | 575770.9 | 8679540 | 1531.269 | E | 584 | 575753.3 | 8680064 | 1555.359 | E |
| 486 | 575779.3 | 8679540 | 1531.563 | E | 585 | 575779.6 | 8680072 | 1555.905 | E |

Appendix 14. Chilerawalanda Topographic Survey data (4)

| ASting | NORTHINC | HEIGHT | DESCRIPTI | POINT | EASTING | NORTHINC | HEIGHT | DESCRIPTI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 575810.5 | 8680074 | 1556.249 | E | 685 | 575857.4 | 8680570 | 1557.523 | E |
| 575755.8 | 8680116 | 1556.143 | E | 686 | 575864.2 | 8680653 | 1556.937 | E |
| 575782.9 | 8680110 | 1556.461 | E | 687 | 575889.6 | 8680609 | 1557.413 | E |
| 575782.9 | 8680110 | 1556.461 | E | 688 | 575893 | 8680675 | 1556.901 | E |
| 575666.1 | 8680113 | 1554.522 | E | 689 | 575902.3 | 8680650 | 1557.133 | E |
| 575629.7 | 8680119 | 1553.779 | E | 690 | 575866.8 | 8680625 | 1557.168 | E |
| 575631.4 | 8680168 | 1554.673 | E | 691 | 575835.4 | 8680582 | 1557.308 | E |
| 575664.4 | 8680157 | 1555.214 | E | 692 | 575910.8 | 8680633 | 1557.276 | E |
| 575675.4 | 8680156 | 1555.383 | E | 693 | 575914.8 | 8680719 | 1556.566 | E |
| 575643.2 | 8680164 | 1554.887 | E | 694 | 575944.7 | 8680672 | 1557.022 | E |
| 575761.8 | 8680149 | 1556.713 | E | 695 | 575932 | 8680697 | 1556.783 | E |
| 575773.6 | 8680140 | 1556.793 | E | 696 | 575966.7 | 8680741 | 1556.482 | E |
| 575783.8 | 8680139 | 1556.862 | E | 697 | 575986.1 | 8680702 | 1556.847 | E |
| 575819.5 | 8680132 | 1557.105 | E | 698 | 575978.5 | 8680725 | 1556.64 | E |
| 575780.4 | 8680190 | 1557.451 | E | 699 | 576008.2 | 8680768 | 1556.337 | E |
| 575804.1 | 8680184 | 1557.616 | E | 700 | 576027.6 | 8680721 | 1556.711 | E |
| 575814.3 | 8680178 | 1557.665 | E | 701 | 576015.8 | 8680745 | 1556.527 | E |
| 575824.4 | 8680173 | 1557.686 | E | 702 | 576044 | 8680799 | 1556.061 | E |
| 575698.3 | 8680212 | 1556.346 | E | 703 | 576069.1 | 8680747 | 1556.46 | E |
| 575641.3 | 8680228 | 1555.488 | E | 704 | 576051.3 | 8680773 | 1556.27 | E |
| 575665.3 | 8680222 | 1555.909 | E | 705 | 576088.6 | 8680807 | 1555.972 | E |
| 575673.7 | 8680285 | 1556.486 | E | 706 | 576117.4 | 8680773 | 1556.224 | E |
| 575700.8 | 8680268 | 1556.757 | E | 707 | 576104.7 | 8680796 | 1556.052 | E |
| 575711.8 | 8680262 | 1556.878 | E | 708 | 576137.7 | 8680831 | 1555.74 | E |
| 575795.6 | 8680235 | 1557.807 | E | 709 | 576166.9 | 8680779 | 1556.063 | E |
| 575816.8 | 8680227 | 1557.933 | E | 710 | 576152.9 | 8680808 | 1555.884 | E |
| 575849 | 8680215 | 1558.136 | E | 711 | 576165.6 | 8680845 | 1555.573 | E |
| 575807.5 | 8680271 | 1558.076 | E | 712 | 576202 | 8680820 | 1555.666 | E |
| 575834.6 | 8680259 | 1558.225 | E | 713 | 576182.6 | 8680824 | 1555.69 | E |
| 575861.8 | 8680250 | 1558.396 | E | 714 | 576163.1 | 8680794 | 1555.959 | E |
| 575700.8 | 8680328 | 1556.964 | E | 715 | 576235.9 | 8680869 | 1555.247 | E |
| 575727.9 | 8680311 | 1557.332 | E | 716 | 576247.8 | 8680846 | 1555.382 | E |
| 575714.4 | 8680366 | 1557.148 | E | 717 | 576262.2 | 8680899 | 1554.979 | E |
| 575739.8 | 8680349 | 1557.482 | E | 718 | 576297 | 8680850 | 1555.171 | E |
| 575760.4 | 8680332 | 1557.765 | E | 719 | 576266.4 | 8680891 | 1555.014 | E |
| 575832 | 8680300 | 1558.388 | E | 720 | 576317.2 | 8680927 | 1554.642 | E |
| 575861.7 | 8680296 | 1558.596 | E | 721 | 576338.4 | 8680890 | 1554.792 | E |
| 575881.9 | 8680288 | 1558.71 | E | 722 | 576266.4 | 8680891 | 1555.014 | E |
| 575881.9 | 8680288 | 1558.71 | E | 723 | 576324.8 | 8680907 | 1554.737 | E |
| 575895.5 | 8680246 | 1558.617 | E | 724 | 576278.2 | 8680872 | 1555.1 | E |
| 575864.2 | 8680200 | 1558.198 | E | 725 | 576348.5 | 8680951 | 1554.415 | E |
| 575854.1 | 8680155 | 1557.707 | E | 726 | 576358.7 | 8680922 | 1554.55 | E |
| 575845.6 | 8680104 | 1556.988 | E | 727 | 576384.9 | 8680968 | 1554.219 | E |
| 575841.4 | 8680075 | 1556.58 | E | 728 | 576396.8 | 8680935 | 1554.343 | E |
| 575837.1 | 8680058 | 1556.287 | E | 729 | 576408.6 | 8680988 | 1554.04 | E |
| 575844.7 | 8680156 | 1557.646 | E | 730 | 576424.8 | 8680939 | 1554.222 | E |
| 575816.5 | 8680124 | 1556.968 | E | 731 | 576431.5 | 8681007 | 1553.878 | E |
| 575923.5 | 8680206 | 1558.501 | E | 732 | 576444.2 | 8680984 | 1553.95 | E |
| 575892.2 | 8680172 | 1558.245 | E | 733 | 576418.8 | 8680972 | 1554.087 | E |
| 575901.5 | 8680210 | 1558.479 | E | 734 | 576385.8 | 8680950 | 1554.309 | E |
| 575855.8 | 8680354 | 1558.486 | E | 735 | 576354.4 | 8680935 | 1554.488 | E |
| 575893.9 | 8680333 | 1558.77 | E | 736 | 576459.4 | 8681029 | 1553.708 | E |
| 575911.6 | 8680322 | 1558.809 | E | 737 | 576477.2 | 8680994 | 1553.803 | E |
| 575882 | 8680407 | 1558.582 | E | 738 | 576462 | 8681012 | 1553.769 | E |
| 575908.2 | 8680384 | 1558.703 | E | 739 | 576531.4 | 8680918 | 1553.893 | E |
| 575928 | 8680375 | 1558.743 | E | 740 | 576543.2 | 8680896 | 1553.923 | E |
| 575898.1 | 8680438 | 1558.526 | E | 741 | 576550.9 | 8680882 | 1553.935 | E |
| 575917.6 | 8680423 | 1558.628 | E | 742 | 576497.5 | 8680899 | 1554.115 | E |
| 575954 | 8680402 | 1558.731 | E | 743 | 576514.5 | 8680863 | 1554.175 | E |
| 575920.1 | 8680493 | 1558.259 | E | 744 | 576518.7 | 8680856 | 1554.183 | E |
| 575944.7 | 8680473 | 1558.396 | E | 745 | 576475.5 | 8680880 | 1554.289 | E |
| 575969.4 | 8680456 | 1558.519 | E | 746 | 576486.5 | 8680850 | 1554.367 | E |
| 575945.5 | 8680521 | 1558.14 | E | 747 | 576489.9 | 8680841 | 1554.388 | E |
| 576004.8 | 8680487 | 1558.405 | E | 748 | 576442.5 | 8680862 | 1554.515 | E |
| 575951 | 8680567 | 1557.833 | E | 749 | 576450.1 | 8680833 | 1554.611 | E |
| 575986.1 | 8680539 | 1558.096 | E | 750 | 576460.3 | 8680818 | 1554.63 | E |
| 575995.5 | 8680535 | 1558.14 | E | 751 | 576411.2 | 8680836 | 1554.781 | E |
| 575994.6 | 8680592 | 1557.722 | E | 752 | 576417.9 | 8680823 | 1554.815 | E |
| 576009.8 | 8680560 | 1557.975 | E | 753 | 576426.4 | 8680802 | 1554.871 | E |
| 576032.7 | 8680603 | 1557.624 | E | 754 | 576387.5 | 8680823 | 1554.964 | E |
| 576053.7 | 8680574 | 1557.783 | E | 755 | 576394.2 | 8680796 | 1555.071 | E |
| 576053 | 8680636 | 1557.348 | E | 756 | 576401 | 8680785 | 1555.087 | E |
| 576070.8 | 8680609 | 1557.507 | E | 757 | 576357.8 | 8680806 | 1555.196 | E |
| 576097.1 | 8680661 | 1557.105 | E | 758 | 576363.8 | 8680780 | 1555.312 | E |
| 576137.4 | 8680622 | 1557.285 | E | 759 | 576370.5 | 8680766 | 1555.353 | E |
| 576132.2 | 8680699 | 1556.76 | E | 760 | 576301.6 | 8680782 | 1555.573 | E |
| 576147.9 | 8680671 | 1556.909 | E | 761 | 576307.9 | 8680763 | 1555.657 | E |
| 576126.7 | 8680674 | 1556.966 | E | 762 | 576307.9 | 8680763 | 1555.657 | E |
| 576111.4 | 8680625 | 1557.327 | E | 763 | 576311.3 | 8680752 | 1555.704 | E |
| 576171.6 | 8680692 | 1556.666 | E | 764 | 576332.2 | 8680719 | 1555.788 | E |
| 576191.9 | 8680670 | 1556.745 | E | 765 | 576322.4 | 8680795 | 1555.406 | E |
| 576206.3 | 8680704 | 1556.459 | E | 766 | 576343.4 | 8680753 | 1555.548 | E |
| 576245.3 | 8680675 | 1556.509 | E | 767 | 576348.5 | 8680746 | 1555.565 | E |
| 576255.4 | 8680735 | 1556.079 | E | 768 | 576234.2 | 8680699 | 1556.393 | E |
| 576285.9 | 8680706 | 1556.102 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 576281.8 | 8680771 | 1555.73 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 576317.2 | 8680742 | 1555.733 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 575735.5 | 8680412 | 1557.41 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 575760.9 | 8680393 | 1557.733 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 575776.2 | 8680388 | 1557.911 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 575765.2 | 8680454 | 1557.59 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 575795.6 | 8680436 | 1557.917 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 575781.2 | 8680494 | 1557.54 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 575801.6 | 8680475 | 1557.76 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 575788 | 8680456 | 1557.769 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 575809.2 | 8680548 | 1557.406 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 575834.6 | 8680529 | 1557.685 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 575855.2 | 8680515 | 1557.88 | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 578337.9 | 8680601 | 1557.155 | E |  |  |  |  |  |

## ROAD TRAFFIC ACCIDENT QUESTIONNAIRE

## NEXUS OF HUMAN FACTOR AND ROAD GEOMETRICS ON TRAFFIC ACCIDENTS ON MZUZU-JENDA M1 ROAD STRETCH

## Program: Masters of Science in Geoinformatics

Name of the Researcher: Japhet Khendlo
Email: jkhendlo@yahoo.com
Cell: $\underline{0881265802 / 0992600143}$

Any information that is obtained in connection with this study and that can be identified with you will remain confidential and will be disclosed only with your permission.
i. I agree to participate in the research study.
$\square$ Yes

ii. I understand the purpose and nature of this study and I am participating voluntarily.

iii. I grant permission for the data generated from this interview to be used in the researcher's publications



No

Title: $\qquad$
Age.
Sex $\square$ Male $\square$ Female
$\qquad$

Marital Status $\qquad$
Occupation $\square$ DriverOther

## Part B Accident details

Date of the Accident $\qquad$
Time of the Accident
 AM $\square$ PM vehicle were you driving?


Truck


Bus

Tax

Which locations have been involved in accident on?Heavy duty vehicle

Ruviri Champhir
a
Chirelawalanda
Champhira
$\square$ Mapanjira $\square$ Kasitu
What was the condition of the road at the time of accident?


What was your location inside the vehicle at the time of accident?


Your Vehicle was
 travelling at approximately
$\square$ $\mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{hr}$ $\square$ Unknown

Do you often drive along this section of M1road?


Was your vehicle COF expired or not at the time of the accident?


Do you often drive at high speed on the rumble stripes?

Yes

No

If Yes, why?
$\square$ Familiar with the road section
$\square$ Rumbles stripes irritates
The Car jerks a lot
$\square$


[^0]:    Appendix 11. Chilerawalanda Topographic Survey data (1)

